

# RESISTANCE

August 1977  
Vol. 8 #7

25c



POLITICAL ORGAN OF THE LEAGUE FOR PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION M-L

Box 513, Triboro Sta. / N.Y., N.Y. 10035

## ALD MILITANT TRADITION CONTINUED!

This year, African Liberation Day (ALD) was celebrated in New York by a number of revolutionary and communist forces united in the International Committee for ALD (ICALD). After a period of joint preparatory work these forces held a militant march and rally through the streets of Harlem on May 28. The ICALD was initiated by the National Liberation Struggles Support Committee (NLSSC), and was composed of the Ethiopian Student Union in North America, Eritreans for Liberation in North America (EFLNA), Ethiopian Women's Study Group in North America (EWSGNA), May 18 Revolutionary Organization, Turkish Students Association and the League for Proletarian Revolution (ML), and other MLs and advanced elements.

Some of the slogans that characterized the coalition were: "Foreign intervention and all reaction will be defeated by the African peoples' self-reliant armed struggle", "Workers and oppressed people of the world, unite!", "U.S. and U.S.S.R. out of Africa!", "Long live the struggle of the Azanian, Zimbabwean and Namibian peoples!", "Down with the fascist Ethiopian Junta!"

The march, advancing through the streets of Harlem, and ending in front of the State Office Building, was a militant and disciplined one reflecting the unity of those involved as well as its revolutionary character. The proletarian internationalism of Ethiopian, Eritrean, Turkish, Haitian, Dominican and others, as well as of the U.S. multi-national forces present there was the context in which support for African Liberation struggles was expressed that day. It was the unity of the workers and oppressed people of the world that marched forward in ever increasing strength and scope towards world proletarian revolution and the defeat of imperialism and all reaction!

This irresistible trend of history being manifested in all corners of the globe is becoming increasingly acute in the countries of the African continent. As part of the multinational U.S. working class, we have the duty to support the struggles of the masses in those countries against all oppression and tyranny—especially against U.S. imperialism and Soviet social-imperialism, the main enemies of the world's peoples. The ICALD, an anti-imperialist coalition in character, united on:

- (a) Support all struggles for African liberation.
  - (b) Oppose all foreign intervention in Africa.
- Imperialism in all its forms, feudalism, colonialism, neo-colonialism, zionism, racism (especially



apartheid racism, revisionism, as it is manifested today in the lines of peaceful transition to socialism, detente, non-capitalist road of development, import and export of revolution, in effect all types of reaction are opposed to the interests of the African masses and as such must be staunchly opposed.

- (c) Self-reliance and armed struggle are the only means for the African masses to achieve liberation...never from imperialist schemes.... nor....through reliance on outside puppet troops.
- (d) Support the struggle of all workers and oppressed people of the world, especially in the hotbed of revolution, the third world-- which includes Latin America, Asia and Africa and the Middle East....As the main force of this revolutionary movement, the third world is attacking and dealing blow upon blow to all imperialisms' and all reaction at their weakest point -- their main sources of raw materials, cheap labor, and foreign markets. All progressive and revolution-

ary-minded people must support this struggle as part of our responsibility to destroy imperialism in this country." (Excerpts from coalition leaflet)

### MILITANT TRADITION CONTINUED

African Liberation Day (ALD) has a glorious history of militancy in the U.S. It has provided for the revolutionary spirit of the masses to overflow and express their militant support for African liberation. The ALD tradition, started in 1972 in the U.S. had been interrupted in 1976. The liquidation and liquidation of such mass organizations as the African Liberation Support Committee (ALSC), which in the past had held a key role in the ALD celebrations, led to the temporary liquidation of ALD. The "left" opportunists of PRRWO-RWL attempted to make it a "party building organization" and eventually destroyed this organization which was instrumental in developing the mass

Continued on page 3



Graffiti in Panama City.

The projected new Panama Canal Treaty is another imperialist scheme that further strengthens U.S. neo-colonial domination of the Panamanian nation. Despite all the claims of the U.S. and the Panamanian governments, despite all the applause of the revisionists the world over, the clauses of the treaty are stubborn facts that clearly point out that it's U.S. imperialism who benefits from it.

Although the U.S. agrees to pay more money to the Panamanian government for the use of the canal until the year 2000 and offers a series of loans and preferential treatment in trade, etc., this economic benefits are not sufficient nor can they substitute the primary issue in the negotiations: the question of sovereignty over the canal. In brief, the new treaty establishes that:

1. Panama will have control of the canal by the year 2000.

## Panama: Defeat Imperialist Scheme!

2. The U.S. will keep all its military bases and installations on Panamanian soil.
3. The U.S. will reserve the right of military intervention at any time that they consider it necessary in order to keep the "neutrality" of the canal.
4. The U.S. will receive priority consideration from the Panamanian government in regards to any plans for improvements of the canal or the construction of a new canal in Panama.

This means that as of now, and for the next 23 years, the U.S. keeps its control of the canal, and that afterwards, they can always intervene "legally" whenever they consider it necessary. And any new canal will be subject to similar treaties.

This is nothing but an imperialist plot to keep the Panamanian nation subjugated to U.S. imperialism! The Panamanian bourgeoisie and government have spinelessly sold out the Panamanian masses in order to benefit from the pocketing of the millions of U.S. dollars involved in the negotiations. For more than 13 years the aspirations of the Panamanian masses in relation to the canal have been clearly enunciated: Immediate recognition of the Panamanian sovereignty over the canal. Immediate withdrawal of all U.S. military personnel and the dismantling of all U.S. military bases. No inclusion in the new agreement of any clause about a future canal.

The Panamanian workers, peasants, students, men and women have come out in the thousands on to the streets in defense of those demands. In 1964, many students were killed and hundreds wounded and arrested in the course of large mass actions demanding the rights of the Panamanians over the canal. It was precisely as a result of these and other similar actions that U.S. president Johnson agreed to work out another treaty. At that time, 1964, Omar Torrijos a major of the Panamanian army was directly responsible for the massacre of the students and patriots. Today, 13 years later, he is ready to seal his treason against the Panamanian nation by signing this new treaty.

The Panamanian communists and all the patriotic forces will not fold their arms in the face of this attack. As part of the U.S. multi-national proletariat, as communists of the nation that oppresses the Panamanian nation, we are duty-bound to struggle against the U.S. bourgeoisie, in defense of the rights of the Panamanian people to determine what to do with what rightfully belongs to them. We call on all communist and revolutionary-minded people in this country to express their opposition to this imperialist scheme and demand immediate withdrawal of all U.S. military installations and personnel from Panama.

In the September issue of *Resistance* we will carry an article analyzing the historical background of the present Panama treaty as well as an in-depth analysis of its significance.

# WOMEN HOLD UP HALF THE SKY

The attacks on the working class are increasing every day. More and more we see the attempts of the ruling class to take away the rights we have won through long and difficult struggles. These attacks are especially coming down hard on poor and working class women. The closing down of day care centers which has forced women to leave work, the recent court rulings which deny social security benefits to divorced women, the decision by a Madison, Wisconsin judge to release a rapist saying that rape is justifiable due to the "provocative" clothes worn by women today, are just some examples of the attempts of the ruling class to further oppress and enslave women, to deny the working class our rights.

Recently, another oppressive decision was handed down in the federal district court in Brooklyn, N.Y. which prohibits the use of federal funds for elective abortions-- abortions done by the choice of the woman. (Most of these funds are provided for under the Medicaid program). This measure affects the poor and working class women who cannot afford to pay for safe, medical abortions. It will, in fact, place them at the hands and the mercy of quacks and butchers in order to get those abortions. It's a woman's right to decide whether or not she will have a child. Whatever the reasons for having an abortion-- whether it be medical, the case of rape, inability to support another child, or by choice not to have children, etc.-- we must at all times support the woman's right to safe abortions. We cannot allow that these attacks on the rights of women continue. Safe abortions must be provided for women - especially poor and working class women who are the target of this latest attack by the bourgeoisie.

## FALSE BOURGEOIS MORALITY

The demagoguery of the bourgeoisie's representatives in the Senate is only an attempt to justify this assault on the rights of women. Such nonsense as that expressed by Senator Jesse A. Helms that to use federal monies was "using the taxpayers money to kill babies" and that "the American people agree with the House position" (to prohibit use of federal funds for abortion) as stated by Senator Richard Schweiker-- basing himself on a poll taken by the N.Y. Times and CBS, both of which respond to the interests of the bourgeoisie-- is nothing but a disguise to fool people. But we are not fooled, we see through this disguise. These "public officials" do not represent our interests-- the interests of the oppressed and working people neither do the New York Times nor for that matter CBS. They can only represent the interests of the class that is in power-- the interests of the bourgeoisie. The mass media-- television, radio, the press, etc.-- is there to serve the interests of the ruling class. The media is used to propagate and impose bourgeois ideas among the masses. They present a one-sided, biased view, always in favor and in the service of the bourgeoisie. The media is used by the bourgeoisie to influence the opinion of the masses and to spread their poisonous ideas. They are nothing more than pawns in the hands of their masters.

These same "gentlemen" (from the Senators down to the judges and to the N.Y. Times and CBS), on the other hand, remain silent in the face of forced sterilization. (Sterilization is, by the way, allowed under the Medicaid program.) We know damn well that poor and working class women are coerced by those very same hospitals and clinics that now will not provide them with abortions, to go for sterilization. There have been cases in which women have been sterilized without their knowledge and only years later have they found out. Why have these "gentlemen" not taken a stand against forced sterilization? As representatives of the ruling class they have no interest in solving the problems of the working class or taking a stand in support of the working class.

