WORKERS OF THE WORLD. UNITE

THE MILITANT



Weekly Organ of the Communist League of America [Opposition]

Published weekly by the Communist L eague of America (Opposition) at 126 East 16th Street, New York, N. Y. Entered as second class mail matter, November 28, 1928 at the Fest Office at New York, N. Y. under the act of March 2, 1879

VOLUME VI, NO. 3 [WHOLE NO. 150]

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, JANUARY 21, 1933

velopment of the unemployment struggle and its creators, those who have made it that leads toward it. opens up the possibility for a broad dewhich hitherto has owed its stagnation and ineffectiveness, in no small degree, to the absurdly narow and sectarian policy of the Stalinists. To the extent that it creates the conditions for the free participation of all workers organizations, whose members have a good cause and a genuine will to react against the fearful pressure of unemployment, the new policy of the party creates the primary conditions for the transformattion of the isolated vanguard actions of the Communist militants into a united movement embracing masses of workers. Such a movement, driven forward by the appalling mass misery and discontent, and putting all parties and leaders to the test of action, can lead to a stormy development of working class struggle and a rapid expansion of Communist influence. From this point of view the Left Opposition is bound to greet the new turn, to support it with full strength and to penetrate into the very heart of the unfolding movement. At the same time the new turn puts

the Left Opposition before new opportunities and tasks. By releasing their monopolistic strangle-hold on the emaciated movement, and inviting all workers' organizations, "irrespective of political opinions or affiliations", the Stalin- its organization grows and extends to Communists, Yipsels and working youth ists are perforce required to leave a crack open for the feared and hated "Trotskyists". We must and we will make our way through that crack, spread it wider, and establish direct contact with the workers, including the Communists, who are assembling for struggle under the banner of the united Marxist wing of the movement has been revealed chiefly in its criticism. The opportunity to participate in a movement of struggle against the plague of extent that it makes good in this testto the extent that it demonstrates its zation-not a mere propaganda circle.

But this direct participation in actions can be really effective for the unemployment movement and for Communism only! rents and tendencies, including the tendency of bureaucratic Centrism. In no case fore beg the indulgence of the audience in advance. and under no circumstances can this ror? Hardly. But such things have are war and revolution. happened before. Every zig-zag of Stal-

vacillating, cowardly, half-measure character of Centrism. In the first place when I participated in the events of the Revolution. the turn from the "social Fascist" theory or A. F. of L. unionist that these leaders are unwilling and unable to partici-(Continued under Editorial Notes on Page Four)

OPEN FORUM

REPORT OF THE OPPOSITION DELEGATES TO THE UNITED FRONT UNEMPLOYMENT CONFERENCE.

> Speakers: J. P. CANNON MAX SHACHTMAN

January 27, 1933 126 East 16 Street, ADMISSION: 15c

Auspices: (Opposition)

and those for whom its word has been In this struggle the MILITANT is our a light, have no reason to deny it. In voice and our banner. The voice shall deed, they cannot, for the appeals that not be silenced. The banner shall not appear here every week now are all too come down. The means will be found, patently the desperate cry of distress. the sacrifices will be made, the paper were skipped and the two issues that have confidence that those who have came out in half size described too graph- made the paper and kept it alive in all ically the mad upward course of the adversity will do their duty when they temperature markings on the fever chart know how matters stand. There is no of the sick paper.

trouble. The financial crisis burns like a That is your first obligation. Do not high fever from week to week and from let anything take precedence over it. day to day. The sickness is a financial Send in your contribution now. sickness—the prosaic bills, past due, accumulating, becoming more and more insistent in the demand for paymentsthis is the mortal illness that assails the

Who will prevail?—that is the ques- The January number of the YOUNG tion. With your help, dear friends of SPARTACUS is off the press and ready the MILITANT, we shall answer that for sale and distribution. It is an eight question our way. The paper will pre- pager devoted primarily to the annivervail. Its message will prevail. The sary of Lenin, Liebknecht and Luxemcourage, the sacrifice, the unconquerable burg and the struggle against imperialist spirit of the Bolshevik-Leninists will war. It contains reports of the New prevail.

every capitalist country in the world. with the YOUNG SPARTACUS.

They are watching the financial appeal Its cadres grow firmer, harder, more column every week now as interested confident of victory and more determinpeople watch the fever chart of a sick ed to achieve it. We have the right to Party in the unemployment movement man. Our MILITANT is sick. Of this victory and we have no right to turn its enemies are, by now, quite convinced; back from the path of resolute struggle

And more than that, the two issues that will be saved. We say this because we doubt now how matters stand. The need Yes, the MILITANT is in desperate is for money—not tomorrow, but today.

-JAMES P. CANNON.

JANUARY YOUNG SPARTACUS OUT

The January number of the YOUNG York and Chicago Anti-War Conferences The Left Opposition in America, and and articles on the latest developments on an international scale, is on the eve in the Young Communist League, besides of great advances. All its ideas are be items of general working class interest. ing vindicated, its predictions verified. Order your bundles. Reach the young

Trotsky Ill

An Associated Press dispatch from Istanbul on January 9 reports that comrade Leon Trotsky is seriously ill in his home on the island of Prinkipo. The report states further that comrade Jan Frankel, who has worked as Trotsky's secretary for almost three years of his exile, is on his way to Europe for the purpose of securing the services of a foreign specialist. It appears that the exertions attendant upon his recent trip through Europe en route to Copenhagen, overtaxed comrade Trotsky and rendered more acute the ailments from which he has been suffering for many years now. The secret agreement between Stalin and Kemal Pasha has kept Trotsky virtually a prisoner in Turkey. Under the pressure of the Soviet embassies in various countries, every application for a visa has been denied by practically all of the uropean governments, whose claims to the defense of the right of asylum, do not extend to the leader of the Bolshevik-Leninists. His isolation in Turkey has made it impossible for him to obtain the special medical attention he requires.

Is it not high time for the Communist workers everywhere to reflect upon this matter, and to raise their voices in protest against the hideous united front between Stalin and the European bourgeoisie which keeps in Turkish confinement the organizer of the Bolshevik insurrection, the leader of the Red Army, the closest collaborator of Lenin?

Japanese Invasion of Jehol Creates Tense Situation

World Imperialist Powers Press for Their Share of Loot

But now, when it appears that American by the masses. profits and the huge Chinese colonial market will be swallowed up by Japantest has emerged from the Washington sheets. A group of Japanese workers, watchdogs of the Wall Street bankers', according to these press reports stormed munition makers' and manufacturers' interests in every part of the globe.

Already recrimination, abuse and conscreaming headlines on the front pages of the yellow journals. The Tokio War Office gives out information to the Jap-

The rattle of the sabre grows louder anese press that the United States is in the Far East. As the Japanese con-quest grows in scope and as the plunder the Chinese. The United States foreign and murder of these robber bandits pro-office counters by saying the whole mat-ceeds unabated grave events of inter-ter is a colossal fabrication, that the national consequence loom on the hori- sale of ammunition to China in the last zon. Fear for their territory and period was a mere bagatelle. The truth sources of exploitation have struck fear perhaps lies somewhere between these into the hearts of American and British two, although it is a well known fact imperialism. The equinimity that mark- that the Du Ponts are not wasting any ed the original attitude of American im- opportunity to reap profits from their perialism has given way to an attitude of deadly products. And they will sell this extreme anxiety over Japan's possible war paraphenalia to the Japanese just purpose in her invasion of the Jehol as soon as to the Chinese. Such are territory. At the outset, when it seemed the noble and principled motives that that the Japanese military expedition actuated these same gentlemen in the might end in intervention against the last world slaughter. They have but one Soviet Union, the diplomatic circles in criterion—pecuniary gain. They reserve Washington were quiet as the grave. the sentimental phrases for consumption

Simultaneously a new sensation has flashed across the American scandal the Singer Sewing Machine plant in Yokohoma and left a wreckage behind them amounting to several hundreds of thousands of dollars. Counter charges the war fever fill the air, both in America and in Japan. The old and familiar sufficient police protection; that it was story of "atrocities" begins to make a group of Left wing workers that com-And now we hear still more ominous news that the Japanes fleet maneuvers have been advanced from October to June or July in the Pacific. And the American naval maneuvers, likewise in the Pacific, are scheduled to take place in about a month. Naval maneuver's are usually the prelude to more serious ac-

At the same time we notice another significant fact. The attitude of the men which, taken at the flood, leads on to fortune." abrupt and fundamental revision. In less than a week the position of the "black international of imperialism" Japanese demands to a hard boiled attitude of no concessions whatever. At first glance this is somewhat astonishing. But upon looking deeper into this change it is quite in accord with the spirit of recent international events.

During this past week when the Lytton report hung fire the American colossus intervened to lay down the law. To the public it merely reaffirmed its policy on the Far Eastern question of January 1932. "Protection of the open door.... respect for the territorial and administrative integrity of China....the Nine Power pact....the Kellogg-Briand Peace Treaty" and all the other shams that erve to camouflage the desire of the What questions does the October revolution American capitalist class for self-aggrandizement in China and the opening 1. Why and how did this Revolution take up of huge markets for the profiteers. place? More concretely, why did the proletarian But behind the scenes another and more revolution conquer in one of the most backward powerful weapon was brought into action. And with this weapon the American imperialists bludgeoned the League of Nations to make its position conform to American interests.

This weapon is the war debt, which has been suspended over the heads of

The voice of the American Shylock The fact that the proletariat reached power for has thundered forth; "If you want a support fell into line. And now the The first and most general explanation is: Russia papers tell us that a strong condemnatory resolution against Japan will be adopted. Great Britain which had been reticent about "provoking Japan has now offered diplomatic representations to the latter about the tense situation which is endangering British interests. The American whip strikes sharply and imperatively. But any illusion that any possible action of the League of Nations action of the League can accomplish is imperialist rivalry to the breaking point. are using the League of Nations as their battering ram against the Japan-

Leon Trotsky Defends the October Revolution front. Up to now the strength of the Full Text of Speech Delivered to the Social Democratic Students at Copenhagen November 27th 1932 Shakespeare says, "There is a tide in the affairs of League of Nations has undergone an

My dear listeners.

