

PEOPLES'



TRIBUNE

Vol. 6 No. 7
July, 1974

"The Communist's ideal should not be a trade-union secretary, but a tribune of the people, able to react to every manifestation of tyranny and oppression." Lenin

Donation 10¢

NIXON-BREZHNEV SUMMIT

WAR PLANS EXPOSED

Under the banner of "permanent detente" President Nixon and his imperialist entourage have just returned from the third summit conference with revisionist chief Brezhnev. This conference, which is being hailed as an example of how the world can be run "peacefully", concluded with a ten-year trade agreement, an agreement on the continued exchange of scientists and technicians, and a commitment to continue discussing nuclear arms limitations. It is very clear that neither the Soviet Union nor the USNA has any intention of limiting nuclear arms, as both states exploded nuclear devices less than one week after the conference had ended. The only firm commitments made were in the agreement on exchange of scientists and technicians; as for the others, they only express intent, and neither party is bound to do anything at all. Therefore, in order to understand the significance of this

summit, we must look beyond these documents.

The bourgeoisie of the USNA and of the USSR tell us that this summit, like its two predecessors, is the beginning of a new epoch of "peace" and "friendship among nations". While Nixon speaks of a generation of "peace", his lackey, Gus Hall, of the CPUSA writes: "One of the basic conclusions we draw from the new epoch concept is the fact that world wars are not now inevitable. In the epoch when imperialism was the dominant force, wars of conquest between imperialist powers for the redistribution of the loot were inevitable. The shift in the world balance of forces has made a shift in the outlook for peace not only possible, but crucial for mankind's survival. The danger of war continues, but its prevention has become a real possibility." (Gus Hall, Imperialism Today, p. 359.)

Almost sixty years ago, Lenin crushed such an analysis of the relation between the imperialist powers, "The 'theory of ultra-imperialism', however, serves Kautsky as a means by which to justify the opportunists to present the situation in such a light as to make it appear that they have not gone over to the bourgeoisie, but simply that they 'do not believe' that socialism could come immediately and expect that 'perhaps a new era' of disarmament and lasting peace will be ushered in." (Lenin, The Collapse of the Second International)

Both Kautsky and the modern revisionists, such as, Brezhnev and Gus Hall, utilize a very clever weapon against the workers. This is to take political agreements between imperialist states at their face value and thereby to ignore the fundamental truth: politics is a concentrated expression of

Cont. on p. 6

CPUSA RALLY IN RALEIGH

REVISIONISTS LAUNCH OFFENSIVE

The National Alliance Against Racist and Political Repression sponsored a rally on July 4 in Raleigh, North Carolina which was billed as the "demonstration to spark a new movement in the South". The CPUSA is playing a leading role in developing the National Alliance through their members like Angela Davis and Charlene Mitchell, both co-chairmen of the Alliance. Through such efforts as this, the CPUSA is making a greater thrust into the working class movement than has been exhibited by them in 20 years. Why are they making this renewed thrust, especially into the Negro people's liberation movement? The imperialists are mounting a fascist offensive at home to prepare for war abroad and the deepening role of the Negro people as sparks in the spontaneous struggle of the whole of the USNA working class, coupled with the maturing of the "Puerto Rican solution", makes suppression of the Negro people first on the agenda for the imperialists. The CPUSA is playing a key role in trying to

hide the growth of fascism and leave the Negro people and the whole of the working class defenseless.

The past few years have witnessed a seeming lull in the Negro people's liberation movement. But this is fast coming to an end as the "Puerto Rican solution" is more and more exposed. The Negro compradors who gained office in the past few years are exposing themselves as rats and traitors of the Negro people. Charles Evers, mayor of Fayette, Miss., has come out in support of the fascist, chauvinist, George Wallace. The end of June witnessed the largest uprising of the Negro people in several years, this time in Atlanta, Ga. This uprising was quelled with savage brutality. Did Mayor Maynard Jackson support the just demands of the Negro people? No! - He sat mum, unwilling and unable to do a thing. And in the Anglo-American nation, Mayor Coleman Young of Detroit came to office on a platform appealing to the Negro section of the working class.

But what has he done while in office so far? - expand the mini-police stations to further infiltrate the police into the Negro community for the purpose of increased suppression.