## STERILIZATION: BOURGEOISIE'S FAVORITE

The bourgeoisie is not concerned at all about killing babies, especially not the babies of the oppressed. They had no qualms

about killing thousands of Vietnamese babies with their bombs and thousands others around the world including the U.S. with their imperialist weapons of hunger and disease. Forced sterilization is one of their favorite tools in the third world. Claiming that the population is growing faster than the world's natural resources can provide for, they justify massive forced sterilization programs such as the one implemented in India. In Puerto Rico under their sanctioned "experimental programs" one out of three women have been criminally sterilized, in the majority of cases without the woman's knowledge or consent.

The growth of the population above that of the world's resources is but another of the bourgeoisie's false issues. It has been proven scientifically that there are plenty of resources in the world, more than necessary to feed and satisfy the growing population's needs for many centuries to come -- that is, given that the present unequal distribution of goods by the imperialists be abolished. But of course the bourgeoisie holds on to their unequal distribution and thus pushes sterilization of the oppressed majority in order to keep the privileges of their oppressing minority class. Sterilization serves the bourgeoisie the purpose of keeping close control over the size of the working class and of its reserve army of unemployed workers, so that the working class reproduce itself as much as the bourgeoisie needs but not more and then become a burden for the state rather than an asset.

THE PHILIPPINE COMMUNIST PARTY (M-L)

\$ .35



**ON THE  
RELATIONS  
BETWEEN  
THE SEXES**

[Order now from:  
LEAGUE FOR PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION (M-L)]

Although in the third world they have been pushing forced sterilization with relative ease, in the U.S. and in other developed capitalist countries the bourgeoisie has had to move to more disguised forms of forced sterilization. Through indirect means they must force women to choose (!) sterilization voluntarily. By making elective abortions so difficult for working class and oppressed women, they are in effect forcing these women to "choose" sterilization. Actually, the lack of sufficient money to adequately care for their families and to pay for safe abortions, leaves them with no other alternative but sterilization.

When a woman asks for sterilization she has to wait for a certain period before she is operated on. Different states have different laws in this regard. New York State for example, has a 30-day waiting period after the woman asks to be sterilized. The bourgeoisie however would prefer immediate sterilization, so as not to give the women a chance to change their minds. They are thus pushing for a shortening of the waiting period. They have recently found a mouthpiece for their criminal ideas among a group of faithful servants in a caucus of Black doctors who are asking for the shortening of the waiting period to three days. These lackeys reason that a 30 day waiting period will give women the time to change their minds about being sterilized, and they want to insure that through a three day waiting period they don't change their minds and do get sterilized. This is a blatant attack on women's rights. We wholeheartedly and strongly oppose this

position.

It's no accident that simultaneous to the denial of the use of federal funds for elective abortions, they are pushing for the shortening of the waiting period for sterilization. These two actions are indeed connected to each other and cannot be seen in isolation. They are part of the overall plans of the bourgeoisie to exploit and oppress the working class by denying women their democratic rights-- such as the right to safe abortions and forcing women to go for sterilization-- a sure way of controlling the population.

Why is there a movement towards eliminating elective abortions and toward sterilization? Who are most affected by this move? Clearly, by far the most affected by this move are the poor and working class women who cannot afford to pay for an elective abortion. The ruling class is making it impossible for poor and working class women to have elective abortions in order to force them to become sterilized. Sterilization has been used to control the population, particularly among national minorities. In this period of economic crisis the bourgeoisie more than ever wants to control the number of people born and in particular in the ranks of the working class, and to do so is pursuing a strong policy of sterilization. The representatives of the bourgeoisie have put out in the congress, they do not wish to use the taxpayers' money "to kill babies"; yet sterilization is an even more drastic form of eliminating and breaking the lives of yet unborn babies. And sterilization is allowed under the Medicaid program! This is a contradiction that shows the rottenness of the bourgeoisie's false morality. It is much cheaper for the state to sterilize a woman once than to pay for repeated abortions. In these times of crisis for them, they must choose for the cheaper, most blatant way.

We stand resolutely against forced sterilization and oppose the reduction of the waiting period for sterilization. We support the rights of women to abortion. At the same time, we, as communists, understand that the ultimate solution to the problems faced by women under capitalist society and to the woman question as a whole can only be found under socialism. This is so because the source of women's oppression resides in class society.

The problems of the oppressed are not due to having large families as the bourgeoisie claims. On the contrary, the working class puts great hope and pride in its children as the future of the class. It is the attitude of the working class to have as many children as possible to serve in the interests of the revolution. Under socialism, where a majority is not oppressed by a minority as in capitalism, and where distribution of goods is not done on the basis of the interests of the few but on the needs of the majority, the growth of the population is seen in an entirely different light. There is full employment in society, and the more people to do the work means that their needs will be more fully satisfied and that they will all have to work less hours-- as work would be fairly distributed among all those capable of working.

## SOCIALISM IS THE ONLY SOLUTION

The oppression of women is intrinsically tied with the capitalist system, and as such its ultimate solution can be no other than the destruction of this class system. This, on the other hand, does not mean that we cross our arms and do not deal with the problems which are particular to women's oppression. Women have gained important victories through struggles. Although reforms cannot resolve decisively the problems of women, it is correct to fight for such reforms that advance the revolutionary struggle. The Australian comrades have correctly summed this up in "Two Lines on Women's Emancipation" in this way:

"Nonetheless, it is a fact that the question of women's emancipation will largely, if not completely, be solved after the revolution. This has been the case in every great revolution. It was the case in the socialist Soviet Union, and the case in People's China. This is not because it is a "policy" of Marxist-Leninist parties to "postpone" women's emancipation until after the physical victory of the revolutionary forces. Rather, it is because women simply cannot begin to win their full emancipation until this victory has been achieved. To argue otherwise, is to argue that women's emancipation is possible under capitalism. Women can win important reforms under capitalism, they can win the struggle to change bad attitudes amongst certain men under capitalism, but they cannot win their full emancipation under capitalism."  
(Australian Communist, No. 82, page 102)

# WVO : from "Unite to Expose" to "Hide to Expose"

In the style of a Jehova's witness (God is coming, and is coming, repent before it's too late) the "Workers" Viewpoint Organization ("WVO") is running around the country announcing that "the party is a settled question" (we sent our 50 cents months ago and are still waiting) and that groups have to slaughter themselves before it's too late.

This trend of building parties through fraud, by merely changing names - is really very strong among the petty-bourgeois intellectuals. We have already three "advanced and organized detachments" of the petty-bourgeoisie (the "C"MLP, "R"MLP and "C"MP ("M-L") that have read a decree proclaiming them the party and very soon we will have the U.S. "Bolshevik" Party (PRRW-RWL) and the "Anti-revisionist Communist Party" of WVO. All these parties, and would-be parties, share many common characteristics. Right opportunism, sectarianism, national chauvinism (RCP,CLP,CP(M-L)) or narrow nationalism ("wing", WVO). All lack a real base among the working class and the oppressed nationalities. All substitute "wishful thinking" for the concrete analysis of concrete conditions and believe that we can force things into being (the party) by just our intellectual exercises

Today we want to focus our attention again on the WVO. Since February, 1976 we have consistently exposed these demagogues' right opportunism in many questions. In the pages of Resistance, in open forums in New York, Los Angeles, California, and Washington, D.C. in mass struggles, in hospitals, schools, (not in factories because they are not there), coalitions, etc., their thoroughly bankrupt line has been exposed. This is part and parcel of the struggle to build the multi-national communist party of the U.S. And as Lenin said, the struggle against imperialism is a shan and a humbug without the struggle against opportunism.

Our consistent exposures contrast sharply with WVO's attitude towards us. These demagogues not only believe that it's enough to think and talk about the Party and the party is built; but also that if you don't talk about something, if you don't recognize its existence, it will cease to exist. Based on this, they announced in their paper that LPR "was never part of the communist movement" (WVO supplement on ATM, Jan. 1977) and that supposedly takes care of answering the many correct criticisms of their opportunist line. This is wishful thinking on the part of those who think that their typewriters are capable of erasing eight long years of arduous revolutionary struggle on our part.

This "I don't recognize you" is a very popular weapon among revisionists and opportunists. The soviet revisionists "erased" Albania from the socialist camp in the early 60's. To that comrade Hoxha responded:

....."Tell Khrushchev that whether Albania is a socialist country, or not, this does not depend on Khrushchev, but has been decided by the Albanian people themselves through the wars they have fought and the blood they have shed. This has been decided by the Party of Labor of Albania, which has marched and will always march on the Marxist-Leninist road." (Albania Today, No.6, 1975/ Nov.-Dec.)

By the same token, it is the U.S. proletariat and oppressed masses; it is the genuine Marxist-Leninists, who can legitimize any communist organization based on its correct theory and practice-- and not WVO!

We have experienced these tactics many times in our development. The revisionists of the Puerto Rican Socialist Party say that we did not exist back in 1969-72 but we were tearing apart their revisionist thesis of the divided nation. The Young Lords -forerunners of PRRWO- denied our existence but copied from us all the arguments against PSP. Later on PRRWO referred to us as "honest and in motion" (Party Building in the Heat of Class Struggle) and its chairperson made a public "self-criticism" for their sectarianism towards us (joint forum with WVO in November 1975, New York); only to later echo the unprincipled attacks, rumor-mongering and gossip with which the PSP tried - unsuccessfully - to stop us back in 1972. PRRWO resorts to these tactics now that they find themselves unable to answer our thorough exposure of their neo-trotskyite line. WVO learned a lot from PRRWO and it's now using it all the way down the line; but as the opportunists, double dealers and demagogues that they are, they cannot win. They are doomed to fail and their time is running shorter and shorter despite all their bragging.