Permit me to begin by expressing my sincere unemployment places us also before the regrets over my inability to speak before a Copentest of action. The Left Opposition will hagen audience in the Danish tongue. Let us not grow in numbers and influence to the ask whether the listeners lose by it. As to the speaker, his ignorance of the Danish language deprives him of the possibility of familiarizing himself qualities as a fighting political organi- with Scandinavian life and Scandinavian literature immediately, at first hand and in the original. And that is a great loss.

The German language, to which I have had to take recourse, is rich and powerful. My German, if it supplements and reinforces the crit- however, is fairly limited. To discuss complicated icism of all harmful and retarding cur- questions with the necessary freedom, moreover, is possible only in one's own language. I must there-

The first time that I was in Copenhagen was criticism be submerged in a general sen- at the international Socialist Congress, and I took timent of "unity". First of all, we away with me the kindest recollections of your have to see things as they really are city. But that was over a quarter of a century ago. and to talk out loud about them. Not Since then, the water in the Ore-Sund a few party members discouraged fjords has changed over and over again. And not and demoralized by the devastation of the water alone. The war broke the backbone of the "Third Period", will hail the "new the old European continent. The rivers and seas of turn" uncritically, as a way of salva- Europe have washed down not a little blood. Mantion. Is it possible that a Left Opposi-kind, and particularly European mankind, has gone tionist here and there, chafing for action through severe trials, has become more sombre and and wearied of the drawn-out struggle more brutal. Every kind of conflict has become of our small faction for the principle more bitter. The world has entered into the period foundations, can fall into the same er- of the great change. Its most extreme expressions

Before I pass on to the theme of my lecture, inism, the whole course of which is a the Revolution, I consider it my duty to express series of zig-zags to the Left and to the my thanks to the organizers of this meeting, the Right, has claimed its credulous victims. Copenhagen organization of the social-democratic For this reason also a critical appraisal student body. I do this as a political opponent. of the new united front policy at the My lecture, it is true, pursues historico-scientific and beginning, and at every turn, must go not political aims. I want to emphasize this right along with and condition our support. | from the beginning. But it is impossible to speak In initiating the new tactic the Stalin- of a Revoluton, out of which the Soviet Republic ists have been true to themselves—to the arose, without taking up a political position. As a lecturer I stand under the same banner as I did

Up to the war, the Bolshevik Party belonged is not a complete one: the branches of to the international social-democracy. On August the Socialist party and the local A. F. of 4, 1914, the vote of the German social-democracy for L. unions are invited to the united front the war credits put an end to this connection once -but what of the central bodies of these and for all, and opened the period of uninterrupted organizations? What of the leaders? and irreconcilable struggle of Bolshevism against so-Can you convince any Socialist worker cial-democracy. Does this mean that the organizers of this assembly made a mistake in inviting me as a lecturer? On this point the audience will be able to judge only after my lecture. To justify my acceptance of the kind invitation to present a report on the Russian Revolution, permit me to point to the fact that during the 35 years of my political life the question of the Russian Revolution has been the practical and theoretical axis of my interests and of my actions. The four years of my stav in Turkey were principally devoted to the historical elaboration of the problems of the Russian Revolution. Perhaps this fact gives me a certain right to hope that I will succeed, in part, at least, in helping not only friends and sympathizers, but also opponents, better to understand many features of the Revolution which had escaped their attention before. At all events, the purpose of my lecture is: to help to understand. I do not intend to conduct propaganda for the Revolution nor to call upon you to join the Revolution. I intend to explain the Revolution.

I do not know if in the Scandinavian Olympus there was a special goddess of rebellion. Scarcely! In any case, we shall not call upon her favor to-N. Y. Br. Communist League of America day. We shall place our lecture under the sign of Snotra, the old goddess of knowledge. Despite the

passionate drama of the Revolution as a living event, we shall endeavor to treat it as dispassionately as an anatomist. If the lecturer is drier because of it, the listeners will, let us hope, take it into the bargain.

Let us begin with some elementary sociological principles, which are doubtless familiar to you all, but as to which we must refresh our memory in approaching so complicated a phenomenon as the Human society is an historically-originated col-

laboration in the struggle for existence and the assurance of the maintenance of the generations. The character of a society is determined by the character of its economy. The character of its economy is determined by its means of productive labor. For every great epoch in the development of

the productive forces there is a definite corresponding social regime. Every social reime until now has secured enormous advantages to the ruling class. Out of what has been said, it is clear that

social regimes are not eternal. They arise historically, and then become fetters on further progress. "All that arises deserves to be destroyed". But no ruling class has ever voluntarily and

peacefully abdicated. In questions of life and death arguments based on reason have never replaced the argument of force. This may be sad, but it is so. It is not we that have made this world, We can do nothing but take it as it is.

The Meaning of Revolution

Revolution means a change of the social order. It transfers the power from the hands of a class which has exhausted itself into those of another class, which is on the rise. The insurrection is the sharpest and most critical moment in the struggle of two classes for power. The insurrection can lead to the real victory of the revolution and to the establishment of a new order only when it is based on a progressive class, which is able to rally around it the overwhelming majority of the people.

As distinguished from the processes of nature, a revolution is made by human beings and through human beings. But in the course of revolution, too, men act under the influence of social conditions which are not freely chosen by them, but are handed down from the past and imperatively point out the road which they must follow. For this reason, and only for this reason, a revolution follows cer-

But human consciousness does not merely passively reflect its objective conditions. It is accustomed to react to them actively. At certain times this reaction assumes a tense, passionate, mass character. The barriers of right and might are broken down. The active intervention of the masses in historical events is in fact the most indispensable element of a revolution.

But even the stormiest activity can remain in the stage of demonstration or rebellion, without rising to the height of revolution. The uprising of the masses must lead to the overthrow of the domination of one class and to the establishment of the domination of another. Only then have we a whole revolution. A mass uprising is no isolated undertaking, which can be conjured up any time one pleases. It represents an objectively-conditioned element in the development of a revolution, as a revolution represents an objectively-conditioned process in the development of society. But if the necessary conditions for the uprising exist, one must not simply wait passively, with open mouth: as To sweep away the outlived social order, the

struck, and set before itself the task of conquering changed from one of yielding to all the progressive class must understand that its hour has power. Here opens the field of conscious revolutionary action, where foresight and calculation combine with will and courage. In other words: here opens the field of action of the Party. The revolutionary Party unites within itself

the flower of the progressive class. Without a Party which is able to orientate itself in its environment, evaluate the progress and rhythm of events, and early win the confidence of the masses, the victory of the proletarian revolution is impossible. These are the reciprocal relations of the objective and the subjective factors in insurrection and in

The Causes of October

raise in the mind of a thinking man?

countries of Europe? 2. What have been the results of the October revolution? and finally. 3. Has the October revolution stood the test? The first question, as to the causes, can now be

answered more or less exhaustively. I have attempted to do this in great detail in my "History of the defaulting nations, by the U. S. for the Revolution". Here I can formulate only the this purpose among others. most important conclusions.

the first time in such a backward country as the favorable settlement on the debt you former Tsarist Russia seems mysterious only at first owe us. then support our policy against glance; in reality, it is fully in accord with his- the Japanese!" And as the old saying torical law. It could have been predicted and it goes "money talks". The whole League was predicted. Still more, on the basis of the pre- of Nations including France which has diction of this fact the revolutionary Marxists built previously given Japan her warmest up their strategy long before the decisive events.

is a backward country, but only a part of world economy, only an element of the capitalist world system. In this sense Lenin exhausted the riddle of the Russian revolution with the lapidary formula, "The chain broke at its weakest link".

A crude illustration: the great war, the result of the contradictions of world imperialism, drew into its maelstrom countries of different stages of development, but made the same claims on all the will permanently stop Japan's adventure participants. It is clear that the burdens of the in Jehol and elsewhere is not only war had to be particularly intolerable for the most absurd but dangerous. The most any backward countries. Russia was the first to be compelled to leave the field. But to tear itself away to cause new alignments and intensify from the war, the Russian people had to overthrow the ruling classes. In this way the chain of war The Wall Street agents in Washington broke at its weakest link.

Still, war is not a catastrophe coming from outside, like an earthquake, but as old Clausewitz said the continuation of politics by other means. In the last war, the main tendencies of the imperialistic system of "peace"-time only expressed themselves more crudely. The higher the general forces of pro duction, the tenser the competition on the world markets, the sharper the antagonisms, and the madder the race for armaments, in that measure the more difficult it became for the weaker participants. For precisely this reason the backward countries assumed the first places in the succession of collapses. The chain of world capitalism always tends to break at its weakest link.

(Continued on PAGE TWO)

International Workers School

(Continued on page 4)

in ELEMENTARY COMMUNISM by

MARTIN ABERN MONDAY-8 P. M. 126 East 16th Street

BANQUET FOR THE BENEFIT OF "THE MILITANT" SAT., JAN. 21 at 8 P.M.

Spaghetti Dinner

Music

Entertainment

ADMISSION

«The Russian Revolution Will Become The First Stage Of Socialist World Revoultion» - 1905

(Continued from page 1)

If, as a result of exceptional or exceptionally unfavorable circumstances-let us say, a successful military intervention from the outside or irreparable mistakes on the part of the Soviet Government itself-capitalism should arise again on the immeasurably wide Soviet territory, together with it would inevitably arse also its historical inadequacy, and such capitalism would in turn soon become the victim of the same contradictions which caused its explosion in 1917. No tactical recipes could have called the October Revolution into being, if Russia had not carried it within its body. The revolutionary Party in the last analysis can claim only the role of an obstetrician, who is compelled to resort to a Caesarian operation.

One might say in answer to this: "Your general considerations may adequately explain why old Russia had to suffer shipwreck, that country where backward capitalism and an impoverished peasantry were crowned by a parasitic nobility and a rotten monarchy. But in the simile of the chain and its weakest link there is still missing the key to the real riddle: How could the socialist revolution conquer in a backward country? History knows of more than a few illustrations of the decay of countries and civilizations accompanied by the collapse of the old classes for which no progressive successors had been found. The breakdown of old Russia should, at first sight, rather have changed the country into a capitalist colony than into a socialist state."