Further, Negro national minority workers are in the leadership of the spontaneous struggles, such as, fightbacks and wildcats of the workers in the factories of the Anglo-American nation. Great numbers of Negro national minority workers may be found in the basic industries, such as auto and steel. Operatives and non-farm laborers totaled 32% of the Negro population in 1971. (1) These Negro national minority workers are playing a key role in exposing and fighting the treachery of the trade union misleaders and in advancing

Cont. on p. 12

What's Inside

Negro Nation	p. 2
Latin America	p. 3
UAW Convention	p. 3
Correction	p. 3
Southern Africa	p. 4
Attacks on C.L.	p. 4
Youth Murdered	p. 5

The Negro Nation - Colony of USNA Imperialism

During the past period of time there has been an increasing awareness that the very cornerstone of the revolutionary policy of the Communist League is the Marxist-Leninist analysis of the national colonial question in general and the Negro question in particular and the proposal for a revolutionary solution to that question.

If our friends sometimes fail to grasp the significance of our line, our enemies hardly ever do. An example of this is the editorial in the Guardian of May 22, 1974 by Irwin Silber who states, "The CL proclaims the existence of a 'Negro Nation' in the South, a physical land mass all of whose inhabitants, whether white or Black, are Negroes. The projection of two separate nations in this form really adds up not just to 'self-determination', but to an endorsement of secession."

Silber is entirely correct. Our political outlook can be summed up - "The state of the United States is very powerful and as a united whole cannot be overthrown. The overthrow of this state is possible only if it is first - or in the process - dismembered." This is what Silber is fighting against - and what all real revolutionaries are fighting for. Marxists understand that they cannot create objective reality - they can only work with existing developing situations. Hence, the Communist League has never attempted to create a situation that is favorable to us, but to analyze objective reality and to rely upon and exacerbate the aspect of the contradiction that is favorable to us. This is what Leninism is all about - the tactics of the oppressed to batter and finally overthrow an oppressor state.

The most important ideological weapon that the USNA imperialists have is the weapon of "American Exceptionalism". It has and does permeate the projections of all social classes and is so inbred (based on the privileges of imperialism) that it is hardly noticeable as it disorients and distorts Marxism and objectivity.

For years "revolutionaries" have been talking about the Negro Nation, and no matter the correctness of their words, it is plain that they meant an oppressed people. Stalin points out how the Jews in Russia were an oppressed people because the lack of a Jewish peasantry prevented them from being an oppressed nation. But there was an historically evolved community of territory as regards the Negro people along with the other criteria that define a nation. It is the ideology of "American exceptionalism" that allows them to say "Negro Nation", and, at the same time, deny that all the people living

in the nation are Negroes. None of these chauvinists would deny that all the people of Germany are Germans - be they Slavic or the "black" children of American soldiers. Compounding their confusion is their inability to grasp the fact that nations and tribes are two distinct and separate things. Nations are historically evolved communities, whereas tribes are ethnic.

Now there is a new question arising that has the same goal of undermining the revolutionary significance of the Negro question. This new projection is that the Negro question - while a national question - is not a colonial question.

It should be born in mind that until a year ago these same forces were denying that the Negro question was anything but a question of cultural nationalism. They are again saying the same thing, only with the sophistication that a beaten army learns. As Lenin stated, "A Russian Socialist who does not merely repeat what others say, but who thinks for himself, must realize that as far as Russia is concerned, it is particularly absurd to attempt to draw a serious distinction between oppressed nations and colonies." (1)

First of all, we would like to ask: Can you point to a single national question that is not at the same time a colonial question? How is it conceivable in this period of dying, ferocious capitalism that there should be an oppressed nation that at the same time isn't reduced to the level of a colony? It is plain for all to see that every colonial question involves the question of nations and also that every question of oppressed nations involves the question of colonial oppression. This is the indisputable result of the development of finance capital.

In this regard, Stalin wrote "It was the communists who first revealed the connection between the national question and the question of the colonies, who proved it theoretically and made it the basis of their practical revolutionary work." (2) Is it that these latter day "Doubting Thomases" want to break us away from this basis of our revolutionary work? Yes Comrades, this is true because they represent the dying gasp of the revisionist Fosterite line of a "nation within a nation" - or to put it simply - cultural nationalism. We are either dealing with people who refuse to read such elementary Marxist documents as Foundations of Leninism or people who are attempting to throw "learned dirt" into the eyes of the younger comrades by asking what appears to be innocent questions.