WVO has conveniently forgotten that we were part of the Budget Cuts Coalition in 1975 from which we withdrew in opposition to the alliance between WVO and the trotskyites who were jointly pushing "a general strike" line to deal with the budget cuts in New York City. They conveniently forget that we opposed their line of UNITED FRONT with the revisionists of the CPUSA in IWWD 1975 (WVO

marched behind the CPUSA and in front of the Girl Scouts). They conveniently forget our participation together with WVO and PRRWO in the Day Care Contact Committee (N.Y. 1975), in a memorial for Premier Chou En-lai (called by us), in January 1975. They also conveniently forget our struggle against the right line of WVO and the neo-trotskyite line of PRRWO-RWL in IWWD 1976 (see Resistance, #2,3, Vol.7 ). All this and many other struggles have to be conveniently forgotten, denied, by writing us off the communist movement in order not to answer our polemics, in order not to be accountable for their opportunist theory and practice in all the above-mentioned struggles and many other questions that we have criticized WVO for. But we repeat, they are doomed to fail.

WVO's "credibility" among genuine forces basically stems from their polemics with PRRWO-RWL. We have called the attention of comrades to the danger of confusing any discussion or polemic with two-line struggle, in which one holds the correct line and another the incorrect one. We have always opposed the opportunist conception of WVO that in every discussion we have to be with the "overall most correct line". We oppose it because many times both arguments, both lines are incorrect and when that happens we have to oppose both, not select from the "lesser of two evils".

In IWWD 1976, we were called centrists by both PRRWO-RWL and WVO. Why? Because we opposed both PRRWO's "only propaganda" and WVO's "mainly agitation"; both PRRWO's "only genuine communists in the coalition" (to hell with anti-imperialists and other revolutionaries) and WVO's free-for-all unity with revisionists, trotskyites, lesbians, etc. We did not recognize the struggle between PRRWO and WVO as a two-line struggle because it was not. It was one line - the bourgeois line - coming out in two different forms and as such we treated them. We took the correct stand of criticizing and fighting against the incorrect positions of both. (See Resistance Vol.7, #9)

For us it is clear that WVO represents a thoroughly consolidated right opportunist line. However, we understand that other comrades have yet to sum this up. WVO still has credibility among honest comrades and revolutionary-minded people. It is our responsibility, therefore, to use each and every opportunity available to us to expose WVO in front of these forces. And this is precisely what our consistent practice has shown.

Recently, we had one of these opportunities. A group of Boulder students, a group of developing M-Ls as they have summed-up themselves, invited us to participate in a forum together with WVO. We accepted the invitation with the only condition that we were allowed to invite other comrades and friends to the forum. WVO, who as a matter of fact were the ones that put forward the need for the forum, also accepted the invitation. Two days before the forum and after all the arrangements were made the WVO announced that they would not participate. In a leaflet distributed that same day - A DOG THAT BARKS DOES NOT BITE- WVO's ACT OF SELF-EXPOSURE - , LPR-ML states the following among other things:

"Whoever is familiar with the Workers Viewpoint Organization should be tired by now of their continuous bragging and their self-proclamations as the "vanguard", the "overall most correct line" and the many other eulogies used to praise themselves. Doubtlessly, the best business deal of this century is to buy WVO for its real price and sell it for what they believe they're worth.

....."WVO is running away in a frenzy, hiding, scared of being thoroughly exposed in front of those students and other genuine marxist-leninists and advanced forces that were invited to the forum. This is not the first time that they use this kind of bourgeois maneuvers to avoid polemizing with an organization that knows WVO's words and deeds."

....."But all the running and hiding, all the slanders behind our backs, will not help WVO at all. As one of the students correctly summed-up: 'In the long run opportunists always loose. If they come to the forum you can expose them in front of everybody if they don't come, they will be exposing themselves.' This time it happened the second way. The very weak ties that WVO has in this area are becoming weaker and weaker. It's time for them to pack their bags. It's time for them to go to another region of the country to tell their fairy tales of how they organized the struggle at Boulder, of how they led the Chicano national movement in this area, etc., etc.

"The cowardly action of WVO will not save them from exposure, but on the contrary give more validity to that exposure. Only those who have something to hide, who can-

not defend their line, who are afraid of having their true colors show, run away from the struggle. It's ironic that the champions of the "Unite to Expose" line refuse to polemize with us in front of forces that they themselves have defined as honest, genuine, advanced, etc. This clearly shows the disdain WVO has for these students that they attempted to recruit. This is telling those students that they have to follow WVO blindly. That they have to call LPR-ML opportunist because WVO says so. Instead of "exposing" us in front of those students and the other forces invited to the forum, they opted for running away. Following their long-established tradition, Unite to Expose has changed to "Hide to Expose. And it is precisely WVO who is really exposed."

Later on we found out what were the flimsy reasons they gave (which we anticipated in the flyer). (We ask comrades to read the letter from some Boulder students that appears in the Communist Forum. The students, we feel, were capable of understanding and thoroughly exposing the bourgeois maneuvers used by WVO to try to justify their running out on the struggle. We don't feel its necessary to make any further comments in this respect as we unite with the thrust of the students position.)

The struggle against all forms of opportunism in general, and against the main dager of right opportunism and WVO in particular, is a very important aspect of carrying out the central task of party building. Carrying out this struggle in the most correct way, integrating it with all the other tasks and duties of true communist fighters, is what all genuine marxist-leninists have to strive for in order that we can have a real "advanced and organized detachment of the working class" in this country, a genuine Marxist-Leninist, Communist party. This does not exist, nor is it a settled question, nor a matter of a couple of months.

Marxist-Leninists, unite!  
Win the advanced to communism!



## ALD

Continued from the first page

base and revolutionary content of ALD.

But despite this temporary liquidation, the ALD tradition was continued this year. This is in fact the single most outstanding achievement of the ALD celebration in New York by the ICALD.

The multinational character of the celebration was a clear reflection of the proletarian internationalist line that guided the ICALD. There were comrades from different continents of the world, from as far apart as Turkey, Ethiopia, and Haiti, as well as comrades of different nationalities from the U.S., all expressing support for the African peoples' struggles.

### PROLETARIAN INTERNATIONALISM VS OPPORTUNISM

Key in this ALD celebration was the line put forward. ICALD was organized on the basis of the slogan "Workers and oppressed people of the world, unite!" Contrary to other ALD coalitions organized on the basis of narrow nationalism and opportunism, the ICALD emphasized breaking this incorrect view that only harms African liberation. Coalitions such as that formed by BISSAL, including El Comite and other revisionists and trotskyites, put forward a bankrupt narrow nationalist line with the vain hope of fooling the masses. They pushed such lines as "The African Liberation is the Black Liberation", appealing to support on the basis of color, rather than raising proletarian internationalism. This said coalition joined the chorus of other narrow nationalists and social chauvinists who waged a slander campaign in Harlem against the ICALD and against "all those counterrevolutionaries who dare bring the line of opposition to both superpowers to Harlem."

Another incorrect line was that of WVO who is leeching on the past glory of the ALSC, and is attempting to revive it only to peddle its own opportunist line. In their attempts to woo RWL away from PRRWO during the "wing" period, WVO had put forward that "Blacks have the strategic leadership, while WVO has the line." Consistent with this bankrupt line they are still appealing to narrow nationalism, limiting their support to Southern African countries, gearing their propaganda to mobilizing Afro-Americans.

Rather than promoting multinational unity in the support for African liberation on the basis of proletarian internationalism, WVO appeals to a disguised narrow nationalism, in essence no different from that of Stokely Carmichael's, or any of the other opportunists who try to use ALD to try to divide the class and to serve their own opportunist aims.

Continued on page 5

# Boycott

# COORS!

"Have you ever participated in any type of march, riot, sit-in or demonstration?"

"Have you ever been served a summons to appear in court on either a civil or criminal case, if so, what and when?"

"Have you ever committed an undetected crime?"

"Have you ever stolen anything in your life?"

"Do you have any overdue or delinquent debts?"

"Have you had any extra-marital affairs?"

"Are you homosexual?"

These and other similar questions are part of the third degree type of interrogation to which all job applicants are submitted at the Coors Brewery in Golden, Colorado. These questions are not related in any way to the capacity of the applicants to carry out the work at the brewery and clearly represent blatant violations to the personal privacy of the applicants.

But this is not all. It's not even the worst part. The applicants have to answer all the questions while connected to a lie detector (polygraph). Believe it or not, according to the present contract the Coors company has the right to administer such a test to their workers at any time they consider it necessary. The refusal of a worker to submit to this anti-democratic and very repressive measure will be, according to the company "enough grounds for dismissal."

#### COORS BREWERY IS A CONCENTRATION CAMP

Besides the lie detector test, Coors has a private police that can "apply search and seizure tactics against anyone on company property including, but not limited to, the search of lockers, personal clothing, lunch boxes, automobiles or any other personal effects. Refusal to submit to such a search: Immediate discharge!" (flyer issued by Local 366). Coors also can impose a physical examination on any employee at any time by Coors-employed doctors. Refusal to such examination: Immediate discharge!

You can go on up to 56 other reasons - f i f t y - s i x!!! that the Coors Company has proposed as basis for immediate discharge of its employees (arrests, debts, participation in strikes, refusal to cross picket lines, etc., etc.). And in case they forget something they insist on "the right to discharge if Coors feels that the employees attitude is uncooperative." A clear case of a concentration camp. Similar to thousands of other factories in the United States.

#### COORS PRESIDENT IS FROM THE JOHN BIRSCH SOCIETY

William Coors, president of the company, is a well-known right-wing leader. He is a close associate and major economic backer of ultra-conservative Ronald Reagan's bid for the U.S. presidency and of segregationist Senator Strom Thurmond. Openly he brags about having "some agreement and giving financial support" to the John Birsch Society. Finally he is one of the principal proponents and a major finance contributor to the National Right to Work Committee. The "right to work" concept is a union-busting tactic that in a nutshell kills the closed shops and, in fact, the union by allowing non-union workers to work at any shop, thus facilitating the way for scabs.

