Class Principles and Graft.

selves and laxness in adhering to prin-I the real position was that the MIDDLE were reduced to mere formula, and when Labour movement. it came to the supreme test of 1914 they were thrown to the winds in favour of Chauviniam and Imperialism; or, in other words, the working-class was sacrificed to the bourgeois class.

It is not a mere question of "honesty." Ordinary honesty on the part of a clerk or book-keeper, for instance, has no great social value except to the boss concerned. It is usually "the best policy," anyway. Honesty in a good cause is far more valu- While the school of the Second remain able; and the only really good cause in the present stage of society is the working-class cause. Conversely, the worst form of dishonesty is dishonesty towards

the cause of the working-class.

Laxness in political. principle carries with it laxness in business morality. Sale of principles and corruption on public bodies, seat-hunting and boodle-hunting, go hand in hand. The S.A. Labour Party INTO' A COALITION GOVERNMENT reached a comparatively high level of class consciousness, and was at the same time comparatively free from graft, up to the outbreak of war in 1914. After that, one after another abandoned class war principles for Jingo Social patriotism— BEEN BROUGHT AGAINST A COMand simultaneously the tale of Labour MUNIST PARTY. graft began to be told on all sides. The Not much longer now will the working | s result of the class betrayal was that class continue to support Parties of the many got positions and many got bribes. Second International. When they realise Labour in place meant place before that these Parties must by their nature t Labour.

8.A.I..P. independence for a mess of bargain-they will at last find out with a Cabinet pottage coincides with the Syfer-I which Party their true interests lie. fontein scandal. And when we were told

Blackness or laxness in principles—I that "the political side" were for Coaliwhich includes principles lax in them- tion and "the industrialists" against it, ciples—has been the characteristic of the CLASS Labourites were for and the parties of the Second International. Its WORKING CLASS against—a distincprinciples indeed were once correct but tion that explains all the divisions in the

> The only principle of a true workers' party is, not J. H. Thomas's "Co-operation of Capitalism and Labour," but a fight between them to a finish-of Capitalism. That should have been the only principle at the Berkeley Rooms Conference. It is the only principle of the Communist Parties of the world. it is a clear-cut principle, and therefore they honestly stick to it and never sell it. silent and inarticulate, ashamed to avow or even unable to define their actual motives, those of the Third will always appeal to this principle above all—the principle of conformity to the interest of the working class.

And that is why, first, NO COMMUN-IST PARTY HAS EVER ENTERED with the bourgeoisie—there is no coalition in Russia, unlike other countries—and, secondly, IN NO COUNTRY IN THE WORLD HAS A BREATH OF SUS-PICION OF CORRUPTION EVER

be corrupt—and when they get a few t It is no accident that the sale of bullets from their Labour leaders into the

S.P.B.