As noted above, the question boils down to this: Is the Fosterite conception of a "nation

within a nation" correct, or is the Leninist concept of nations as direct colonies, as well as indirect neo-colonies under the dictatorship of an alien state correct? Foster's "American exceptionalism" concept is cultural nationalism, pure and simple. Foster's idea is a nation without territory. Likewise, the concept of an oppressed nation that is not a colony is the identical statement. Can there be a "nation within a nation"? Nations have frontiers - territory. How then is it possible to be within another nation? There cannot be multinational nations, only multinational states. States and nations are not the same.

We can partially excuse the chauvinism of the naive. In a certain sense of the word the Negro question is quite unique. It developed and matured within the state boundaries of the USNA. In almost all other cases

Cont. on p. 8

Comrades and Friends,

The Communist League is now publishing a new newspaper, the Western Worker, that addresses itself to the special needs and demands of the class struggle in the West and the Southwest. We urge you to support our new paper. For further information on the Western Worker, please write to:

PO Box 72306
Watts Station
Los Angeles, California

LITERATURE

The following publications are available from the CL:

	<u>Donation</u>
Negro National Colonial Question	\$1.00
Dialectics of the Development of the Communist League	\$.50
Lenin and Stalin on the Party	\$.50
The Proletariat (theoretical journal of the Communist League)	\$1.00
Enver Hoxha, The Rights and Freedoms of Women and Youth	\$.10
On the Struggle Against Revisionism, by Admiral Kilpatrick	\$2.00

For more information about the Communist League or the People's Tribune write:

P.O. BOX 3774
CHICAGO, ILL. 60654

P.O. BOX 72306
WATTS STATION
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

P.O. BOX 170
BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11217

P.O. BOX 24241
BAYVIEW STATION
SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

Latin America Hemispheric Revolution

Pierre Trudeau, one of the mouthpieces for the bourgeoisie, once said that "sharing a continent with the United States is like sleeping with an elephant." (1) No one knows this better than the exploited and oppressed peoples of Latin America. The powerful force of USNA imperialism has spread its tentacles throughout the entire Western Hemisphere, dominating the whole continent. To the bourgeoisie the concept of "hemispheric" has meant USNA dominance in the Latin American economies, politics and society in general. Lenin points out that one of the tendencies of imperialism is the "development and acceleration of all kinds of intercourse between nations, breakdown of national barriers, creation of the international unity of capital, of economic life in general, of politics, science, etc...."(2) So it is that imperialism has objectively welded together the interests of the various working classes of the Western Hemisphere thus creating the conditions for its own downfall.

However, the Anglo American proletariat has been kept ignorant of the common bond which it shares with the Latin American working classes through bribery and deception. Through the bourgeois mass media and especially with the aid of the rotten revisionist CPUSA, the struggles of the Latin American masses have been kept isolated from the struggle of the Anglo-American proletariat against capital. To the Anglo-American proletariat then, the concept of "hemispheric" must mean unity with the working classes and peasantry of Latin America.

USNA IMPERIALISM IN LATIN AMERICA

What does this mean? Stalin says that "the colonies and dependent countries, oppressed and exploited by finance capital, constitute a vast reserve and a very important source of strength for imperialism." (3) Historically, the strength of USNA imperialism has been its stranglehold on the dependent countries in the Western Hemisphere; especially the Negro Nation and the Latin American nations.

The history of the USNA shows that by 1898 the USNA economy had solidified its industrial base, incorporated the Southwest Region into the Anglo-American nation and it had created its first colony - the Negro Nation. However, the drive, through investing capital, for maximum profits, expanding markets and sources of cheap raw materials and cheap labor drove the USNA imperialists to acquire colonies in the Caribbean and in Central and South America. In 1890 fully half of the USNA's \$250 million direct foreign investments were in Mexico. In the interval between 1914 and

1929 USNA direct foreign investment in Argentina rose from 3% to 11%; in Brazil from 4% to 9% and in Chile from 1% to 7%. However, the bulk of USNA investment was still in Mexico and Cuba with direct foreign investments totalling 28% and 27% respectively. (4) With a base established in Latin America and the Negro Nation, USNA imperialism was able to expand and consolidate markets and colonies throughout the rest of the world and to further exploit the Anglo-American proletariat. Today over 18% of the USNA's private foreign investment, worth \$18 billion, is in Latin America. (5)