#### COORS ATTACKS THE RIGHT OF THE WORKERS TO A UNION SHOP

For more than 42 years the "union shops" (where all workers have to be part of the union) has been part of the contract between Coors and Local 366. Coors tried to bust Local 366 using a law that only exists in the state of Colorado - the Colorado Labor Peace Act of 1943 -, a law that establishes that "in order to have a union shop, an election has to be held and 75% of the workers have to vote in favor of it." They called an election at the end of December, a time in which many of the workers were on vacation. (The law calls for 75% of the workers, not 75% of the votes casted, and it doesn't allow for absentee ballots) Despite this, Coors was badly beaten. Despite the vacations, 98% of those eligible voted and 92.4 of those voted for continuing the "union shop". On December 29, 1976 the company agreed to include the union shop in the new contract, only to a couple of months later come again with the open shop demand.

#### WORKERS FIGHT FOR THEIR DEMOCRATIC RIGHTS

On April 5, around 1,500 brewery workers gave a strike vote to Local 366 of the AFL-CIO. Although some of the demands deal with important economic issues, like seniority, overtime, work schedule, etc., the main issues of the strike dealt with the democratic rights of the workers. Specifically the workers are opposing the lie detector test, the forced medical examinations, the search and seizure tactics and the attempts to eliminate the

union shop in favor of an open shop. Despite all the pressure from the company, the court injunctions, arrests, the use of goon squads, the hiring of scabs, etc., the workers have continued the strike for more than 4 months.

On June 29, workers voted 450 to 5 to continue the strike independently of the fact that strike funds were almost finished. Besides keeping constant picket lines in front of the plant the workers are holding weekly rallies and have initiated the formation of a coalition with the purpose of organizing a Coors boycott as a support tactic for the strike.

#### BOYCOTT COORS

The boycott of companies during strikes is a good supporting tactic. It is important, nonetheless, that the boycott be put in the context of a supporting action for the strike and not vice-versa. The examples of the Farah Strike, the Farmworkers boycott of grapes and lettuce, the Gallo wines, etc., are good examples of how a boycott can strengthen the workers on strike. In this case especially the boycott can be really effective due to the fact that other sectors of the population, especially the Chicanos, the students and women, are receptive to a boycott against that company that has historically discriminated against them and attacked their most elementary rights. Chicanos, as a matter of fact, have been boycotting Coors for more than 10 years in protest against this company's refusal to hire Chicanos and Blacks. They are an important ally of the brewery strikers in the struggle against the largest employer in Colorado (Coors is the fifth largest brewery in this country.)

#### OPPOSE COORS' UNION BUSTING

William Coors is engaged in an all-out attempt to throw Local 366 out of the Brewery. In this attempt he is trying to impose the open shop in the contract. Also he has made offers directly to the workers which are superior to the offers made to the union. This has created a situation in

which the bureaucrats are forced to fight against the company in order to survive. Basically all the issues of the strike are centered around the question of open or closed shop. The labor bureaucrats are conscious of the fact that without the support of the rank and file their days are numbered in that company. Thus, they have adopted a militant posture in most of the other issues. The bureaucrats attacked William Coors for being a right wing politician and for his anti-labor policies against Chicanos, Blacks and women and have taken a stand against the lie detector test.

This militant posture helps to temporarily cover the class collaborationist and reformist policies of the labor bureaucrats. Many workers view these sold-out labor hacks as their true friends and leaders. This situation requires a skillful use of communist agitation and propaganda that can correctly provide communist leadership and direction to both the strike and the boycott, defend the union against Coors' attacks, expose the treacherous role of the labor bureaucrats and at the same time make use of the contradictions that clearly exist at this moment between the bureaucrats and the company.

We urge all comrades and friends to give concrete support to the picket line, demonstrations and rallies called by the strikers. Also it is important to help build the boycott. Those outside of the area can submit resolutions in their union locals asking for support for the strike and the boycott and also for some economic contributions to the strike funds. Picket lines can be established in front of stores that sell Coors and some funds for the strikers can be raised. If you belong to any local of the AFL-CIO demand that the AFL-CIO provide adequate strike funds. On paper the AFL-CIO is supposedly supporting the boycott. On paper that is.

Working class unity and solidarity in struggle are key to the success of the Coors strikers. The workers at Coors are united and determined to fight until they win their demands and they need our concrete support. Talk to your fellow workers and neighbors about the struggle at Coors, join the boycott and other supporting actions! If you can help organize a local boycott or need more information, please contact:  
LPR- ML  
Box 513, Triboro Sta., N.Y., N.Y. 10035

Send solidarity messages and funds to:  
Brewery Workers Local 366  
410 Indiana St., Golden, Colorado 80401

BOYCOTT COORS !!!  
LONG LIVE THE INVINCIBLE UNITY OF THE WORKING CLASS!

## Hold High the Banner of the August 29th Chicano Moratorium!



Chicanos resist police attack on the Moratorium against the war in 1970.

The struggle of the Chicano people against national oppression is part and parcel of the struggle of the multi-national working class of the U.S. for proletarian revolution, the dictatorship of the proletariat and socialism. The Chicano national problem, as every other national problem in the U.S., cannot be solved within the boundaries of capitalism. Socialism is the only solution to the national questions. On the road towards socialism, workers of all nationalities march together led by one single, multi-national, Marxist-Leninist Communist Party.

The victories and the defeats of the Chicano National Movement are the victories and defeats of the whole multi-national working class in this country. That's why August 29 is increasingly becoming an important date in the calendar of the working class and all revolutionary people in the U.S. Together we celebrate one of the most significant actions of the Chicano masses in recent years.

On August 29, 1970 thousands of Chicanos and other nationalities marched in Los Angeles in a moratorium against the Vietnam war. That celebration was an expression of two important questions for the U.S. proletariat - the national question and proletarian internationalism. On the one hand, the national question, one of the most burning questions facing the U.S. proletarian revolution and upon whose correct solution hinges the fate of the whole class. The Chicano masses have historically shown their determination to fight against national oppression, in

defense of their democratic rights, their language and culture. August 29 was another brilliant page in the glorious history of struggle. On the other hand is proletarian internationalism. Holding high the views of the great Engels that "no nation can be free if it oppresses other nations", the Chicano masses took a resolute stand against the imperialist war of aggression against the heroic Vietnamese people. They correctly identified U.S. imperialism as their enemy and the heroic Vietnamese people as their friends and allies. The slogan "Raza, si, Guerra no" (Chicanos yes, War no) characterized both aspects of this historic event.

The huge demonstration was attacked by the capitalist state. The police shot at the demonstrators killing 3 and wounding many others. The masses fought bravely against the police attacks. Even in their own headquarters, to which they ran after the attack, the police were not safe from the anger of the masses. August 29 was a day of heroic struggle. And it is such traditions of struggle that we must hold high.

Today, 7 years after this popular show of strength and disposition to struggle, the Chicanos continue to be part of the most oppressed sector of the working class in this country. Attacked from all sides, Chicanos are discriminated on the jobs, have an unemployment rate of over 20%, the worst housing, medical and educational facilities. They are deprived of the use of their language (Spanish) and their national culture. The Chicanos are a living proof of the incapacity of the capitalist system to solve the national question.

In commemorating the seventh anniversary of the glorious August 29, we look forward to the bright future in which all the workers and oppressed peoples of the United States, irrespective of nationalities, will live in a society free of national oppression, of racism and discrimination. A society in which we will put an end to the oppression of man by man - in the socialist U.S.

LONG LIVE THE STRUGGLE OF THE CHICANO PEOPLE!!!

DOWN WITH NATIONAL CHAUVINISM!!!

WORKERS AND OPPRESSED PEOPLE OF ALL NATIONALITIES, UNITE!!!

# ALD

# Workers and Oppressed People of the World Unite!

Another manifestation of WVO's opportunism is their claim of "territorial hegemony" over a particular street corner in Harlem. The ICALD set up a number of street corner rallies in working class communities. In Harlem (we only came across WVO in Harlem) they went into a frenzy, as if the street corner objectively belonged to them (just like in their petty-bourgeois delusions they claim that WVO is "objectively the party"). WVO cringes from open ideological struggle and would rather resort to these tactics. In Harlem for example, the ICALD posters appeared covered by those of the ALSC coalition formed by WVO and RCL. WVO would rather cover up others' lines rather than engage in open ideological struggle.

### MAY DAY LESSONS LEARNED

There was a notable improvement in our coalition work and new lessons drawn from this experience. (We refer readers back to our May issue vol.8 #5, in which we sum-up our May Day coalition work.) The overall line of the coalition (composed mainly of the same forces that made up the International Committee for May Day), the political content of the event, and the form in which coalition work was carried out, all improved over May Day, showing that lessons were learned and applied. The main features of the coalition work were among others:

- (a) Ample participation of individual Marxist-Leninists and advanced elements in the coalition work provided a means of training in the development of line and preparation of propaganda and agitation among the masses. The advanced were able to put into practice their initiative and creativity.
- (b) Contrary to previous coalition work the collective planning and work by forces involved helped to deepen the ties between them, strengthen proletarian internationalism and the unity among Marxist-Leninists. There was no bourgeois hegemonism or imposition of line by any organization, but rather struggle to achieve unity in the spirit of unity-struggle-unity for the correct line.
- (c) One important aspect which is often belittled-- the financial aspect-- was handled correctly on a collective basis. All forces contributed and, most importantly, the coalition saw the importance of relying on the masses for funding the struggle. So a fundraising event and several street corner mass collections were held, educating the masses as to the need to support the struggles for national liberation. The masses responded favorably and the coalition was able to cover all costs.