This objection is very interesting. It leads us directly to the kernel of the whole problem. And yet, this objection is erroneous; I might say, it lacks internal symmetry. On the one hand, it starts from an exaggerated conception of the backwardness of Russia; on the other, from a false theoretical conception of the phenomenon of historical backwardness in general.

Living beings, including man, of course, go through similar stages of development in accordance with their ages. In a normal five-year old child, we find a certain correspondence between the weight, and the size of the parts of the body and the internal organs. But when we deal with human consciousness, the situation is different. Contrary to anatomy and physiology, psychology, both individual and collective, is distinguished by expection l power of absorption, flexibility and elasticity; therein consists the aristocratic advantage of man over his nearest zoological relatives, the apes. The absorptive and flexible psyche, as a necessary condition for historical progress, confers on the so-called social "organisms", as distinguished from the real, that is, biological organisms, an exceptional instability of internal structure. In the development of nations and states, particularly capitalist ones, there is neither similarity nor regularity. Different stages of civilization, even polar opposites, approach and intermngle with one another in the life of one and the same country.

Let us not forget, my esteemed listeners, that historical backwardness is a relative concept. There being both backward and progressive countries, there is also a reciprocal influencing of one by the other; there is the pressure of the progressive countries on the backward ones; there is the necessity for the backward countries to catch up with the progressive ones, to borrow their technology and science. etc. In this way arises the combined type of development: features of backwardness are combined with the last word in world technology and in world thinking. Finally, the historically backward countries, in order to escape from their backwardness. are often compelled to rush ahead of the others.

The flexibility of the collective consciousness makes it possible under certain conditions to achieve the result, in the social arena, which in individual psychology is called "overcoming the consciousnes: of inferiority". In this sense we can say that the October revolution was an heroic means whereby the people of Russia were able to overcome their own economic and cultural inferiority.

But let us pass over from these historicophilosophic, perhaps somewhat too abstract generalizations, and put the same question in concrete form, that is, within the cross-section of living economic facts. The backwardness of Russia expressed itself most clearly at the beginning of the twentieth century in the fact that industry occupied a small place in that country in comparison with agriculture, the city in comparison with the village, the proletariat in comparison with the peasantry. Taken as a whole, this meant a low productivity of the national labor. Suffice it to say that on the eve of the war, when Tsarist Russia had reached the peak of its well-being, the national income was 8 to 10 times lower than in the United States. This is expressed in figures, the "amplitude" of its backwardness, if the word "amplitude" can be used at all in connection with backwardness.

At the same time, however, the law of combined development expresses itself in the economic field at every step, in simple as well as in complex phenomena. Almost without highways, Russia was compelled to build railroads. Without having gone through the stage of European artisanry and manufacture. Russia passed on directly to mechanized production. To jump over intermediate stages is the fate of backward countries.

While peasant agriculture often remained at the level of the 17th century, Russia's industry, if not in scope, at least in type, stood at the level of the progressive countries and rushed ahead of them in some respects. It suffices to say that the giant enterprises, with over a thousand employees each. employed, in the United States, less than 18 percent of the total number of industrial workers, in Russia over 41 percent. This fact is hard to reconcile with the conventional conception of the economic backwardness of Russia. It does not, on the other hand, refute this backwardness, but complements it dialectically.

The same contradictory character was shown by the class structure of the country. The finance capital of Europe industrialized Russian economy at an accelerated tempo. Thereby the industrial bourgeoisie assumed a large-scale capitalistic and anti-popular character. The foreign stockholders, moreover, lived outside of the country. The workers, on the other hand, were naturally Russians. Against a numerically weak Russian bourgeoisie, which had no national roots, stood therefore a relatively strong proletariat, with strong roots in the

depths of the people. The revolutionary character of the proletariat was furthered by the fact that Russia in particular, as a backward county, under the compulsion of catching up with its opponents, had not been able to work out its own conservatism, either social or political. The most conservative country of Europe, in fact of the entire world, is considered, and correctly, to

be the oldest capitalist country-England. The European country freest of conservatism would in all probability be Russia.

But the young, fresh, determined proletariat of Russia still constituted only a tiny minority of the nation. The reserves of its revolutionary power lay outside of the proletariat itself-in the peasantry, living in half-serfdom, and in the oppressed nation-

The Peasantry

The subsoil of the Revolution was the agrarian question. The old feudal-monarchic system became doubly intolerable under the conditions of the new capitalist exploitation. The peasant communal areas amounted to some 140 million desystines.* But thirty thousand large landowners, whose average holdings were over 2,000 desyntines, owned altogether 70 million desystines, that is, as much as some 10 million peasant families or 50 millions of peasant populaton. These statistics of land tenure constituted a ready-made program of agrarian revolt.

The nobleman, Bokorkin, wrote in 1917 to the dignitary, Rodsianko, the chairman of the last municipal Duma, "I am a landowner and I cannot get it into my head that I must lose my land, and for an unbelievable purpose to boot, for the experiment of the socialist doctrine". But it is precisely the task of revolutions to accomplish that which the ruling classes cannot get into their heads.

In Autumn 1917 almost the whole country was the scene of peasant revolts. Of the 624 departments of old Russia, 482, that is, 77 percent, were affected by the movement! The reflection of the burning villages lit up the arena of the insurrections in the cities.

But the war of the peasants against the landowners-you will reply to me-is one of the classic elements of the bourgeois, by no means of the proletarian revolution!

Perfectly right, I reply-so it was in the past. But the inability of capitalist society to survive in an historically backward country was expressed precisely in the fact that the peasant insurrections did not drive the bourgeois classes of Russia forward, but on the contrary drove them back for good into the camp of the reaction. If the peasantry did not want to be completely ruined, there was nothing else left for it but to join the industrial proletariat. This revolutionary joining of the two oppressed classes was foreseen with genuius by Lenin and pre-

pared by him long ahead of time. Had the bourgeoisie courageously solved the agrarian question, the proletariat of Russia would not, obviously, have been able to take the power in 1917. But the greedy and cowardly Russian bourgeoisie, too late on the scene, prematurely a victim of senility, did not dare to lift its hand against feudal property. But thereby it delivered the power to the proletariat and together with it the right to dispose of the destinies of bourgeois society.

In order for the Soviet state to come into existence, therefore, it was necessary for two factors of different historical nature to collaborate: the peasant war, that is, a movement which is characteristic of the dawn of bourgeois development, and the proletarian insurrection, that is, a movement which announces the decline of the bourgeois movement. Precsely therein consists the combined character of the Russian Revolution.

Once the peasant bear stands up on his hind feet, he becomes terrible in his wrath. But he is unable to give conscious expression to his indignation. He needs a leader. For the first time in the history of the world, the insurrectionary peasantry found a faithful leader in the person of the proletariat.

Four million industrial and transportation workers led a hundred million peasants. That was the and inevitable reciprocal relation between proletariat and peasantry in the Revolution.

The National Question

The second revolutionary reserve of the proletariat was constituted by the oppressed nationalities, who moreover were also predominantly made up of peasants. Closely tied up with the historical backwardness of the country is the extensive character of the development of the state, which spread out like a grease spot from the center at Moscow to the circumference. In the East, it subjugated the still more backward peoples, basing itself upon them, in order to stifle the more developed nationalities of the West. To the 70 million Great Russians, who constituted the main mass of the population, were added gradually some 90 millions of

"other races". In this way arose the Empire, in whose composition the ruling nationality made up only 43 percent of the population, while the remaining 57 percent consisted of nationalities of varying degrees of civilization and legal deprivation. The national pressure was incomparably cruder in Russia than in the neighboring states, and not only those beyond the western boundary but beyond the eastern one, too. This conferred on the national problem a monstrous explosive force.

The Russian liberal bourgeoisie, in the national as well as in the agrarian question, would not go beyond certain ameliorations of the regime of oppression and violence. The "democratic" governments of Miliukov and Kerensky, which reflected the interests of the Great Russian bourgeoisie and bureaucracy, actually hastened to impress upon the discontented nationalities, in the course of the eight months of their existence, "You will obtain only

what you tear away by force". The inevitability of the development of the centrifugal national movement had been early taken into consideration by Lenin. The Bolshevik Party

* One desystines equals 140 acres.

struggled obstinately for years for the right of self-determination for nations, that is, for the right of full secession. Only through this courageous position on the national question could the Russian proletariat gradually win the confidence of the oppressed peoples. The national independence movement, as well as the agrarian movement, necessarily turned against the official democracy, strengthened the proletariat, and poured into the stream of the October upheaval.

The Permanent Revolution

In these ways the riddle of the proletarian upheaval in an historically backward country loses its veil of mystery.

Marxist revolutionaries predicted, long before the events, the march of the Revolution and the historical role of the young Russian proletariat. I may be permitted to repeat here a passage from a work of my own in 1905:

"In an economically backward country the proletariat can arrive at power earlier than in a capitalistically advanced one....

"The Russian Revolution creates the conditions lunder which the power can (and in the event of a successful revolution must) be transferred to the proletariat, even before the policy of bourgeois liberalsm receives the opportunity of unfolding its genius for government to its full extent.

"The destiny of the most elementary revolutionary interests of the peasantry....is bound up with the destiny of the whole revolution, that is, with the destiny of the proletariat. The proletariat, once arrived at power, will appear before the peasantry as the liberating class.

"The proletariat enters into the government as the revolutionary representative of the nation, as the acknowledged leader of the people in the struggle with absolutism and the barbarism of serfdom.

"The proletarian regime will have to stand from the very beginning for the solution of the agrarian question, with which the question of the destiny of tremendous masses of the population of Russia is bound up."

I have taken the liberty of quoting these passages as evidence that the theory of the October Revolution which I am presenting today is no casual improvisation, and was not constructed ex post facto under the pressure of events. No, in the form of a political prognosis it preceded the Ocotber upheaval by a long time. You will agree that a theory is in general valuable only insofar as it helps to foresee the course of development and influences it purposively. Therein, in general terms, is the invaluable importance of Marxism as a weapon of social and historical orientation. I am sorry that the narrow limits of the lecture do not permit me to enlarge the above quotation materially. I will therefore content myself with a brief resume of the whole work which dates from 1905.