So we see that with Latin America as a large reserve, the Wall Street parasites have been able to not only have a source of cheap raw materials (such as oil, tin and copper), but they have also been able to acquire lucrative markets in which to establish capitalist enterprises. Lenin conclusively proved that imperialism is the "export of finance capital." (6)

In this process of colonization, the role of the State as the repressive organ used by one class to dominate another is vividly exposed. The USNA has used direct military intervention and "foreign aid" to subjugate the Latin American nations. The "foreign policy" of the USNA State has always been one which facilitates the brutal exploitation and oppression of Latin America.

As early as 1823 the USNA expansionists formulated the Monroe Doctrine as a protective measure for USNA interests in Latin America. It provided that nations of the Americas were not open for colonization by any European power. Any actions for this purpose would be considered "the manifestation of an unfriendly disposition toward the U.S." Beginning in the 1880's this "protective" policy was used by the USNA to justify its expansion in Latin America. For example in 1904, Teddy Roosevelt used the Monroe Doctrine to justify the USNA's intervention into any "unstable" country because its lack of stability was a threat to "civilization". Actions such as these demonstrate what Simon Bolivar meant when he said, "the United States seems destined by Providence to plague America with misery in the name of liberty." (7)

More recently the Alliance for Progress has been the aggressive tool of USNA imperialism in Latin America. As Engels said, "facts are stubborn things"; even the bourgeois press is forced to admit the facts about the real nature of this "alliance for progress". The following quotation was taken from the Saturday Review World: "The Alliance for Progress, launched with such flair by President Kennedy in 1961,

Cont. on p. 9

UAW CONVENTION

Labor Misleaders Boost Fascist Offensive!

The 24th Constitutional Convention of the United Auto Workers (UAW) was recently held in Los Angeles, California from June 2-8, 1974. This convention was highly significant in that the imperialists are preparing for fascism and to be successful they must have the cooperation of the trade union misleaders in the building of a fascist labor front. As evidenced at this gathering, the union misleaders are rising to the occasion.

UAW President Leonard Woodcock began the convention by patting himself on the back for the "great victories" of the 1973 contract negotiations with the Big 3. One of these "victories" was selling out the struggle for the 40 hour work week and voluntary overtime by giving the auto monopolies the right to work us 9 or 10 hours a day, 6 to 7 days a week.

And this year, amid the smotherings of reformist proposals to support drug programs, promote "civil rights", and a resolution supporting consumer rights and remedies, two others stood out at the Convention as to how the labour lieutenants would aid in the drive toward fascism. First, the extension of the two year term in office for trade union officials to a three year term, and secondly, a call for the impeachment of Nixon.

First of all, whether Nixon is around until the 1976 elections or not, the bourgeoisie must see to it that they have no opposition in the labour movement to their fascist plans. The extension of office to three years by the reactionary Executive Board of the UAW insures that these union misleaders will be around past the 1976 elections and until 1977. In doing so, the capitalist class in the USNA is not only relatively insured of "peace" in the labor movement, but also has the active assistance of these trade union misleaders in squashing

Cont. on p. 10

CORRECTION

There is a proofing error in the article "Class Struggle in the USSR" of Vol. 6 No. 6. On page 12, column 2, the second sentence of the 1st new paragraph reads, "And since it is impossible to have Socialism without bureaucracy, then there can be organization, socialist construction." This sentence should read, "And since it is impossible to have Socialism without organization, then there can be no successful socialist construction."

Southern Africa

Revisionism-Saboteur of Revolution

The People's World, an organ of the revisionist CPUSA, bore the headline "People's Victory in France and Portugal", on its May 11, 1974 issue. Throughout the revisionist press we find statements about Portugal's new "Junta of National Salvation". As the revisionists celebrate this "people's victory," it is our duty as communists to determine the real meaning of this change in governmental form.

Certainly, the old colonial regime was growing weak. But by no means does this new junta herald Portugal's "voluntary liberation" of its colonial possessions. The new form of government in Portugal signals new tactics for retaining its colonies.

Why must Portugal retain its colonies in Africa? What is the situation in these colonies that forces the change in tactics? What, exactly, is the change in tactics? What is the role of modern revisionism in this whole affair? And what is our role as communists in the USNA as regards these developments?