In general, the work of the coalition improved as the correct methods of summations and criticism and self-criticism were implemented to rectify past errors and improve work in the future. Overall, the work within the coalition was very positive, contributing to enrich the practical experience of all those involved and to heighten the unity among genuine Marxist-Leninist and revolutionary forces.

### REVISIONISTS' DAILY WORLD PICKETED

Besides its independent propaganda and agitation for the event, LPR (ML) sponsored a picket against the Daily World which contributed to deepen proletarian internationalism and exposed the treacherous support of the "C" PUSA for the fascist Ethiopian Junta. Its organ, the Daily World, slandered the Ethiopian peoples' struggle against the Junta and slandered the EPRP supporting the Junta's repression against their just struggle. This event (see Resistance vol.8 #6) helped raise the issue of supporting national liberation struggles, and the need to oppose the two superpowers in order to advance the cause of African liberation.

\*\*\*\*\*  
 COMMEMORATE AUGUST 29  
 \*\*\*\*\*



on SATURDAY, AUGUST 27  
 at GUADALUPE CHURCH HALL  
 36th and Lipan  
 Denver, Colorado

12 to 4 p.m.

SPEAKERS FILM SHOWING TEATROS DINNER

### SUPERPOWERS OUT OF AFRICA

The contention and collusion of both superpowers in the African continent cause great harm to the cause of African liberation. LPR (ML) holds that the main enemies of the peoples of the world are the two superpowers: U.S. imperialism and Soviet social-imperialism, and accordingly put forward this line in its independent work towards ALD and in the event itself. This was not however a principle of unity of the coalition. Why?

Although a great majority of the forces in the ICALD uphold this position, others have not yet summed-up the USSR as a social imperialist superpower (ESUNA and EFLNA). At the initial stages of formation of the coalition, a decision had to be made: Must we necessarily adopt a principle of unity that specifically states opposition to the two superpowers, or can we unite with ESUNA and EFLNA on the basis of concrete opposition to both superpowers without the specific formulation as a principle of unity? In struggling this question out, various factors had to be taken into account in order not to make this an abstract intellectual discussion. These were:

(a) The fascist Ethiopian Junta poses as a socialist ML government, and it is openly backed, politically and militarily, by the Soviet Union. The Junta openly brags about its ties with the Soviet Union. Soviet social-imperialism is meddling and intervening in Ethiopia through its lackey Junta and its lackey Cuban troops who are training government troops to repress the revolutionary struggle. U.S. imperialism has historically intervened by its arming of the Junta, and now through its lackey Ethiopian Democratic Union (EDU), a declared enemy of the people in Ethiopia. The struggle of the Ethiopian and Eritrean peoples is thus, concretely, a struggle against the fascist Junta, as well as a struggle against both U.S. imperialism and Soviet social-imperialism. It is a struggle against both superpowers.

(b) In the case of ESUNA there is a clear motion towards this summation. The comrades recognize and are studying this important question and are systematically and increasingly denouncing the Soviet Union's role in their country. An example is their latest March COMBAT in which they call to "Oppose the counter-revolutionary meddling of the Soviet revisionist and renegade clique in the Ethiopian revolution". And they are staunchly doing just this.

In considering all these facts we concluded that there was basis for unity of these forces for the purpose of celebrating ALD and putting out jointly a correct line on national liberation struggles. With these facts in mind, we proceeded to struggle for principled unity in the ICALD and a correct line on national liberation struggles. We were able to achieve unity as concretized in the principles of unity stated above. Although EFLNA disagreed on a number of points, such as in referring to Cuban troops in Africa as puppet troops, to the Soviet Union as imperialist and to the concept of three worlds, we consider it overall positive that they did unite to work jointly under the principles of unity cited.

In particular the second principle of unity which includes opposition to revisionism and all the lines in which it manifests itself is nothing but opposition to the line of Soviet social-imperialism concretely spelled out. The fact that EFLNA did agree to participate with these principles of unity is a positive achievement. Errors made within the coalition of wavering on the one hand, and conciliation on the other, were pointed out and criticized.

### "LEFT" AND RIGHT ERRORS IN COALITION WORK

In coalition work we must guard against both right and "left" errors. We must guard against the "left" tendency of making principles of unity so high that we can only unite with ourselves and thus negate the whole purpose of the united front. This is the error which is most dangerous when we have not yet formed the united front or coalition, one which prevents us from forming this necessary weapon of struggle. On the other hand, we must also guard against the right tendency of all unity no struggle, which arises, and is most dangerous, in the course of working within the united front once it has been formed. It is the tendency of submerging our line by giving up criticism and independent work, in the interest of preserving a false unity.

Dimitrov on the United Front says: "In the present situation sectarianism, self-satisfied sectarianism,.... more than anything else impedes our struggle for the realization of the united front". And also: "...communists must increase their vigilance in guarding against the danger of right opportunism and must carry a determined struggle against all its concrete manifestations bearing in mind that the right danger will grow as the tactics of the united front are widely applied." (G. Dimitrov, On the United Front)

One right error that constituted the main deviation of the coalition was the weakness of the independent work done by the various forces par-



Marchers during ALD demonstration.

ticipating in it. Apart from propaganda and agitation work done by LPR(ML), there was no other propaganda and agitation forthcoming that we know of, other than coalition work. The weakness of independent work was thus reflected in the weakness of the correct lines held by coalition forces. Specifically the opposition to the two superpowers could have been raised more forcefully and effectively through such independent work which we failed to promote.

### CONCRETE LINK TO THE STRUGGLE OF THE MASSES

Proletarian internationalism was correctly raised and the struggle of the African masses was correctly linked to our own struggle here, being a struggle against the same enemy, struggles that support each other. However this was not done concretely enough. A secondary but important point was thus the form in which the event was carried out -- militant and disciplined, but lacking to a certain degree the lively spirit of the masses. This could have been provided with presentations of skits along the way of the march and other forms of cultural presentations. The very wording of the chants could have been worked out in a more understandable and lively manner so as to fully incorporate the masses in them.

### CONCLUSIONS

Despite the errors committed, we consider the events of ALD as positive overall. Its achievements far outweigh the errors, which can be rectified in the course of practice. Various conclusions can be drawn from the ALD experiences this year. Among the most important is the need to deepen our understanding on the question of United Front work. Such questions as: what level of unity should we strive for?, who should we unite with?, for what purpose?, what are tactical compromises?, etc., are all theoretical and practical questions that must be deepened so as to improve our coalition work and grasp well one of the essential tools in revolutionary struggle: the United Front.

Another important conclusion is the need to follow-up on the ALD tradition both in terms of the unities achieved with revolutionary organizations, as well as with other Marxist-Leninists and the masses. The support for African national liberation struggles must be maintained and raised to higher levels, not restricted to a yearly event in May, and we must make the masses conscious of the need to understand and support those struggles through concrete actions, and to link them with other national liberation and proletarian revolutionary struggles, including our own. Further, in the course of the struggle to support national liberation, in giving it a conscious and planned character, we must deepen the unities with other Marxist-Leninists and the masses, as one of the ways in which we struggle to build the new, genuine communist party of the U.S. proletariat.

To conclude, in order to give a planned and conscious character to this struggle we must provide communist leadership by actively participating in such struggles, fighting for the correct line on the basis of proletarian internationalism, and correct methods of organization and struggle. In line with this, we consider the National Liberation Struggles Support Committee (NLSSC) has served as a mass anti-imperialist revolutionary organization that can adequately channel the struggle for supporting national liberation. We supported its efforts in organizing ALD and other events regarding third world countries, and will continue to help build it and struggle for the correct line and its application. The NLSSC, in carrying out proletarian internationalism, provides an organizational tool for mass work through which Marxist-Leninists can unite and win the advanced to communism, thus concretely contributing to the fulfillment of our central task by facilitating the carrying out of those tasks essential to party building.

On the ability of communists to prove in practice that they can correctly lead the struggle in support of national liberation and win over the multinational working class and oppressed masses in the U.S. to proletarian internationalism, on this will depend to a great extent the correct resolution of the national question in the U.S., a key question in the U.S. proletarian revolution.

LONG LIVE AFRICAN LIBERATION STRUGGLES!  
 VICTORY TO THE STRUGGLES OF THE THIRD WORLD AGAINST IMPERIALISM, ESPECIALLY THE TWO SUPERPOWERS, AND AGAINST ALL REACTION!!!

# COMMUNIST FORUM



## LETTER FROM BOULDER, COLORADO STUDENTS:

We are writing this letter to you because we would like to share with other Marxist-Leninists across the country our recent experience with WVO in Boulder, Colorado. We are students and consider ourselves to be developing Marxist-Leninists.

Since the beginning of the summer a WVO sympathizer in this area has been pushing for a forum between LPR and WVO. He claimed to have frequent contact with WVO and that they were "eager" to "expose" LPR. At one point this "sympathizer" assured us that WVO was so "anxious" to polemicize with LPR that they would pay their plane tickets to this area!

Well, around the middle of the summer we and other students found out that representatives of both LPR and WVO were in the area. As a group, we decided to extend an invitation to both organizations to participate in a forum, sponsored by us, around the topic "The Current Situation in the Communist Movement and how to Carry out the Central Task of Party Building". The forum was to be open in the sense that WVO, LPR and ourselves were free to invite anybody each group desired to do so. So, the forum was going to be a very significant event for all honest forces in the entire area.

Both organizations accepted, with the WVO sympathizer claiming that "they were clear" and "most certainly yes, they will come and polemicize with LPR." The date was agreed to be July 30. Then our work began: finding a place, day care, security, chairperson, etc.