In accordance with its immediate tasks, the Russian Revolution is a bourgeois revolution. But the Russian bourgeoisie is anti-revolutionary. The victory of the Revolution is therefore possible only as a victory of the proletariat. But the victorious proletariat will not stop at the program of bourgeois democracy; it will go on to the program of Socialism. The Russion Revolution will become the first stage of the Socialist world revolution.

This was the theory of the permanent revolution formulated by me in 1905 and since then exposed to the severest criticism under the name of "Trotskyism".

To be more exact, it is only a part of this theory. The other part, which is particularly timely now,

The present productive forces have long outgrown their national limits. A Socialist society is not feasible within national boundaries. Significant as the economic successes of an isolated workers' state may be, the program of "Socialism in one country" is a petty-bourgeois Utopia. Only a European and then a world federation of Socialist republics can be the real arena for a harmonious Socialist society.

Today, after the test of events, I see less reason than ever to dissociate myself from this theory.

The Bolshevik Party

After all that has been said above, is it still worth while to recall the Fascist writer, Malaparte, who ascribes to me tactics which are independent of strategy and amount to a series of technical recipes for insurrection, applicable in all latitudes and longitudes? It is a good thing that the name of the luckless theoretician of the coup d'Etat makes it easy to distinguish him from the victorious practitioner of the coup d'Etat; no one therefore runs the risk of confusing Malaparte with Bonaparte.

Without the armed insurrection of November 7, 1917, the Soviet state would not be in existence. But the insurrection itself did not drop from Heaven. A series of historical prerequisites was necessary for the October revolution.

- 1. The rooting away of the old ruling classes -the nobility, the monarchy, the bureaucracy.
- 2. The political weakness of the bourgeoisie, which had no roots in the masses of the people.
- 3. The revolutionary character of the peasant question
- 4. The revolutionary character of the problem of the oppressed nations.
- 5. The significant social weight of the proletariat.
- To these organic pre-conditions we must add certain conjunctural conditions of the highest importance:
- 6. The Revolution of 1905 was the great school, or in Lenin's words, the "dress rehearsal" of the Revolution of 1917. The Soviets, as the irreplace-

able organizational form of the proletarian united front in the revolution, were created for the first time in the year 1905.

7. The imperialist war sharpened all the contradictions, tore the backward masses out of their immobility and thereby prepared the grandiose scale of the catastrophe.

But all these conditions, which fully sufficed for the outbreak of the Revolution, were insufficient to assure the victory of the proletariat in the Revolution. For this victory one condition more was needed:

8. The Bolshevik Party.

When I enumerate this condition as the last in the series, I do it only because it follows the necessities of the logical order, and not because I assign the Party the last place in the order of importance.

No, I am far from such a thought. The liberal bourgeoisie-yes, it can seize the power and has seized it more than once as the result of struggles in which it took n part; it posesses organs of seizure which are admirably adapted to the purpose. But the working masses are in a different position: they have long been accustomed to give, and not to take. They work, are patient as long as they can be, hope, lose their patience, rise up and struggle ,die, bring victory to the others, are betrayed, fall into despondency, again bow their necks, again work. This is the history of the masses of the people under all regimes. In order to take the power firmly and surely into its hands the proletariat needs a Party, which far surpasses the other parties in the clarity of its thought and in its revolutionary

The Party of the Bolsheviks, which has been described more than once and with complete justification as the most revolutionary Party in the history of mankind, was the living condensation of the modern history of Russia, of all that was dynamic in it. The overthrow of Tsarism had long since become the necessary condition for the development of economy and culture. But for the solution of this task, the forces were insufficient. The bourgeoisie feared the revolution. The intelligentsia tried to bring the peasant to his feet. The muzhik, incapable of generalizing his own miseries and his aims, left this appeal unanswered. The intelligentsia armed itself with dynamite. A whole generation was burned up in this struggle.

On March 1, 1887, Alexander Ulianov carried out the last of the great terrorist plots. The attempted assassination of Alexander III failed. Ulianov and the other participants were executed. The attempt to substitute a chemical preparation for the revolutionary class suffered shipwreck. Even the most heroic intelligentsia is nothing without the masses. Under the immediate impression of these facts and conclusion grew up Ulianov's younger brother Vladimir, the later Lenin, the greatest figure of Russian history. Even in his early youth he placed himself on the foundations of Marxism, and turned his face toward the proletariat. Without losing sight of the village for a moment, he sought the way to the peasantry through the workers. Having inherited from his revolutionary predecessors their determination, their capacity for self-sacrifice, and their willingness to go to the limit, Lenin at an early age became the teacher of the new generation of the intelligentsia and of the advanced workers. In strikes and street fights, in prisons and in exile, the workers received the necessary tempering. They needed the searchlight of Marxism to light up their historical road in the darkness of absolutism.

In the year 1883 there arose among the emigres the first Marxist group. In the year 1898, at a secret meeting, the foundation of the Russian Social-Democratic Workers' Party was proclaimed (we all called ourselves Social-Democrats in those days). In the year 1903 occurred the split between Bolsheviks and Mensheviks. In the year 1912 the Bolshevist fraction finally became an independent Party.

It learned to recognize the class mechanics of society in struggle, in the grandoise events of twelve years (1905-1017). It educated cadres equally capable of initiative and of subordination. The discipline of its revolutionary action was based on the unity of its doctrine, on the tradition of common struggles and on confidence in its tested leadership.

Thus stood the Party in the year 1917. Despised by the official "public opinion" and the paper thunder of the intelligentsia press, it adapted itself to the movement of the masses. Firmly it kept in hand the control of factories and regiments. More and more the peasant masses turned toward it. If we understand by "nation", not the privileged heads, but the majority of the people, that is, the workers and peasants, then Bolshevism became in the course of the year 1917 a truly national Russain Party.

In September 1917, Lenin, who was compelled to keep in hiding, gave the signal, "The crisis is ripe, the hour of the insurrection has approached". He was right. The ruling classes had landed in a blind alley before the problems of the war, the land and national liberation. The bourgeoisie finally lost its head. The democratic parties, the Mensheviks and social-revolutionaries wasted the remains of the confidence of the masses in them by their support of the imperialist war, by their policy of ineffectual compromise and concession to the bourgeois and feudal property-owners. The awakened army no longer wanted to fight for the alien aims of imperialism. Disregarding democratic advice, the peasantry smoked the landowners out of their estates. The oppressed nationalities at the periphery rose up against the bureaucracy of Petrograd. In the most important workers' and soldiers' Soviets the Bolsheviki were dominant. The workers and soldiers demanded action. The ulcer was ripe. It needed a cut of the lancet.

Only under these social and political conditions was the insurrection possible. And thus it also became inevitable. But there is no playing around with the insurrection. Woe to the surgeon who is careless in the use of the lancet! Insurrection is an art. It has its laws and its rules.

The Party carried through the October insurrection with cold calculation and with flaming determination. Thanks to this, it conquered almost without victims. Through the victorious Soviets the Bolsheviki placed themselves at the head of a country which occupies one sixth of the surface of the globe.

The majority of my present listeners, it is to be presumed, did not occupy themselves at all with politics in the year 1917. So much the better. Before the young generation lies much that is interesting, if not always easy. But the representatives of the older generation in this hall will surely well remember how the seizure of power by the Bolsheviki was received: as a curiosity, as a misunderstanding, as a scandal; most often as a nightmare which was bound to disappear with the first rays of (Continued on PAGE THREE)

LEON TROTSKY

History of the Russian Revolution

PU LISHERS PRICE

OUR PRICE

Vol. One Vol. Two Vol. Three

\$3.50 \$3.50

Send All Orders Immediately To

POSTAGE 20c PER VOLUME Extra

\$9 the Boxed Set

PIONEER PUBLISHERS

84 East 10th Street, New York

\$3 per Volume

«The October Revolution Has Laid The Foundation For A New Civilization» - 1932

(Continued from page 2)

dawn. The Bolsheviki would last twenty-four hours, a week, a month, a year. The period had to be constantly lengthened... The rulers of the whole world armed themselves against the first workers' state: civil war was stirred up, interventions again and again, blockade. So passed year after year. Meantime history has recorded fifteen years of existence of the Soviet power.

15 Years of the Soviet Regime

"Yes", some opponent will say, "the adventure of October has shown itself to be much more substantial than many of us thought. Perhaps it was not even quite an 'adventure'. Nevertheless, the question retains its full force: What was achieved at this high cost? Were then those dazzling tasks fulfilled which the Bolsheyiki proclaimed on the eve of the Revolution?"

Before we answer the hypothetical opponent, let us note that the question in and of itself is not new. On the contrary, it followed right at the heels of the October Revolution, since the day of its birth.

The French journalist, Claude Anet, who was in Petrograd during the Revolution, wrote as early as October 27, 1917:

"Les maximalistes ont pris le pouvoir et le grand jour est arrive. Enfin, me dis-je, je vais voir so realiser l'Eden socialiste qu'on nous promet depuis tant d'annees....Admirable adventure! Position privilegee!"

"The maximalists (which was what the French 'called the Bolsheviks at that time) have seized the power and the great day has come. At last, I say to myself, I shall behold the realization of the socialist Eden which has been promised us for so many years....Admirable adventure! A privileged position!" And so on and so forth. What sincere hatred behind the ironical salutation! The very morning after the capture of the Winter Palace, the reactionary journalist hurried to register his claim for a ticket of admission to Eden. Fifteen years have passed since the Revolution. With all the greater absence of ceremony our enemies reveal their malicious joy over the fact that the land of the Soviets, even today, bears but little resemblance to a realm of general well-being. Why then the Revolution and why the sacrifices?

Worthy listeners—permit me to thing that the contradictions, difficulties, mistakes and want of the Soviet regime are no less familiar to me than to anyone else. I personally have never concealed them, whether in speech or in writing. I have believed and I still believe that revolutionary politics, as distinguished from conservative, cannot be built up on concealment. "To speak out that which is" must be the highest principle of the workers' state.