First of all, imperialism cannot exist without exploiting colonies and forceably retaining them. Imperialism is the export of finance capital, "Imperialism is the most barefaced exploitation and the most inhuman oppression of hundreds of millions of people inhabiting the vast colonies and dependent countries." (1) Portugal has served USNA imperialism as its watchdog and colonizer in countries, such as, Angola, where in 1973 Gulf Oil pumped out 7.5 million tons of petroleum. Exxon has received a concession covering 7,300 sq. miles of Angola and J.P. Getty's Sun Oil, 3,861 sq. miles, according to the March 7, 1974 Wall Street Journal.

The USNA imperialists have \$500 million invested in the Cabora Bassa Dam Project on the Zambezi River in Mozambique. Overseen by the Portuguese and South African colonizers, the dam will have a capacity of 3,650,000 kilowatts, half of which will be supplied to South Africa. Over 100,000 Mozambique workers serve in South African gold mines each year. This "labor pool" has been called Mozambique's most valuable export. In addition, Mozambique is in a strategic location - Rhodesia's principal outlet to the Indian Ocean. And Rhodesia is a major supplier of chromium to the USNA.

Both Mozambique and Angola are located near South Africa, where the USNA imperialists own some 400 corporations. This is another reason why national liberation movements pose a serious threat to the imperialists. The Transvaal Coal Owners Association has signed

a \$45 million contract with USNA firms for the supply of two million tons of coal over the next three years. Coal, at the pithead, costs \$7.65 a ton in the USNA, but only \$1.95 a ton in South Africa, where miners barely get \$3 a day.

What is the situation in these colonies that forces the change in tactics for retaining them? In short, the sadistic, brutal troops of the old colonial regime proved helpless in stemming the turbulent forces of liberation in the African colonies.

Along with the military defeat of the Portuguese colonizers, over 2,000 workers at the Cabora Bassa Dam Project went on strike at the end of this May. The workers demanded not only higher pay, but the removal of the European managers and supervisors, most of whom were from South Africa.

The tremors of class struggle are also growing in South Africa where 4 workers were shot to death on June 9 of this year in a gold miners' rebellion. Twelve more gold miners were gunned down in another gold miners' struggle last September.

In January, South Africa's Minister of Information spent two weeks in the USNA meeting with Pentagon officials and Vice President Ford. In May, Admiral Hugo Bierman, Commandant of the South African Defense Forces, arrived in the USNA, his first stop being the Pentagon. Their mission was to consolidate tactics on crushing the liberation struggles of the peoples of Southern Africa. South Africa serves as the military bulwark in maintaining the fascist rule of the colonizers, and in butchering the freedom-loving people throughout Southern Africa. On May 28, Rhodesian Premier Ian Smith flew to South Africa to discuss with John Vorster, Prime Minister of South Africa, the future of their colonial axis.

In the Portuguese colonies, the tactics of colonial rule are changing. Faced with the reign of havoc upon the old colonial regime by the national liberation forces, the imperialists are compelled to use another form to deceive the colonized people and maintain the imperialist dictatorship. The form that the imperialists seek now to introduce into the Portuguese colonies, aaped in calls for "self-determination", is neo-colonialism. In a recent negotiations with representatives of the liberation groups have shown that the neo-colonial form will be difficult to impose on the people of the Portuguese colonies who have fought tirelessly against the torment of colonial oppression and exploitation.

Under neo-colonialism there appears to be an independent state, but in reality the state is absolutely subservient to the imperialists and the economy is controlled by the imperialists, that is "...the wolf has left by the front door, but the tiger has entered through the back door..." The colonizer leaves only to be replaced by a comprador ruler, selected and trained by the imperialists. "Under such conditions the worst butchers in the world, such as, Joseph Mobutu of Zaire, the murderer of Lumumba, and Kamitatu, emerge in the garb of national heroes and leaders in the struggle for national independence." (People's Tribune, Vol. 6 No. 5.)