But on July 28, we heard WVO had withdrawn from participating at the forum. That evening, at a meeting with the students, WVO came out with its "reasons" for pulling out from the open polemics. They gave us three "reasons": I. Such forums foster "small circle spirit" - the "main" reason. II. Such a forum would "legitimize" LPR, III. It was a "tradition" in the communist movement not to hold "joint" forums with organizations considered opportunists. Let's deal with each of these "reasons" starting with the first one.

### I. Open polemics foster small circle spirit.

Only in the course of intense questioning was WVO's position on this question clarified. They were first made to admit that their position was not derived from the local conditions - not from us, not from Boulder, not from Colorado, instead, from the following line:

a) The Period. In this period WVO claims that the task of Marxist-Leninists Unite is coming to an end. That's because, according to them, there are "very few genuine M-Ls left, scattered around the country". So the forum we proposed for the benefit of the "few" of us left "behind" seemed to WVO to be presumptuousness on our part, unnecessary (who's correct and who is incorrect is a "settled question") and just plain waste of time (we just want to "intellectualize" our way out of taking a stand.) We explained that not a "few" but a good number would attend the polemics, that most of us were investigating the lines put forth by WVO and LPR for the first time, that we wanted to take a stand and that precisely because we wanted to take a principled stand, we were eager to witness and participate in open polemics in this forum. Of no avail. According to WVO, our desire to witness and participate in an open polemic between WVO and LPR comes from a desire to "endlessly postpone taking a stand", to "insist" in engaging in political line struggle when, WVO claims, communists all over the country have by and large united around which line is the "overall most correct." It seems that, according to WVO, the fact that others have united based on political line struggle justifies that we don't go through the same process and instead unite with their organization based on political trust. We disagree with characterizing open polemics as an invalid forum of investigation in our political process even if it were true, which it obviously isn't in this area, that only "very few scattered" M-Ls have not yet united with the "overall most correct line". WVO would want us to believe that political line struggle has been exhausted, that, for instance, WVO has exposed and thoroughly defeated LPR's line in the past. But where? Not in WVO's papers and journals, not in open polemics. So where? WVO would have us feel that we are retarding the communist movement by liquidating the key line (which in this period they claim is organization) with the "obsolete" insistence on open polemics (when according to WVO, we only need to get all the necessary information from them on which line is correct.)

The only forum which the M-Ls and advanced have witnessed in this area has been universally characterized by all participants to have produced a qualitative leap forward in clarifying the two lines of LPR and ATM, in sharpening the two line struggle and in directly aiding us to determine which line was incorrect -- i.e. to take a stand. But because it had little to do with WVO's particular line, WVO refuses to accept direct evidence that contradicts their position. To come in

here and tell us that we are "endlessly" investigating lines betrays a raw ignorance of the subjective conditions in this area, where there has been a prolonged thirst for open polemics and many comrades are just beginning to investigate political lines of specific organizations. How can WVO have the gall to tell us we are "endlessly" intellectualizing when WVO itself has never come to this area and engaged in open polemics with anyone? If nothing else, WVO should be trying hard to make up for their total indifference towards this area and be actively seeking open polemics with those "opportunists" that have, due to WVO's negligence, "led us into the marsh". But no, it would foster our "endless" postponement of taking a stand. As if WVO has polemicized here 20 times and we're not yet "clear"! Even worse, what WVO is doing by not "exposing" the "right opportunist" line of LPR precisely in front of those honest forces who have shown an interest in LPR is to "abandon" these forces to LPR; unless, of course, they don't consider us honest forces, in which case, why are they trying to win over dishonest forces? It all boils down to WVO wanting us to take a fast position on WVO and LPR without investigation, without seeking truth from facts, and without political line struggle. In short, WVO wants us to follow WVO blindly, based on "trust" and a false sense of urgency.

b) Who WVO claims to be: Now, WVO's concept of the current period in the communist movement is derived from who WVO says they are: the "leading circle", the "overall most correct line", "objectively" the party. Ah, so WVO is where all those hordes of genuine M-Ls have gone, though not yet so "scattered" ones. Furthermore, they have become "objectively" the party; so WVO comes here to tell us that "now is not time to hang around, endlessly postponing taking a stand. On who? on... WVO, of course. For WVO, the task of M-Ls unite means to take a stand on WVO. And since few of us are "left" we better hurry up ("the task is almost ended.") WVO even had the petulance to tell us that they will decide in TWO MONTHS whether to "definitely break or not with us," based on whether we take a "correct" stand on WVO or not. TWO MONTHS!!! They have never done anything that would even come close to resemble systematic work in this area and one day they fly one of their cadre to come and tell us we have two months to embrace WVO. Also, since we have only two months "left", WVO wants us to forget about them engaging in open polemics with LPR and instead proposes we meet just with them and make up our minds, but soon mind you, because this "mop up campaign" in the communist movement (that WVO has so "selflessly" taken up) is a drag and is postponing the moment when WVO will transform itself from "objectively" the party to THE party. Such postponement is what WVO calls fostering small circle spirit". But isn't WVO really fostering small circle spirit by refusing to participate in an open polemic in which many forces and small circles would have had a concrete opportunity to establish political unity in the process of intense two line struggle? Isn't it fostering small circle spirit to take the two line struggle internally into each of the small circles and forcing them to come out with an independent position without the aid of open polemics and common investigation? Indeed it is! Comrades, this "reason" put forth by WVO not only doesn't hold water, it has given us a good idea of how WVO's line comes out in practice: bourgeois hegemonism, pure and simple. It's the same old con game.

### II. The Forum would legitimize LPR

The second "reason" given by WVO for avoiding open polemics with LPR was that the forum would "legitimize" LPR. Why? They don't say. So we are left to make sense out of this one. For us the only way LPR would have been "legitimized" in the forum would have been if and only if LPR would have exposed and thoroughly defeated WVO's line, using the scientific method and attitude of seeking truth from facts and adopting the class

As we said in our article concerning this incident, we have basic unity with the general thrust of this letter. We are confident in that it will not take long before these students reach the conclusion that in fact what happened in Boulder is not the exception, but a concrete manifestation of WVO's right opportunism.

There is a particular aspect we wish to stress. The letter refers to a WVO "sympathizer" that made "self-criticism" for having misrepresented the line of WVO. We know this WVO "sympathizer" very well. He was a leading member of the February First Movement (FFM) and RWL in the East Coast area. He upheld the neo-trotskyite line all the way up to May 1976 when he was finally expelled. Before this expulsion he actively participated in some of the beatings, disruption of activities and other social-fascist actions which the "wing" engaged in at that time. This is to make it clear that we don't accept the thesis of the innocent confused WVO "sympathizer".

Also many comrades are aware of the fact that

stand of the proletariat. In that case, not only would LPR have been legitimized but WVO would most certainly have been "de-legitimized". But isn't it true the other way around, that had WVO proven the correctness of their line, LPR would have been thoroughly "de-legitimized" and WVO "legitimized"? We can see that here WVO has conceded that their line cannot withstand open polemics because they already assure us that the other line will win and thus become "legitimized" or else they are covering their fear to engage in polemics with LPR in which case we ask WVO, why are you hiding if you have "the overall most correct line"?

### III. It's a "tradition among communists to not hold joint forums with opportunist organizations.

WVO did not invite LPR to hold a "joint" forum, under their common sponsorship, which indeed is consistent with M-L tradition. WVO and LPR agreed to participate in a forum sponsored by us, which is not a joint forum. Or is WVO calling a forum "joint" for the sole reason that they will find LPR in the same room putting out their line? Certainly not, since WVO assures us they go "seeking" two line struggle in forums sponsored by opportunist organizations, which guarantees the presence of those opportunists and yet WVO doesn't call them joint forums: or could it be that the problem comes from sitting at the same table, or in the same panel? If that is the case, we assured WVO we would sit LPR at another table, even in the "audience" if they so felt! WVO has participated in joint activities with organizations they openly characterize as "opportunist" and "revisionist". In such activities they have even invited some of those organizations to participate, to share the same table, etc. Does IWW in New York ring a bell? There they claim they are carrying out their line "unite to expose" with not a word about "legitimizing" the opportunists or that it's not "traditional". They unite with opportunists when there's few or no conditions to expose them, but they don't "unite" with them when the stage is all set for exposure. (open polemics). So it seems that either they have a special fetish for forums or else they are again covering up their fear to engage in open polemics and prefer instead to push their line in an unprincipled way. Maybe their line towards circles is "hide to impose".

As to how they explained away the fact that they had accepted the invitation to participate in the forum, they merely declared that their contact in the area had "totally misrepresented them" (for months?) and they had never accepted the invitation in the first place. Their "sympathizer" then not only accepted this incredible role of scapegoat, but in fact went on to declare that "now" he, one of the main defenders of open polemics in the past months, united with WVO's position to withdraw from such an "unprincipled" forum. So much for the "thorough" training WVO gives its "sympathizers".

In summation, we have learned to recognize what other comrades with more experience have characterized as the bourgeois hegemonism of WVO. We still don't hold the position that WVO is a consolidated opportunist organization, even though we will certainly do so if the first experience with them faithfully and completely reflects what WVO is all about, i.e. if WVO's line totally reduces itself to an empty self-proclamation that they have the "overall most correct line". The near future will allow us to determine that.

We would like to end this letter with a quote from Chairman Mao which we think applies to this situation and to WVO's practice in this area:

There are not a few comrades doing inspection work, as well as guerrilla leaders and cadres newly in office, who like to make political pronouncements the moment they arrive at a place and who strut about, criticizing this and condemning that when they have only seen the surface of things or minor details. Such purely subjective nonsensical talk is indeed detestable. These people are bound to make a mess of things, lose the confidence of the masses and prove incapable of solving any problem at all.