But in criticism, as well as in creative activity, perspective is necessary. Subjectivism is a poor adviser, particularly in great questions. Periods of time must be commensurate with the tasks, and not with individual caprices. Fifteen years! How much that is in the life of one man! Within that period not a few of our generation were borne to their graves and those who remain have added innumerable gray hairs. But these same fifteen years—what an insignificant period in the life of a people! Only a minute on the clock of history.

Capitalism required centuries to maintain itself in the struggle against the Middle Ages, to raise the level of science and technology, to build railroads, to stretch electric wires. And then? Then humanity was thrust by capitalism into the hell of wars and crises! But Socialism is allowed by its enemies, that is, by the adherents of capitalism, only a decade and a half to install Paradise on earth with all modern improvements. No, such obligations were never assumed by us. Such periods of time were never set forth. The processes of great changes must be measured by scales which are commensurate with them. I do not know if the Socialist society will resemble the biblical Paradise. I doubt it. But in the Soviet Union there is no Socialsm as yet. The situation that prevails there is one of transition, full of contradictions, burdened with the heavy inheritance of the past, and in addition under the hostile pressure of the capitalistic states. The October Revolution has proclaimed the principle of the new society. The Soviet Republic has shown only the first stage of its realization. Edison's first lamp was very bad. We must know how to distinguish the future from among the mistakes and faults of the first Socialist construction.

But the unhappiness that rains on living men? Do the results of the Revolution justify the sacrifice which it has caused? A fruitless question, rhetorical through and through; as if the processes of history admitted of an accounting balance-sheet! We might just as well ask, in view of the difficulties and miseries of human existence, "Does it pay to be born altogether?" To which Heine wrote, "And the fool waits for answer"...Such melancholy reflections have not hindered mankind from being born and from giving birth. Suicides, even in these days of unexampled world crisis, fortunately constitute an unimportant percentage. But peoples never resort to suicide. When their burdens are intolerable, they seek a way out through revolution.

Besides, who becomes indignant over the victims of the socialist upheaval? Most often those who have paved the way for the victims of the imperialist war, and have glorified or, at least, easily accommodated themselves to it. It is now our turn to ask, "Has the war justified itself? What has it given us? What has it taught?"

The reactionary historian, Hippolyte Taine, in his eleven-volume pamphlet against the great French Revolution describes, not without malicious joy, the sufferings of the French people in the years of the dictatorship of the Jacobins and afterward. The worst off were the lower classes of the cities. the plebeians, who as "sansculottes" had given up the best of their souls for the revolution. Now they or their wives stood in line throughout cold nights to return empty-handed to the extinguished family hearth. In the tenth y'ar of the revolution Paris was poorer than before it began. Carefully selected, artifically pieced-out facts serve Taine as justification for his annihilating verdict against the revolution. Look, the plebeians wanted to be dictators and have precipitated themselves into misery!

It is hard to conceive of a more uninspired piece of moralizing. First of all, if the revolution precipitated the country into misery, the blame lay principally on the ruling classes who drove the people to revolution. Second, the great French Revolution did not exhaust itself in hungry lines before bakeries. The whole of modern France, in many respects the whole of modern divilization, arose out of the bath of the French Revolution!

In the course of the Civil War in the United States in the '60's of the past century, 50,000 men

were killed. Can these sacrifices be justified?

From the standpoint of the American slave-holder and the ruling classes of Great Britain who marched with them—no! From the standpoint of the negro or of the British workingman—absolutely! And from the standpoint of the development of humanity as a whole—there can be no doubt whatever. Out of the Civil War of the '60's came the present United States with its unbounded practical initiative, its rationalized technology, its economic clan. On these achievements of Americanism humanity will build the new society.

The October Revolution penetrated deeper than any of its predecessors into the Holy of Holies of society—into its property relations. So much the longer time is necessary to reveal the creative consequences of the Revolution in all the domains of life. But the general direction of the upheaval is already clear; the Soviet Republic has no reason whatever to hank its head before its capitalist accusers and speak the language of apology.

To evaluate the new regime from the standpoint of human development, one must first answer the question, "How does social progress express itself and how can it be measured?"

Balance Sheet of October

The deepest, the most objective and the most indisputable criterion says—progress can be measured by the growth of the productivity of social labor. The evaluation of the October Revolution from this point of view is already given by experience. The principle of socialistic organization has for the first time in history shown its ability to record unheard-of results in production in a short space of time.

The curve of the industrial development of Russia, expressed in crude index numbers, is as follows, taking 1913, the last year before the war, as 100. The year 1920, the highest point of the civil war, is also the lowest point in industry—only 25, that is to say, a quarter of the pre-war production. In 1925 it rose to 75, that is, three-quarters of the pre-war production; in 1929 about 200, in 1932, 300, that is to say, three times as much as on the eye of the war.

The picture becomes even more striking in the light of the international index. From 1925 to 1932 the industrial production of Germany has declined one and a half times, in America twice: in the Soviet Union it has increased fourfold. These figures speak for themselves.

I have no intention of denying or concealing the seamy side of Soviet economy. The results of the industrial index are extraordinarily influenced by the unfavorable development of agriculture, that is to say, of that field which has essentially not yet risen to Socialist methods, but at the same time has been led on the road to collectivization with insufficient preparation, bureaucratically rather than technically and economically. This is a great question, which however goes beyond the limits of my lecture.

The index numbers cited require another important reservation. The indisputable and, in their way, splendid results of Soviet industrialization demand a further economic checking-up from the standpoint of the mutual adaptation of the various elements of economy, their dynamic equilibrium and consequently their productive capacity. Here great difficulties and even setbacks are inevitable. Socialism does not arise in its perfected form from the Five-Year Plan, like Minerva from the head of Jupiter, or Venus from the foam of the sea. Before it are decades of persistent work, of mistakes, corrections and reorganization. Moreover, let us not forget that Socialist construction in accordance with its very nature can only reach perfection on the international arena. But even the most unfavorable economic balance-sheet of the results obtained so far could reveal only the incorrectness of the preliminary calculations, the errors of the plan and the mistakes of the leadership, but could in no way refute the empirically firmly established fact-the possibility, with the aid of Socialist methods, of raising the productivity of collective labor to an unheard-of height. This conquest, of world-historical importance, cannot be taken away from us by anybody or anything.

After what has been said, it is scarcely worth while to spend time on the complaints, that the October revolution has brought Russia to the downfall of its civilization. That is the voice of the disquieted ruling houses and the salons. The feudal-bourgeois "civilization" overthrown by the proletarian upheaval was only barbarism with decorations a la Talmi. hile it remained inaccessible to the Russian people, it brought little that was new to the treasury of mankind.

the treasury of mankind. But even with respect to this civilization, which is so bemoaned by the white emigres, we must put the question more precisely—in what sense is it ruined? Only in one sense; the monopoly of a small minority in the treasures of civilization has been destroyed. But everything of cultural value in the old Russian civilization has remained untouched. The Huns of Bolshevism have shattered neither the conquests of the mind nor the creations of art. On the contrary, they carefully collected the monuments of human creativeness and arranged them in model order. The culture of the monarchy, the nobility and the bourgeoisie has now become the culture of the museums.

The people visits these museums eagerly. But it does not live in them. It learns. It builds. The fact alone that the October Revolution taught the Russian people, the dozens of peoples of Tsarist Russia, to read and write, stands immeasurably higher than the whole former hot-house Russian civilization.

The October Revolution has laid the foundations for a new civilization, which is designed, not for a select few, but for all. This is felt by the masses of the whole world. Hence their sympathy for the Soviet Union, which is as passionate as once was their hatred for Tsarist Russia.

Worthy listeners—you know that human language is an irreplacable tool, not only for giving names to events but also for evaluating them. By filtering out that which is accidental, episodic, artificial, it absorbs that which is essential, characteristic, of full weight. Notice with what nicety the languages of civilized nations have distinguished two epochs in the development of Russia. The culture of the nobility brought into world currency such barbarisms as Tsar, Cossack, pogrom, nagaika. You know these words and what they mean. The October Revolution introduced into the language of the world such words as Bolshevik, Soviet, kolkhoz, Gosplan, Piatiletka. Here practical linguistics holds its historical supreme court!

The profoundest significance, but the hardest to submit to immediate measurement, of that great Revolution consists in the fact that it forms and tempers the character of the people. The conception of the Russian people as slow, passive, melancholy-mystical, is widely spread and not accidental. It has its roots in the past. But in Western countries up to the present time those far-reaching change have not been sufficiently considered which have been introduced into the character of the people by the Revolution. Could it have been otherwise?

Every man with experience of life can recall the picture of some youth, that he has known, receptive, lyrical, all too suspectible, who later, all at once, under the influence of a powerful moral impetus, became hardened and unrecognizable. In the development of a whole nation, such moral transformations are wrought by the revolution.

The February insurrection against the autocracy, the struggle against the nobility, against the imperialist war, for peace, for land, for national equality, the October insurrection, the overthrow of the bourgeoisie, and of those parties which sought agreements with the bourgeoisie, three years of civil war on a front of 5,000 miles, the years of blockade, hunger, misery and epidemics, the years of tense economic reconstruction, of new difficulties and renunciations—these make a hard but a good school. A heavy hammer smashes glass, but forges steel. The hammer of the Revolution forged the steel of the people's character.

"Who will believe", wrote a Tsarist general, Zalewski, with indignation, shortly after the upheaval, "that a porter or a watchman suddenly becomes a chief justice, a hospital attendant—the director of a hospital, a barber—an officeholder, a corporal—a commander-in-chief, a day worker—a mayor, a locksmith—the director of a factory?"

"Who will believe it?" They had to believe it. They could do nothing else but believe it, when the corporals defeated generals, when the mayor—the former day worker—broke the resistance of the old bureaucracy, the wagon-greaser put the transportation system in order, the locksmith as director put the industrial equipment into working condition. "Who will believe it?" Let them only try and not believe it

For an explanation of the extraordinary persistance which the masses of the people of the Soviet Union are showing throughout the years of the Revolution, many foreign observers rely, in accord with ancient habit, on the "passivity" of the Russian character. The revolutionary masses endure their privations patiently but not passively. With their own hands they are creating a better future and they want to create it, at any cost. Let the class enemy only attempt to impose his will from the outside on these patient masses! No, he would do better not to try it!

