

Nov. 18, 1977

①

- resolution of all explosive points

- fusions - Spain, Australia
- IMT re
- IP / diplocos
- theoretical mag.
- world congress

==

- OCFI
- Pablo gp.
- Sweden

=====

Britain -

- resolution of Q's re functioning of SWP re IMG  
*but,*  
- diff. over rev. regroupment - deepens  
weakening of line, identity of IMG as reflected in their paper

Ireland { women going very well

Labour Party - 1/2 ds. ok

line vs. fascists - signs of retreat in practice

-----

Amador Q. -

motion: all vs. Puerto Llino, Aldo, Enrique (B.S.)

(2)

## Other Pts

Latin America - J-P report

- Mexico

- fusion going well,  
minimal political diffs.

- Costa Rica

- BT expelled ~~all~~ IMTers  
OST took them all in

- Panama

BTers have a diff. line than RDA

- Colombia -

B.S. convention

- Moreno opens an attack against a "P.B. clique"

[ J-P says the political docs are good - ]

a layer of the leadership

resistance

- Ricardo,
- Socorro

affected Enrique

- Venezuela -

dissolution of section into the MAS

=

Spain -

LC

- major won to fusion  
rejected 3rd congress docs.

- won - Basque,  
Barcelona best  
publishing house -

report on USA political sit.

general agreement

Canada / Quebec

general report

disc on GSTQ

France -

disc on how quickly CP would rejoin Udg

Emet - will be very soon

agreed on

- unity in elections,
- CP-SP govt.
- demands

agreed - key thing on 1<sup>st</sup> round = <sup>prog. for</sup> CP-SP govt

can't be subordinated to a united far-left state

Nemo doc on Europe approved

IMT Mtg:

1) J-P asks why Livio is there

Livio - I've changed my mind

2) Jones asks for counter-report.

3.) Report - Duret -

should have dissolved earlier in response to LTF proposal  
 can't explain that statement after IMT self-criticism  
 redefining IMT

LTF <sup>basic errors wrong</sup> ~~erroneous~~ [on nature of the period -  
 (nothing concrete)]

(7)

Durat, cont'd

1969-1971 we were u-l in Europe

M-A criticisms were correct on many points

a grave error to form IMT on defense of 9<sup>th</sup> w.c. line

10<sup>th</sup> w.c. docs on Arg. + Bol. were intentionally written unambiguously to be subject to two different interpretations

- = a compromise with Latin American idea (intens)  
was felt necessary in face of fear  
of power of SWP + PST

Every IMT mtg was a scene of a battle over

- whether IMT was the real int'l
- whether LTF was the main obstacle to building the FI  
( after all, we were the majority)
- characterizing LTF

Krivine

- resolution = too mild
  - must list our accomplishments, political correctness

Pierre F.

agrees

Dutch -

verge of split over IT affair

There was a split wing (including myself)

Bert Smiley

- resolution = an apology
- we were wrong to redefine -  
What has happened since

Jones - both statement + report are wrong, factual

IMT was the major  
must begin from that — not US LTF

IMT held together on basis of being US LTF

we were correct on nature of the period, but  
were wrong on what to ~~do~~ do

so-called "NMU" was a blunder

- we really turned to the old and centrists  
underestimated weight of CP, SP  
France 5 year delay in call for CP-SP govt  
Canada - delay on call for NDP govt.

The split wing existed and was large -

method of functioning

subjective, personal, individual tier - in IMT, USec, etc.

e.g. Who is in IMT - e.g. Livio Q

IMT was an int'l club of friends

young generation of leaders looked up to the old

- but what advice did we get — splits

set up a  
network of friends

did not fight our u.l errors that you yourself  
knew were wrong

(6)

set up atmosphere of refusal to listen to LTF

high point - 1976 travel ban of LCR, USSR does nothing

→ you lost all moral authority

#### OCRFI

- LCR must face up to the fact that it will have to fuse with the OCT someday

Ernest -

let's not get sidetracked by Jones report

can't discuss personal relations Q -

self-crit on L.A. - late, but part of blame = LTF

founding doc of IMT = my doc on In Defense of Leninism

10<sup>th</sup> w.c. docs were theoretically correct -

Kirvin

- Why is everyone so negative  
we must explain our successes

- key Q = financial  
we tried, but couldn't kick Matti out of IMT

Spani - slowness in correcting u-l error

Brian - must say previous doc. redefining ourselves was wrong -

Jones raised grave charges -

is there a clique running things

but I don't think there is a finished formation

(7)

Rops -

can't mandate LCR IMTers to vote

can't say a.s. doc of 10<sup>th</sup> w.c. was theoretically correct  
was ~~#~~ wrong -

fault on French transl was that of LCR

J-P -

Europe was not an extension of L.A. error

corrections on Europe helped us correct L.A. error

time - 10<sup>th</sup> wc docs were compromise docs w/o lefts,  
were wrong -

Pierre F.

- need a quick world congress

Rousset -

why didn't we dissolve

- SWP was cause

When SWP + PST split we thought this would  
be a moment of truth -

we thought there were three poss. titles

1 - SWP move → ~~#~~ collaboration

2 - retreat to fortress America

3 - OCFI

power diplomacy

our platform was - What would the SWP do  
we could only be sure after August, 1977 <sup>SWP</sup> convention

(8)

Micheloux -

we must be thorough in our self-crit. on L.A.

1971 - Livio said PRT was the model

we cannot have a permanent climate of balance sheets  
+ asking <sup>self-criticism</sup> of LTers -

put things in the past, and move forward

Jacqueline -

Léguenne - wrote a letter saying vs. dissolution,  
for expulsion of PST -

Bret -

redefinition platform was wrong

Mikado

our CC rejected the redefinition platform

Fred (austria)

we exaggerated objective sit

denigrated u.f. -

today <sup>the ranks</sup> [would] reject the 10<sup>th</sup> w.c. doc on Europe

Duret-Summary

we (the leadership) weren't aware of the growing sentiment [in ranks]  
dissolution

- today, the 10<sup>th</sup> w.c. doc. [REDACTED] would be rejected today

(9)

we don't have a clear line on trade union Q - except  
in the U.S.A.

motion: to approve the doc. and the report

↑ harder line

Jones motion: - should have dissolved earlier

for: Jones, Gragam, Smiley

vs: Ernest, Pierre Lioré, Ken Lewis, Baumman, Ronset

all others - abstain

Jaber - ~~voted~~ w/ hard liners

=====

Swedec -

will come to our plenum

want regular collaboration with SWP

=====

IP-Imprison

Ronset - English is the int'l language generally, so this is key

agreement reached

theoretical  
magazine -

edit board - joint

quarterly -

aim for a US circulation of 1,000

world congress -

- org. motions
- political doc
- womens liberation doc.
- European doc. -

[ Latin America ] -

winter 1979

Mtg w/ Pablo people -

re: Healy slanders

OCRF -

- will polemicize w/ Lora
- USFI invited to their Latin Amer. conf.
- Q of fusion w/ LCP is a key objective Q. -
- violence & vs Varga = settled