(Oppose Book Worship, p.2)

### L P R A N S W E R S :

one of the favorite tricks of opportunists, and WVO is a real expert at it, is to blame a cadre or somebody else for their mistakes in order to protect the opportunist leadership and line of the organization. Historically, WVO, as well as ATM and PRRWO, have characterized themselves for this tactic. We have polemicized against this opportunist line of "self-criticism" by PRRWO:

PRRWO does not take seriously the matter of criticism and self-criticism. They have turned this valuable weapon of Marxism-Leninism into some sort of "confession" Catholic Church style: "Forgive me father, for I have sinned, my sins are the following, I promise not to commit them again, I will say 3 Hail Marys and will see you again next week to tell you my new sins!" This is self-criticism for PRRWO. PRRWO offers ideological justifications of their past errors in order to cover for present errors. PRRWO does not correct their errors in their leadership or their political line.

(Resistance, Vol.7, #5, page 3)

In the same light we see the "self-criticism" of this opportunist "sympathizer", or whatever, of WVO. A sham attempt to get WVO off the hook. It won't work WVO!



ETERNAL GLORY TO  
CHAIRMAN MAO TSE TUNG!

Commemorate the first anniversary  
of the death of our great proletarian  
leader and teacher, Chairman Mao Tsetung  
September 9, 1976

LONG LIVE THE INVINCIBLE  
WEAPON OF MARXISM - LENINISM  
MAO TSE TUNG THOUGHT!

MESSAGE SENT TO THE ETHIOPIAN STUDENT UNION IN NORTH AMERICA ON THE

# Historic 25th Congress of E.S.U.N.A.

To be held in Washington D.C. - August 21 - 28, 1977.

Comrades and Friends:

We are deeply honored to be able to extend to you today our revolutionary greetings in the occasion of your 25th Congress. It is of great significance that this congress is being held in Washington, D.C., in the capital of U.S. imperialism. From here precisely have gone out the orders, the money, the weapons that have kept the Ethiopian masses oppressed for so long. Let us tonight, from this very same place, send our message of solidarity to the heroic Ethiopian masses, to the freedom fighters that had made Assimba the symbol of the future socialist Ethiopia. Let us express our solidarity and our commitment to the struggle of the Ethiopian masses against the fascist military Junta, against U.S. imperialism and Soviet social-imperialism. Let us together raise, from the belly of the monster the slogans Long Live the Ethiopian Revolution, Long Live the Ethiopian Peoples Revolutionary Party, Long Live the Ethiopian Student Union in North America. We urge you to continue in your excellent revolutionary work which has brought upon you the repression of the enemy. It is a good thing to be attacked by the enemy, it shows that you are hitting it hard. We pledge to you our firm and militant support.

Your congress is held under great turbulence in your homeland and abroad. The fascist military Junta is trying, unsuccessfully, to contain the struggle of the Ethiopian and Eritrean masses. The mass killings of workers, peasants, students and women, the tortures and imprisonment of hundreds of patriots, their campaigns of search and destroy against the freedom fighters, their all-out campaign against the Marxist-Leninist vanguard of the Ethiopians peoples, the EPRP, are but vain attempts to stop the inexorable wheels of history. The fascist military Junta will not be successful in its last-ditch attempts at mass murders and mass repression to stop the revolutionary storm in Ethiopia. The Ethiopian people will be successful by continuing in the road of the New Democratic Revolution.

THE FLAME OF REVOLUTION IS RAGING THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE OF AFRICA! For centuries the African masses have fought against brutal exploitation and oppression. Today millions are rising up demanding their freedom and independence. And despite all obstacles, despite the meddling of the imperialists and their lackeys, the African peoples' struggles along with that of other third world peoples of Asia and Latin America is surging forward in an irresistible historical trend of countries for independence, nations for liberation and people for revolution.

For a long time the Ethiopian masses have been fighting a long-known enemy of the world's people: U.S. imperialism, the same enemy that the U.S. multinational proletariat confronts. The fascist dictatorship of Haile Selassie was always supported, armed and trained by the U.S. imperialists. And after the revolutionary upsurge of February 1974, the U.S. imperialists moved swiftly to assure that things would continue as usual. They were until very recently the principal arms suppliers of the fascist military Junta of Mengistu, providing it with economic assistance as well. But recently the situation has begun to change in your country. A lesser known enemy but not less dangerous for the world's peoples the Soviet social-imperialists, has begun to meddle in Ethiopia. Today, they are substituting U.S. imperialism, as the principal arms suppliers of the Mengistu fascist clique and their Cuban mercenary troops have joined the Israeli Zionists in the military training of Ethiopian puppet forces.

This is a continental strategy of Soviet social-imperialists which is unfolding in Africa today and shows clearly that Soviet social-imperialism is not on the side of National Liberation Struggles but rather that it constitutes, along with U.S. imperialism, the main enemies not only of the peoples of Africa, but of the whole world as well. Thus, we call for "Superpowers out of Africa" independently of the fact that in each country we must make the concrete analysis of concrete conditions and determine the principal contradiction which constitutes the main enemy. Regardless of this, the principle of opposing both superpowers and not relying on one in order to repulse the other, must always be applied.

Today, Ethiopia is a center for superpower contention. As Lenin said: "An essential feature of imperialism is the rivalry between several Great Powers in striving for hegemony." The Ethiopian masses, led by the EPRP, are proving in practice that it is possible to kick out the tiger from the front door without allowing the wolf to enter through the back door. They are providing great lessons for revolutionaries the world over!

The proletariat of each country has the duty to defeat its own bourgeoisie. We, as part of the U.S. proletariat, have the special duty of defeating the bourgeoisie of one of the two superpowers, the U.S. bourgeoisie by waging proletarian revolution, establishing the dictatorship of the proletariat and building socialism in the U.S. This is our highest proletarian internationalist duty, to which we are committed.

In order to fulfill this task, we U.S. Marxist-Leninists must build a new communist party in the U.S. which, guided by the invincible weapon of Marxism-Leninism Mao Tse-tung Thought, will lead the U.S. proletariat in this task. We of the LEAGUE FOR PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION (MARXIST-LENINIST), are engaged in this struggle to fulfill this central task of all honest Marxist-Leninists in the U.S. By building this party we will be truly and most effectively supporting the struggle of all workers and oppressed peoples throughout the world.

"No nation can be free if it oppresses other nations. Our destiny is inextricably tied with the destiny of the masses of workers and oppressed people of the whole world. As part of the U.S. multinational proletariat and as part of the worldwide United Front against the two superpowers, our struggles are one and the same. They are the struggles of all workers and oppressed people against the same common enemy: imperialism, headed by U.S. imperialism and Soviet social-imperialism. We are certain that the U.S. imperialists and Soviet social-imperialists will meet their fate: the peoples of the world will defeat these aggressors and will win independence and true liberation.

Comrades, the road is tortuous, but the future is certainly bright. The heroic Ethiopian masses led by its Marxist-Leninist vanguard Party will win. The red banner of Assimba will fly high and its spirit will kindle the whole of Ethiopia!

VICTORY TO THE ETHIOPIAN NEW DEMOCRATIC REVOLUTION!

LONG LIVE THE EPRP AND THE EPRA!

DEATH TO IMPERIALISM AND SOCIAL-IMPERIALISM!

WORKERS AND OPPRESSED PEOPLES OF THE WORLD, UNITE!

LEAGUE FOR PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION (M-L)

## Look Who's Lecturing The "C" P U S A . . .

In the last issue of "Revolutionary Cause" ATM attacks the CPUSA for its revisionism and reformism. Specifically, it attacks the CPUSA's demand for the "hiring of Spanish-speaking cops" in Chicago. Says ATM: "Luckily these phoney 'communists' have little influence in the current struggle. Puerto Rican people have learned that minority cops can beat you as badly as white cops; that obscenities aren't limited to the English language. The struggle is not for more minority cops, but for an end to all police brutality and a revolution to overthrow the system which uses police to oppress working people." Revolutionary Cause, Vol. 2, #5, page 6

People will ask: What is wrong with that position? There is nothing wrong with it. The problem is that ATM has consistently put forward an even more reformist line than the CPUSA itself on the same question.

We thoroughly exposed their class collaborationist line in the forum held at Denver, Colorado in March 1977. There we said:

"ATM goes even further in their reformism. They put forward that the police should live in the working class and national minority communities. According to them, the police will think twice before attacking somebody in the community for fear of reprisal. What ATM doesn't speak to is the fact that what they are calling for is objectively class collaboration with this line on the possibility of control of the police, of making it neutral, etc. This line in fact was put forward by organizations like the Black Panthers and the Black Muslims who fought, in a very militant way, for the demand -- "Black cops for the Black ghetto."

"In Harlem, an Afrom-American community in New York, the great majority of the police are Blacks and despite this, there is no other community in the city that has a greater incidence of police brutality than this area. In Puerto Rico all the police are Puerto Ricans and that has not changed the repressive apparatus. We should remember Lenin asked not for changing the nationality of the police, the army, etc., but asked for the struggle to smash the state apparatus." (Denver Forum, Five Organizations speak on... Party Building", Published by CORES (M-L-M) page 20)

And today, without any self-criticism and repudiation, ATM pretends by using the same arguments that we used to expose them to lecture the CPUSA. It's important for ATM that the CPUSA stop raising the same demands that they are raising because people can make the connections and realize that although organizationally ATM is not part of the CPUSA, their line, in the last analysis, represents the same thing: reformism, class collaboration, counterrevolution.