The Revolution and Its Place in History

Let us now in closing attempt to ascertain the place of the October Revolution, not only in the history of Russia but in the history of the world. During the year 1917, in a period of eight months, two historical curves intersect. The February upheaval—that belated echo of the great struggles which had been carried out in past centuries on the territories of Holland, England, France, almost all of Continental Europe—takes its place in the series of bourgeois revolutions. The Ortober Revolution proclaims and opens the domination of the proletariat. It was world capitalism that suffer ed its first great defeat on the territory of Russia. The chain broke at its weakest link. But it was the chain that broke, and not only the link.

Capitalism has outlived itself as a world system. It has ceased to fulfill its essential mission, the increase of human power and human wealth. Humanity cannot stand still at the level which it has reached. Only a powerful increase in productive force and a sound, planned, that is, Socialist organization of production and distribution can assure humanity-all humanity-of a decent standard of life and at the same time give it the precious feeling of freedom with respect to its own economy. Freedom in two senses-first of all, man will no longer be compelled to devote the greater part of his life to physical labor. Second, he will no longer be dependent on the laws of the market, that is, on the blind and dark forces which have grown up behind his back. He will build up his economy freely, that is, according to a plan, with compass in hand. This time it is a question of subjecting the anatomy of society to the X-ray through and through, of disclosing all its secrets and subjecting all its functions to the reason and the will of collective humanity. In this sense, Socialism must become a new step in the historical advance of mankind. Before our ancestor, who first armed himself with a stone axe, the whole of nature represented a conspiracy of secret and hostile forces. Since then, the natural sciences, hand in hand with practical technology, have illuminated nature down to its most secret depths. By means of electrical energy, the physicist passes judgment on the nucleus of the atom. The hour is not far when science will easily solve the task of the alchemists, and turn manure into gold and gold into manure. Where the demons and furies of nature once raged, now rules ever more courageously the industrial will of man.

But while he wrestled victoriously with nature, man built up his relations to other men blindly, almost like the bee or the ant. Belatedly and most undecidedly he approached the problems of human society. He began with religion, and passed on to politics. The Reformation represented the first victory of bourgeois individualism and rationalism in a domain which had been ruled by dead tradition. From the church, critical thought went on to the state. Born in the struggle with absolutism and the medieval estates, the doctrine of the sovereignty of the people and of the rights of man and the citizen grew stronger. Thus arose the system of parliamentarism. Critical thought penetrated into the domain of government administration. The political rationalism of democracy was the highest achievement of the revolutionary bourgeoise.

But between nature and the state stands economic life. Technology liberated man from the tyranny of the old elements—earth, water, fire and air-only to subject him to its own tyranny. Man ceased to be a slave to nature, to become a slave to the machine, and, still worse, a slave to supply and demand. The present world crisis testifies in especially tragic fashion how man, who dives to the bottom of the ocean, who rises up to the stratosphere, who converses on invisible waves with the Antipodes, how this proud and daring ruler of nature remains a slave to the blind forces of his own economy. The historical task of our epoch consists in replacing the uncontrolled play of the market by reasonable planning, in disciplining the forces of production, compelling them to work together in harmony and obediently serve the needs of mankind. Only on this new social basis will man be able to stretch his weary limbs and-every man and every woman, not only a selected fewbecome a full citizen in the realm of thought.

But this is not yet the end of the road. No, it is only the beginning. Man calls himself the crown of creation. He has a certain right to that claim. But who has asserted that present-day man is the last and highest representative of the species Homo sapiens? No, physically as well as spiritually he is very far from perfection, prematurely born biologically, sick in mind and without new organic equilibrium.

It is true that humanity has more than once brought forth giants of thought and action, who tower over their contemporaries like summits in a chain of mountains. The human race has a right to be proud of its Aristotle, Shakespeare, Darwin, Beethoven, Goethe, Marx, Edison, and Lenin. But why are they so rare? Above all because, almost without exception, they came out of the upper and middle classes. Apart from rare exceptions, the sparks of genius in the suppressed depths of the people are choked before they can burst into flame. But also because the processes of creating, developing and educating a human being have been and remain essentially a matter of chance, not illuminated by theory and practice, not subjected to consciousness and will.

Anthropology, biology, physiology and psychology have accumulated mountains of material to raise up before mankind in their full scope the tasks of perfecting and developing body and spirit. Psychoanalysis, with the inspired hand of Sigmond Freud, has lifted the cover of the well which is poetically called the "soul". And what has been revealed? Our conscious thought is only a small part of the work of the dark psychic forces. Learned divers descend to the bottom of the ocean and there take photographs of mysterious fishes. Human thought, descending to the bottom of its own psychic sources, must shed light on the most mysterious driving forces of the soul and subject them to reason and to will.

Once he has done with the anarchic forces of his own society, man will set to work on himself, in the pestle and the retort of the chemist. For the first time mankind will regard itself as raw material, or at best as a physical and psychic semi-finished product. Socialism will mean a leap from the realm of necessity into the realm of freedom in that other sense too, that the present-day contradictory and disharmonious man will pave the way for a new and happier race.

Help Us Publish Trotsky's Speech On "The Defense of the October Revolution"

It is impossible to speak of the speech which comrade Trotsky made to the social-democratic students in Copenhagen without the greatest enthusiasm. It is a masterpiece. We know that it will take its place with the inspired teachings of our great revolutionary leaders.

It is, at the same time, a crushing and unanswerable refutation of the slanders with which the degenerated Stalinist regime seeks to maintain its crumbling prestige. Who, reading this speech, can lend credence to the boomeranglegend that Trotsky and the Left Opposition are counter-revolutionists? What belief could he place in pieces like this? (Daily Worker, January 19, 1933): "Trotsky, like the Socialist Daily Forward, acts on the theory that any difficulty in the Soviet Union is his opportunity. The main line of imperialist attack consists in the attempt to discredit revolution as a weapon of the working class, as the way out of the miseries of capitalism; it consists in the attempt to convince the masses in the imperialist and colonial countries that no matter how bad their conditions are, things are still worse for the masses of the Soviet Union. This is Trotsky's line." Is not the speech itself, if we are to leave out of account for the moment, all the writings and deeds of the International Left Opposition, a sufficient answer to this trash of the Stalinists? We think it is. That is why we want

to bring it out as a pamphlet and give it a wide distribution.

Will you help us get this pamphlet out? The cost is about ninety dollars. That is the figure we must raise, and raise at once. Work on the pamphlet has already begun. The question is: can we raise the money necessary to get it out quickly? Our comrades and friends must give the answer.

Elsewhere in this issue we explain how the publishing fund works. Let us apply it now, concretely, to the problem of getting out this pamphlet. Let those of us who can afford it make donations for this purpose. No one should hesitate to send in what he can. No amount is too small, and, of course, no amount is ever too large. Perhaps there are some friends who would like to help us get the pamphlet out but who are not in a position to make donations. They can help with loans.

No one should hesitate to make a loan. The money will be used excusively for the purpose of getting out the pamphlet. A strict accounting will be kept and given. A record will be published in the **Militant**. And, of course, every pour, will be paid back.

penny will be paid back.

Now let us raise the money in the shortest possible time. Ninety dollars are not much. We can do it. Rush all funds to PIONEER PUBLISHERS, at 84 East 10th Street, New York.

THE NEW PARTY TURN-

(Continued from page 1) pate in a real struggle for the unemployed if they are not even invited to do so? Secondly, the turn of policy is carried out, not in the direct, straightforward manner of Bolsheviks, but in the indirect and shame-faced manner of Stalinists. The party does not proclaim the policy, issue the call and take the atical stability in that restricted seclead. That is all left to the "Trade Union Committee" controlled by the Miners of America-is not a sufficient party. Thirdly, there is no frank acknowledgment of the ruinous errors of of all, the progressive labor organizathe "Third Period"; and no explanations tions now existing in isolated localities of the reasons for the change. Thus the and trades would not constitute a new door is left open for a retreat-also trade union center in the real sense of without explanations.

Yet another-and the most dangerous of the Stalinists has already been pointed from the conservative organizations and out in last week's Militant. That is arrest the radical ferment within them. the parliamentary reformist trend of the Instead of creating a new progressive delegates, Caldis, Katsikis and Haidous. proposals. The time to warn against labor center on a firm basis the premasuch a trend is now. Having concocted ture step now under consideration at their policy of ultra-Left adventurism in Gillespie would retard the development the gathering was a priest representing an artificial atmosphere of revolution in this direction. Instead of reinforc the Archbishop. He very consciously exary upsurge the Stalinists can now be ing the position of the Progressive Min- ploited the opportunity and used very expected to swing to the other extreme ers union the proposed, new venture clever demagogy to strengthen the presand transform the party militants into would undermine it, cut it off arbitrarily tige of the bankrupt clergy. His final petitioners for picayune reforms. The from its natural allies, the half-formed words were applauded by many ignorant Amsterdam Congress against War heralded this swing to the Right on an inter- tive unions, and weaken the prestige as a reporter of the U. F. Committee and national scale. The watering down of and authority of the Progressive Miners availed himself of the opportunity to atpolicy in every field is on the order of organization. The reactionary labor tack the archbishop. the day. The over-emphasis on purely bureaucracy at which the Gillespie enterparliamentary action in the call for the the more menacing in the light of this general shift of policy to the Right.

Having failed to conquer American capitalism in frontal attack the Stalin- of Illinois enjoys a high respect among the period of discussion began and the ist generals have now given the signal the militant and progressive labor ele- first delegate to speak was our comrade to go after a little at a time; to tone ments throughout the country. And Katsikis who greeted the gathering and down the talk about the final goal of the justly so. It has won this respect in emphasized the necessity of linking up struggle. A highly amusing, but none the less significant incident is reported still writing, bright pages of labor hisfrom Des Moines. A functionary, re- tory. It is a militant organization and, tionist lines. Many other delegates of sponding to a critical speech by comrade Lewitt, explained that he had been instructed "not to talk about revolution in the West." In this crude remark of the naive field worker is embodied the essence of the new Stalinist strategy: "We This is the impulse behind the Confer-criticism and that those who are active, raged over Russia's refusal to recognize couldn't get the workers by command; now let us fool them in." By this maneuver they can fool the workers and

this element of the new turn in united premature and ill-considered ventures to pious wishes but offer some healthy Opposition, can sound the alarm against front policy and lead the struggle against it. But this cannot be done effectively by a negative or standing-aside attitude toward the united front movement. On the contrary. Such an attitude can only alienate the Left Opposition from the Communist workers and doom its criticism to fulfility. An active participation in the movement, in the work and in the fight is the only way to make the revolutionary influence of the Left Opposition count in the new turn of events.