"The fight against imperialism," says comrade Lenin, "is a sham and a humbug unless it is inseparably bound up with the fight against opportunism." (2)

What is the role of revisionism in the struggle for liberation of the oppressed colonies? In brief, as everywhere else, its role is to smash the international communist movement. Why were the revisionist, Alvaro Cunhal, and the social-democrat, Mario Soares, Cont. on p. 11

Attacks on Communist League

Comrades,

The events of the recent months point clearly to an increased effort by the USNA police agencies to survey, harass, and eventually disrupt the efforts of honest revolutionaries to form a Communist Party of a new type. The most obvious sign of this is the united efforts of all the Trotskyite organizations to brand the Communist League as the "number one counterrevolutionary". The Trotskyites have been aided in their efforts by every organization on the "left" including the CPUSA, who have echoed the chorus of anti-communist attacks upon the Communist League. One of the prominent members of a petty bourgeois grouping has even publicly preached violence against the Communist League membership. It is obvious that these efforts of the petty bourgeoisie and Trotskyites could only be orchestrated from one source, the State Department through agencies of the FBI and the CIA.

Further, it is obvious that these groupings, having failed to discredit Marxism-Leninism in theory or practice, will be paid to incite violence against the efforts of Marxist-Leninists. We urge the comrades to be extremely vigilant against the Trotskyites and revisionists. "To be forewarned is to be forearmed."

New York

Negro Youth Murdered

On April 28, 1973, a ten year old Negro youth was murdered by a New York Police Department pig named Thomas Shea. There was no doubt about the facts of the case. Ten year old Clifford Glover of Jamaica, Queens, (a Negro working class neighborhood) was walking his step-father, Add Armstead, to work at 5:00 A.M. on a Saturday morning. Thomas Shea and Walter Scott, two plainclothes cops driving an unmarked car, were patrolling the area looking for some action. There was no way to tell from their appearance that they were cops. Seeing the two Negroes walking down the street, the two cops pulled up beside them. Shea jumped out of the car. Mr. Armstead and Clifford Glover, seeing the two muggers - that is what the two cops looked like - turned and ran. A second later the youth lay on the ground, mortally wounded, two bullets in his back. Shea stood over his body and kicked it, saying, "Die, nigger, die."

These were the simple, brutal facts of the matter, another horrifying example of the "legal" lynchings and murders of Negroes which have marked the history of this country. Marx teaches us that under a system in which one class enslaves another, the police, like every other section of the state, are not impartial "servants of the people", but are tools of the ruling bourgeois class, the capitalists. "According to Marx, the state is an organ of class rule, an organ for the oppression of one class by another; it is the creation of 'order', which legalizes and perpetuates this oppression by moderating the conflict between classes." (Lenin, 'State and Revolution', Collected Works, Vol. 25, p. 387.)

The Negro people of Jamaica, Queens understood this truth very well. They knew that Shea was a hired killer who enjoyed doing what he was paid to do. He in particular had a particularly bad reputation in the neighborhood for his vicious white chauvinism. Once before he had shot a Negro man for no reason on the grounds that "he thought he had a gun", and at the time he murdered Clifford Glover, he was "under investigation" (in other words, being whitewashed) for pistol-whipping a 14 year old Puerto Rican youth. The people knew that Shea had been put in a Negro neighborhood in plain clothes and an unmarked car for the very reason that he was a passionate hater of minority workers and could be counted on by his masters, the USNA imperialist bourgeoisie led by the Rockefeller and Nixons, "to do his job". But they also knew that Shea was not just one bad cop, as the liberals are always whining. In the wake of the murder there were numerous and powerful spontaneous uprisings

on the part of the Negro people of Jamaica not simply against Shea, but against the police in general and against the conditions of capitalism.

In response to the powerful popular upsurge against the slaying, the government of New York indicted Shea. This was only the second time in history a cop had been booked in New York for first-degree murder. This phoney "fairness and impartiality" had the desired effect of helping the community misleaders and various CPUSA front groups, phoney "black" nationalists, poverty pimps and other vultures who had descended upon Jamaica to diffuse the mass, spontaneous and revolutionary fightback of the people.