### DEFEAT OF GANG OF FOUR GREAT VICTORY FOR WORLD PROLETARIAT

E. F. HILL  
Chairman, CP Australia (ML)

\$ .50

League for Proletarian Revolution (ML)

In our last issue we pointed out as one of the differences between LPR and RCL our respective positions on the CPChina and the defeat of the "gang of four". More on this question has been included in the Introduction to the above pamphlet, which can be obtained from:

LPR - ML  
Box 513 Triboro Sta.  
N.Y., N.Y. 10035

or:  
10th Street Bookshop  
224 E. 10th Street  
N.Y. N.Y. 10003

# Labor Bureaucrats Sell Phone Workers Out

After getting a clear mandate from the rank and file to strike nationwide against the Bell System unless demands were met, labor bureaucrats of the Communication Workers of America (CWA) violated that strike mandate and agreed to cool off things and accept a contract that is a setback for the phone workers. This contract, which is to be voted on nationwide, has to be ratified by the rank and file by Sept. 6. We are asking phone workers to vote down the nationwide contract and their respective contracts and move forward to the original plans for the strike until the demands of the rank and file are met.

The main issue in these contract negotiations is job security. In the last four years, basically due to automation, more than 100,000 jobs have been eliminated from the company nationwide (over 10,000 just last year). In the same period, the profits of the company have increased enormously. In 1976, for example, the company reports profits over four billion dollars. The plans of the company are to continue automation and it is known to every phone worker that by 1983, at least in New York, only 1 out every 3 will still have a job. The company tried to organize an army of flunkies by talking to every worker individually and "guaranteeing" that he or she will be one of those to keep their job. This divisive tactic designed to keep the workers quiet must be fiercely combatted in order to avoid splits and be able to take a united stand against ALL lay-offs, etc.

Conscious of that fact, the rank and file put forward the demands (among others) :

- 1) Job security - No lay-offs, no downgrades or part-timing, 32 hour work week
- 2) No contract - No work
- 3) No concessions on what already has been gained.
- 4) End discrimination against women and national minorities
- 5) Unemployment benefits for all striking workers.

Although originally the labor bureaucrats expressed their support for these demands, later on they began to retreat or condition that support showing their true colors as labor lieutenants of the bourgeoisie. The bureaucrats claim that the best way to assure no further lay-offs is by writing to "our" congressmen and by giving financial support to the "good" candidates of the Democratic Party.

As we said in one of the leaflets distributed to phone workers during the strike vote :

Labor bureaucrats...are a class sector who have been bought off by the capitalists with enormous privileges and benefits. The capitalists can afford these bribes for the bureaucrats from the huge superprofits they get from their imperialist domination of colonies and oppressed nations. And in exchange for this privileged position the labor bureaucrats serve the interests of the capitalists and keep the workers "in line".

The contract is so bad that many locals around the country have expressed their discontent and urge their rank and file to reject both the local and national contract. Local 1101 who represents New York Telephone workers has boasted that they have the best local contract and urge their people to accept both contracts. "Forget about workers in other parts of the country as long as New York has a good deal!" This is how the labor bureaucrats answer the problem of job security. Now is the time for phone workers to reject both contracts, and to move forward to a nationwide strike. ( So far the Minneapolis and the Long Lines Local 1150 have openly opposed the contracts.)



In an Information Bulletin issued by the Company on August 7 they announced what the new contract provided in relation to this main issue. They started by saying that they have developed a "Supplemental Income Protection Program" which is described as a "new concept dealing with the impact of technology on employees, SIPP will provide additional retirement income for a temporary period to those that "qualify". And those that "qualify" are described by the new contract as :those under the age of 62 who are eligible for service pensions and who are part of work groups in which, at the determination of the company, some individuals are scheduled to be transferred, downgraded, or laid off as a result of technological changes or other factors." It is also said that any article in SIPP are not subjected to arbitration. These SIPP payments will go for 48 months and that SIPP will not affect in any way the amount of payment under the pension plan.

In a nutshell, in dealing with job security, the company says in the new contract that workers will get supplemental income, if they qualify for it, as soon as they are laid off. And without arbitration rights. And this the labor bureaucrats have the nerve to call a good contract and even dare to ask for a yes vote on it for ratification!

The company's information bulletin (remember, this was issued in order to get workers' support for this contract) informs that: "under the new contract: Basic wage rates would increase by varying amounts in a range of up to 8%, with a minimum increase of \$5 plus Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA), and after 24 months, again by up to 3%." This increase over a period of 3 years is called by AT&T Labor Relations Vice-President Rex Reed "an agreement that will enable our employees to stay well ahead of the impact of inflation". This is outright demagoguery.

The new contract is really a step backward from the old contract. It not only does not provide for job security but it now also puts a cap on the previously won COLA. Of course this is no surprise as we could not expect the labor bureaucrats to have obtained a decent contract for the phone workers. Only the militant struggle of the workers could do that!

As we said in our recent flyer:

" We workers must rely on our own strength, on our struggle, to obtain all our rights. This is the only way, and it is a universal truth drawn out of the historical experiences of workers the world over. We have never, in any place of the world, gained anything without fighting for it! The strike is one of our strongest weapons which is in the hands of the phone workers right now. The capitalists, with all their money, their power, their courts, are not invincible. On the contrary, they are doomed to fail. We workers can tear down mountains, if only we dare to struggle and unite, as the powerful class we are, to do so. This unity is a must. Such things as nationality, sex, age, type of job, are particularities which must not hide the essence: that we are all members of the working class, owners of nothing but our capacity to work, with common problems, common exploiters, common interests, and common solutions and struggle. The unity in struggle means active participation of all workers in the planning and preparations for the national strike. It is necessary to build rank and file caucuses within each local and a nationwide Strike Coordinating Committee based on these local rank and file organisms. At the same time that workers rely on organized, militant and united struggle against the Bell System, support from other workers outside the company is also necessary, as all workers struggles support one another. Lets remember that the tens of millions of telephone consumers are by and large also workers who have our same class interests. That is why we must all fight as one!!! ON TO THE STRIKE! ON TO VICTORY, STRONG AND UNITED!"

STOP MA BELL 'S LOUSY CONTRACT!

PROTEST AGAINST THE CWA LEADERSHIP'S COLLABORATION WITH MA BELL!

JOB SECURITY IS A MUST! STRIKE NOW!

Subscribe to

## Resistance

Political organ of the  
LEAGUE FOR PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION-MI  
Box 513 Triboro Station  
N.Y., N.Y. 10035

English  Spanish  12 issues - \$3

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ APT. \_\_\_\_\_

CITY \_\_\_\_\_ STATE \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP \_\_\_\_\_

**MARXISTS-LENINISTS UNITE!  
WIN THE ADVANCED TO COMMUNISM!**

## 10<sup>th</sup> Street Bookshop

224 East 10<sup>th</sup> Street N.Y., 10003, N.Y.  
tel. 473-8736

We carry the works of:  
MARX  
ENGELS  
LENIN  
STALIN  
MAO TSE TUNG  
ENVER HOXHA

OPEN MONDAY THRU FRIDAY  
1:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.

IN ENGLISH AND SPANISH  
THE LOWEST PRICES IN TOWN!

★

AVAILABLE:

- Five Organizations Speak on Party Building - \$1.25
- A Free Woman can live only in a Free Society - 1.00
- Summary Report to 7th Congress of PLA (Hoxha) - .50
- A Draft Programme of our Party (Lenin) - .50
- ... and many others ...



## ALL OUT IN SUPPORT OF PUERTO RICAN INDEPENDENCE STRUGGLE!

A decision to call for a national campaign in support of the struggle for the independence of Puerto Rico was adopted recently by the League for Proletarian Revolution (Marxist-Leninist). Plans are under way to hold activities in several states during the period of October 25 to November 1st.

In calling for the activity we want to stress the following premises around which we feel that support work can be developed for the Puerto Rican national liberation struggle.

A. The only possible solution to the national-colonial question of Puerto Rico is national independence.

B. We understand that the Puerto Rican revolution is a two-stage revolution along the path of the New Democratic Revolution continuing uninterrupted towards socialism.

C. We consider U.S. imperialism to be the main enemy of the Puerto Rican people. Specifically, the slogan "Superpowers out of Puerto Rico" is a misleading and inadequate one, as it covers the nature of the principal contradiction in Puerto Rico, and objectively covers for the U.S. bourgeoisie.

D. We recognize that the Puerto Ricans in the U.S. are not part of the Puerto Rican nation, but constitute an oppressed national minority. To the revisionist thesis of "one nation, one party", we counterpose the Marxist-Leninist thesis of "One multi-national working class, one multi-national Marxist-Leninist Communist Party."

Concretely, we feel the campaign should focus on the following demands:

1. Independence for Puerto Rico - immediately. Opposition to the Ford plan for the annexation of Puerto Rico. Immediate withdrawal of all military bases and personnel from Puerto Rico.

2. Stop all plans for the exploitation of mineral resources and oil in Puerto Rico. No superports to be allowed in the island. Recognition of the right to the 200 nautical miles limit for the Puerto Rican nation.

3. Immediate and unconditional release of the five Puerto Rican nationalists that have been political prisoners of U.S. imperialism since the 50's: Oscar Collazo, Lolita Lebron, Irving Flores, Rafael Cancel Miranda and Andres Figueroa Cordero.

4. Stop the national oppression of the Puerto Rican people in the U.S. Stop the utilization of the grand jury against Puerto Rican revolutionaries in the U.S. Stop the utilization of the terrorist FALN as an excuse for the attacks and frame-up of Puerto Rican activists.

We will soon publish details of the different activities being planned. If you are interested in joining this important campaign, contact us immediately.

FULL DEMOCRATIC RIGHTS FOR THE PUERTO RICANS IN THE UNITED STATES!

EQUAL PAY FOR EQUAL WORK!

BILINGUAL, BICULTURAL EDUCATION!

STOP THE STERILIZATION OF PUERTO RICAN WOMEN!

STOP DISCRIMINATION IN HOUSING, MEDICAL SERVICES, EMPLOYMENT, ETC.!