A NEW FEDERATION OF LABOR?

The Conference of Illinois trade unionists held at Gillespie on December 27th, and the second one scheduled for January 29th-both of them sponsored by the Gillespie Trades and Labor Council and the Progressive Miners of America-are events of exceptional interest to the progressive and revolutionary elements throughout the country. The first conference call proposed to discuss and lay plans for "formulating a Progressive Federation of Labor displacing the old and practically defunct American Federation of Labor." Such a project raises again, and very concretely, a crucial question of tactics, which the Left wing militants must answer realistically and correctly if disastrous errors are to be avoided.

That the coming resurgence of work ing class militancy, the conditions for which are maturing under the fearful pressure of the crisis, will break out of the formal bounds of the conservative away. Her activity was in the forefront declared that Protomagia is an educatrade union organizations—of this we of the American movement against the tional club and was founded to fill the have not the slightest doubt. That it capitalists and the revisionists within gap that the Spartacus left open for will result in, or at least make possible, our ranks. In the Socialist party com- reasons that we can discuss on another the formation of new, modern organizations on the industrial union basis in various fields is a reasonable calculation. The emergence out of the stormy conflicts of a new trade union center is not excluded; it is rather the most probable prospect. But, assuming all this, it by no means follow that the basis now exists for the formation of such a new tween the Left Opposition and the Right- American Oppositionists who "killed two trade union center. And, still less does it follow that organizational steps in States comrade Gutringer became a this direction, at the present time, will foundation member of the American sec- after the meeting were very anxious to facilitate the development of the pro- tion of the Left Opposition. In our know the reason for these attacks by gressive movement. On the contrary, at movement she carried on the same active the Stalinists. We told them that they the present moment, they can only add another demoralizing failure and place new obstacles in the way of a normal and solidly-grounded development of the new union movement. From this point of view the progressive sentiments and aims of the Illinois militants run the danger of being negated by ill-considered tactics. The moderation of the original project at the December 27th interest in the youth of our movement comrade Repanis shouted, "I am the Conference is to be welcomed with the reflected her understanding of the needs treasurer of the club and all its funds hope that it is to be followed by a of the American section. The struggle are at the disposal of the Spartacus still further moderation of tactics on against capitalism gave her strength, Club. We will be very glad to dispense January 29th.

the A. F. of L. for supremacy in the upon her. None the less she consistently ification of our forces." labor movement will very likely make trod the path of the international rev its appearance at a certain stage in olution and Marxian principles.

THE MILITANT

New York, N. Y. Under the act of recruits into the ranks of the Left Op-March 3, 1879.

Published weekly by the Communist League of America (Opposition) at 126 East 16th St., N. Y. EDITORIAL BOARD James P. Cannon

Martin Abern Max Shachtman Maurice Spector Arne Swabeck SATURDAY, JANUARY 21, 1933 Vol. 6, No. 3 Whole No. 150

the development of the American labor movement towards eventual unity on a class struggle basis. But such a new, call of the impatient militaries, of a new isolate unions. A union in one industry alone, or rather in one section of one industry, and with a still problemtion—as is the case with the Progressive basis. The addition of a few, or even the word. It could only give the deceptive apearance of such a center, tend of all the weaknesses in the new step to isolate the dynamic militant elements

prise is subjectively aimed will be the against the Greek bosses without a sin-

ence at Gillespie, and it is a fundament- are always liable to commit mistakes. the puppet state of Manchukuo and her ally sound one. Properly directed the Illinois miners movement can become a real influence for the revival of militant and told the Stalinists that on such ocbut never into a revolutionary struggle. labor throughout the country. All the casions as this, the workers in reviewing The Left Opposition, and only the Left more reason therefore, to guard against their activities propose certain things the dissipation of this influfience in and in general do not confine themselves which leave the present reality out of criticsm for the benefit of the struggle. account.

According to the report in the "Pro-Gillespie decided "to continue indefinitely the work of fighting within the this it is to be inferred that the plan to form an independent labor federation has already been modified to a certain extent, or at least postponed. It is to be hoped that the second Conference on January 29th, will revise the plan fundamentally and take the organization of a new federation off the agenda for the present.

At the present stage of developments general propaganda movement for a militant program is needed. Such a -J. P. C.

Pauline Gutringer

Comrade Pauline Gutringer, member of the New York Branch, has passed reasons of this dual Club existence but rade Gutringer fought with the other occasion. Left wingers against the opportunism and revisionism of the socialist "leaders".

The building of the American Comsection had the active support of comrade Gutringer. When the struggle be-Centre bloc broke out in the United in Union Square and unflinchingly with-

ist bureaucrats.

Let her struggle be a lesson to the comrades of the movement. A worker-November 28, 1928, at the Post Office at and replaced by tens and hundreds of is a folk olik and replaced by tens and hundreds of

LENIN ISSUE

As announced in the last issue of the Militant this issue was to be a combined Lenin, Liebknecht, Luxemburg issue. For technical reasons the materpossible all of it will appear in the next pated in the above mentioned gathering.

Protomagia at United Front Meet

The Daily Worker and the Empros have lauded the success of the Greek-speaking workers' Unemployed United Front beorder. It cannot come into life at the cause it succeeded in obtaining \$100.00 from the Charity Fund of the Archbishop. Although we do not disagree with the action of the unemployed in obtaining re lief wherever possible, we do disagree with the methods that the Stalinist leadership pursued until last Sunday. Instead of calling a genuine united front in the beginning they confined themselves to a united front only with the Greek Archbishop.

> The United Front Committee invited for the first time since its inception, every Greek organization to participate in the discussion scheduled to take place on Sunday, January 8th. Our Greek workers Club Protomagia responded to this call and elected three comrades as

The meeting took place at the appointed time and the first speaker to address progressive movements in the conserva- workers. Comrade Daniels followed him

His entire remarks were concentrated Unemployment Conference becomes all real gainers if the original plan to gle word to be uttered against the na-"formulate a New Progressive Federa- tive bourgeoisie and the necessity of linktion of Labor" is not radically modified. ing the struggle of the Greek workers The Progressive Miners organization with that of the American workers. Then stirring battles which wrote, and are the struggle and preventing it from bedespite the weakness and vacillations party auxiliaries greeted the gathering in its leadership has a profound urge and then a Stalinist delegate from the battle front and to join hands with audacity to attack the members of Pro- In the last issue of the Militant we rekindred elements on a national scale. tomagia as people that only contribute counted their hostile acts. They are en-

> Comrade Caldis addressed the meeting Also he emphasized the necessity of linking the struggle of the Greek workers gressive Miner" the first Conference at with those of the native workers and of classifying the Greek bosses as American exploiters. He criticized the Stalinists American Federation of Laobr". From for their statements that the struggle is not political and pointed out that the charity methods are very opportunistic. He demanded representation of the Protomagia in the United Front Committee in order that its members might serve effectively in the Unemployment drive. To this demand the Stalinist chairman answered that at the 12th of February Conference of the U. F. C. a proportional representation would be given to all organizations.

The Empros delegate told the comrades movement can unite the militant and that the Empros did not receive the reprogressive forces in all the labor or ports of the Committe in time and that ganizations, inside as well as outside the next issue would surely print enough. the A. F. of L., and consolidate their He hinted that the delegates of Proapart and weaken the struggle of each. revolutionists to do our work with all compels us to omit the usual ad. the class conscious workers and it's up to all of you present to judge for yourselves who we are in the field of the class struggle." A prejudiced worker shouted that "we have only one workers' club": to this worker comrade Haidous very correctly answered that at present the time is not proper to discuss the

Then comrade Daniels summed up and to the entire movement he raised twenty- ate it? forgot everything about the class enemies and merely concentrated his attacks against the Left Opposition of Greece munist movement and its Hungarian that "kills workers and acts as stool rigeons for the police." Also he tried to develop a lynching spirit against the workers" on the corner of 7th and Ave. A. The workers remained silent and work she did before in the party. With heard enough about the Food ,Workers exemplary courage she sold the Militant Industrial Union, how militant it is. And therefore, as food workers, they stood the physical attacks of the Stalin-should join the Union and find out for themselves who are these "counter-rev-She was well inffrmed on the in-olutionists" and Trotskyists. Many ternational movement, and followed the Communist sympathizers expressed the working class struggle throughout the wish that we should unite again in the world as a true revolutionist. Her keen ranks of the Spartacus Club and to this but as with most sincere revolutionists with our organization. It is up to you A new trade union center contesting the internal struggles left deep marks workers to fight militantly for the un-

> The Daily Worker pretends to show that the Greek Club, Protomagia, which participated in the united front conferskyites in order to appear as delegates.

The fact of the matter is that the members of Protomagia are bona fide trade union members. Many of them have long party membership prior to their adherence to the views of the Left Opposition. This fact is well-known, esial prepared was not ready in time. If pecially to those workers who partici-If it was otherwise, as the Daily Worker

pretends, the Greek Stalinites would never have given the floor to our com-

The effect of the healthy criticism of doubt by the apologies of the editorial board of Empros, of January 14th, in which they try to place the blame for their shameful co-operation with the comrades assigned to the united front committee. The truth of the matter is co-operation with the Greek archbishop, and, thereby strengthened with their action the prejudices of the ignorant —A. C.

Japs in Jehol

(Continued from page 1) ese. When the time comes they will pass over from the realm of words. Al-

erady we hear the music of the future. Secretary of State, Stimson in his Phillipine independence, on Jan. 16th said, regarding the situation that might ensue if the Phillipines were freed, "Such a situation might be an irreparable blow to American influence at a sults in confusion and contradictions. time when the state of affairs in the Far East is chaotic, when every element of stability is threatened and when may come out of the Orient one of these historic moments which might disturb the whole earth." Stripped of the diplomatic verbiage the meaning of this statement is clear as day. The danger

of war stands in close proximity. But parallel with the danger of a of a bloody assault upon the Soviet Union. For, however, rich and desirable the Chinese market is to the imperialist bandits their interests would be better fatherland than by tearing one other's throats over their booty.

China. They want to force the Soviet Union to act according to the standards of capitalist greed. But the Soviet Union has no imperialist axe to grind. Its interests are the interests of the international proletariat and conversely. The present actions of Japan and the other capitalist powers must alarm the working class to the growing danger of another world war. Not only does an imperialist war become a present danger but a united attack upon the Soviet Union is threatened.

After four years of misery and priperialists are preparing a huge blood bath for them to drown their sorows in. talism will grant concessions to unions To these preparations, intrigues, and the like the working class must be ready on its increases. to answer with the only answer at their disposal, the transformation of the imerialist war into the civil war for the overthrow of the capitalist system.

-GEO. CLARKE.

BOUND VOLUMES

We want to remind our readers that forces for common struggle. The forma- tomagia came here not to participate in the Bound Volumes of the Militant- needs of the class on the one hand and ist International and discuss this with tion of a new, independent labor move- any of the activities but just to disrupt. both formats—are still available at \$5 the kimited "program" of the U. M. P. the members of the Communist League ment all intentions to the contrary not- Our next delegate, comrade Haidous, for teh new format (recent issues); and as applied in the Workers' Councils on of America (Opposition) which is a facwithstanding, would pull these forces answered sharply that "we are here as \$10 for the old format. Lack of space the other hand, condemns these Com- tion of the Communist Party.

The Program of the U.

our comrades is proved beyond any Proletarian Party Offshoot Elaborates Opportunist Program

to show its fallacy.

1. The U. W. P. takes the stand that: false. "The crisis is permanent". "We are livstatement to Congress on the question of fails to take into consideration the re- moving to the Left but the overwhelming

2. The program correctly tells us that: agrarian and petit-bourgeois class" but swamp of national reformism. "we will cooperate with any group or well as what went before.

3. The section dealing with industry has some correct points but repeats in resumption of diplomatic relations with different words the formula dealing with the crisis: "that no factors are operating to help capitalism overcome its present crisis".

4. The section dealing with unions tors of present day relations between ing new industrial unions. On unions, they say the following: "In the period of ascendancy of capitalism these organizations could succeed in obtaining reconcessions can possibly be gotten." A vation for the working class the im- the fallacy of this position and the harm 5. The section dealing with unem-

very active in the day-to-day work and ism. for immediate relief but its program cannot be considered Communist. The P. to study the struggle munists in advance.

The United Workers Party, an offshoot | 6. In speaking of the farmers the of the disintegrated Proletarian Party program says: "Although the farmers Opposition has at last given birth to an may at times become rebellious, due to Greek cardinal on the shoulders of the eight-point program. Within the program the aggravated condition brought about there are many correct sentences and by the agrarian crisis, their outlook and ideas but considered as a whole it is objective are always reactionary." Again that these very same mercenaries of a miserable failure at an attempt to the U. W. P. does not understand how the editorial board were personally dir- formulate a p ogram. Where Marxism objective conditions force other classes ecting these ultra-Right wing methods of ideas are presented they are negated by to march parallel to the line of march other ideas within the very same para- of the proletariat toward the overthrow graph. To the readers of the Militant of capitalism. It is necessary to utilize it will be sufficient to give the essence this "reactionary" force of poor and of the "program" with a short comment middle farmers as allies in the struggle. To dismiss them as reactionary is

7. This political party says the foling in a period of permanent crisis; lowing about revolutionists participating capitalism throughout the world is col- in parliaments: "In this period of perlapsing." "-no factors are operating to manent crisis in America the tempo of help capitalism overcome its present collapse of capitalism does not permit crisis." This would be a hopeless sit- the working class to waste time or enuation for capitalism and we know ergy in parliamentary participation." there are no absolutely hopeless situa- This is false in general and equally false tions for the exploiters. The program in the present period. The masses are lation between the present decay stage bulk is not yet disillusioned with reof capitalism and the ebbs and flows form, and particularly its parliamentary within this period. The program lumps form. It would be well for the U. W. these two relations together which re- P. workers to read Lenin's "Infantile Sickness".

8. On the question of the Internation-'The only revolutionary class is the pro- al, which is the determining factor and letariat." The program says the U. W. the central point of every program the P. will not "make concessions to the U. W. P. flounders and falls into the

The position of the USSR and the organization for the purpose of sharpen- Third International are such that any ing and deepening the class struggle." Right wing socialist could endorse it If they mean concessions from the stand- in substance. They conclude this section point of principles we can agree but with the following words: "The U. W. P. world conflagration rises the possibility concessions to allies (Negro share crop appreciates the progress made by the pers, etc., etc.) in our united effort to Russian Revolution, and the subsequent overthrow American imperialism, under industrial development, but it remains the leadership of the workers and their critical of the Third International party which retains its organizational thrown lopsided by these special condiindependence in such united action, is tions. We look upon the working class essential. A program that does not dis- movement as being international in subtinguish between these two different stance and national in form. We are The Japanese militarists have many kinds of concessions means that its committed to the policy of forming the within its rank and file to extend the Food Workers Industrial Union had the times tried to provoke the Soviet Union, writers have learned little from the Rus- national movement to a position which sian revolution and what has followed as will conform with what the international movement should be." They will leave the Third Interna-

tional and its factions to themselves and yet they are Communist "internationalists." They appreciate the progress of the industrial development in the Soviet Union but will leave it to itself-until a revolution in another advanced country comes to its aid. Will they conleaves out most of the important fac struct a national "international" movement? They use the concepts of the the existing unions and the task of build- Communist Manifesto not for the purpose of helping correct the International and také themselves a more active part in the struggle within it; but in order to evade and avoid the whole sults; but in the period of decline, no struggle raging in the Communist Interpamphlet could be written explaining jacket and revisionist program. They national due to the Stalinist straightit can do to the class if accepted. Capi-class, the Marxian vanguard, and excuse claim to be the party of the working their backwardness by turning upside as the pressure of the working class up- down a phrase in the Manifesto. This phrase explains how the working class movement is, at first, national in form, ployment errs mainly in omission, be-though international in substance—a cause, after all is said and done, noth- condition the vanguard must help overing vital for the class has been said. No come—and not use to hide, its weakness program, no tactics and no line of march and impotence. The "international" posifor the class. The U. W. P. has been tion of the U. W. P. is national reform-

We advise the members of the U. W.

Pioneer Publishing Fund

support by donating and lending money vious. to Pioneer Publishers Publishing Fund. We want to go ahead. Everything is

five dollars as a loan. That was the beginnig. As the first WORKING CLASS result we got our Unemployment and we will publish, as one pamphlet, his to reach hundreds and thousands popular Marxist pamphlets for workers: Its History and Its Principles by com-Only Road by comrade Trotsky and the The publication of this pamphlet opens

Two weeks ago we published a letter urgent necessity of reprinting, without from comrade D. Marcus asking the delay, The Draft Program. The necesfriends of the League to come to its sity for getting out the last listed is ob-

Comrade Marcus explained, as we had ready. We need money. We are not Why see how easy it is! Everything done before, that this fund was to be asking for tremendous sums. The examused exclusively for the publication of ple set by comrade Marcus is correct in our literature. And to set an example its amount, too. Now who will duplic-

UNEMPLOYMENT AND THE

It is out! The details of selling price the American Working Class by comrade etc. appear in the ad, elsewhere in this Swabeck in record time. Now we are issue. This is the beginning of new ready to go ahead. We have plenty of things for the Left Opposition in the manuscripts on hand. There is the all- United States and Canada. With these important work by comrade Trotsky on cheap pamphlets (we are speaking, also, Soviet Economy in Danger with which of those that are to come) we are able analysis of the Expulsion of Zinoviev. workers inaccessible to us before. Who There is also the second in our series of is not interested in the question of unemployment? Certainly there is no worker The Left Opposition of the Comintern; living in a world apart. And where is there a worker who cannot afford five rade Shachtman. There are also The cents for a bit of Marxist education?

5 Cents

up new propaganda possibilities for us. Five pamphlets are only twenty-five cents. At no time should a member or sympathizer of the League be without five of these pamphlets in his or her pocket. If you cannot sell them, give them away to workers! Let's make this pamphlet the signal

for a new spurt of propaganda activity. about the pamphlet conduces to this end. Its price, its size, the number of pages, the style in which it is written: all these make it ideal for this purpose.

SOVIET ECONOMY IN DANGER AND THE EXPULSION OF ZINOVIEV

This will be the next pamphlet. Just as we were going to press comrade Marcus walked in here and put down twenty more dollars on our desk as another loan. He said he raised it on the basis of the immediate necessity of throwing the light of Marxist illumination on the present crisis in Soviet Economy.

Work will start immediately. In two or three weeks, at the utmost, the pamphlet will be off the press. That, of course, depends to a large extent on whether our other friends take a cue from comrade Marcus and duplicate his splendid work. Of one thing we can be sure. Twenty dollars will not suffice to publish the pamphlet. If that were all that were required it would be possible to turn out pamphlets much more quickly and without performing the miracles which are sometimes necessary. So let's see what can be done.

If the number on your wrapper is 150 that means your subscription expires with this issue. If it is a lower number your subscription has expired prior to this issue.

You know the Militant is in a financial crisis. Help it by renewing your subscription now - immediately.

Use the club plan in setting new subscriptions. Four half year subs on a club plan are only 50c apiece. Singly they are one dollar. Help circulate a Marxist paper for worker's.

Unemployment and the American Working Class by Arne Swabeck

A popular Marxian analysis for workers of the unemployment situation and the basis for a revolutionary workingclass program of action!

24 Pages

Postage 1c extra per copy

PIONEER PUBLISHERS 84 East 10 th Street, New York, N. Y.

Minimum Order . . 5 Copies