Thirteen and a half months later, on June 12, 1974, "justice" USNA imperialist style did triumph. Officer Shea was acquitted by a jury of eleven Anglo-Americans (one of whom had two cops as sons) and one Negro woman - a probation officer!! The defense version of the murder which this "impartial" jury swallowed consisted of one obscene lie piled on top of another. Shea claimed that he and his partner were looking for two robbery suspects, supposedly young Negro men, both over six feet tall. Seeing the middle aged Armstead and his 90 pound, 4 foot 11 inch stepson, the two pigs "thought" they looked like possible suspects. Pulling up to "question" the two, the cops saw them turn and run. The boy, according to Shea, raised his hand with what looked like a gun and fired it at the cop. The latter shot the boy - in the back - in self-defense. How did he explain the fact that no gun was ever found? Well, the dying Clifford Glover, you see, as he fell, "tossed the gun to his step-father, who in turn got off one shot and then ran away, apparently disposing of the gun"!!!

While putting forth this story, which would insult the intelligence of a moron, the defense developed a careful appeal to the jury's white chauvinism. Shea was characterized as a peaceful good guy by fifty character witnesses, and as a champion of law and order, the only thing standing between the jury and "crime in the streets". Clifford Glover's stepfather was painted as the real criminal.

In response to these lies and slanders and white-chauvinist attacks, the so-called "prosecution" did nothing to build a case against Shea. Besides allowing an obviously cop-infested jury to be chosen, the District Attorney did not even establish testimony about Shea's shooting and beating of two other national minority workers! He made no attempt to prove by chemical tests - standard procedure in a case of this kind -

whether or not either Glover or Armstead had fired a gun. The prosecution - one section of the bourgeois state - openly collaborated with the police - another section of the bourgeois state.

The working class was horrified, but not surprised, when Officer Shea was acquitted. In general this is what happens in a capitalist imperialist country which supports itself mainly from the tens of billions of superprofits whipped out of the Negro Nation and other colonies, ruling them not with fairness and impartiality, but with terror whose tools are the tens of thousands of officers like Shea. The imperialist state, an organ of the handful of exploiters, cannot condemn itself by punishing its lackeys for doing what they are hired to do. On the contrary, the imperialists must encourage murder in order to terrorize the working class into submission to fascist slavery.

It is in this context that we must examine the Shea acquittal as a concrete attack on the entire working class and a step toward fascism. In brazenly and unashamedly letting Shea off, the imperialists said to the police, "You have free rein to terrorize any Negro - and, in the final analysis, any worker." The imperialists are resorting and will continue to resort more and more to open terror because they are quickly losing their ability to bend the workers to their will by the "old" methods of bribery and deception.

The fascist imperialists get plenty of help in dividing and terrorizing the class not only from the Sheas, but from the so-called "leaders" of the proletariat - those traitors who are just as bad as the Sheas, but who disguise themselves as friends of the people and fighters for democracy.

The only article on the Glover-Shea case that appeared in the paper of the CPUSA the day after the acquittal didn't even take sides. Now, if the CPUSA's social-fascist, spineless reporting of the acquittal were their only contribution to rising fascism, we would dismiss them as reactionary fools and that would be that. But it is not. There is another aspect to the Party's existence, and that is their widespread influence in the working class movement. One day after this wretched article appeared in the Daily World, other equally rotten but more militant sounding articles began appearing, discussing the CPUSA's practice around the acquittal. But they, as usual, put the question as one not of class oppression, but of "racism". In doing so they once again isolated the Negro question from the question of the wage slavery of the entire working class. Reformism and syndicalism - this is the CPUSA's one-two punch directed from the imperialists' "corner" at their "opponent",

Cont. on p. 13

Intl. Report

economics. Before World War I, for example, there was a multitude of "peaceful" alliances. The Triple Entente, an alliance of Great Britain, France and Russia, was formed in 1907. Another alliance consisted of Germany, Austria-Hungary, and Italy. Later Bulgaria and Turkey supported Germany, while Italy withdrew her support and joined the Entente. But all these alliances were only an expression of a much deeper fact; all the imperialist powers were hungry for more profits, and that meant more annexation of colonial territory. However, there was no more "free" territory. Thus, Germany craved for the colonies of Great Britain and France, as well as, the Ukraine, Poland and the Baltic Provinces under the domination of Russia. Russia was after Turkey and parts of Austria-Hungary. Great Britain desired Mesopotamia and Palestine, while France tried to steal the Saar Basin and Alsace-Lorraine from Germany. It is not difficult to see how such a situation led to the first imperialist world war.

The Second World War was preceded by a similar proliferation of alliances and pacts, partially concealing the economic necessities of the imperialist powers. In 1928, the USNA proposed the Kellogg Pact, which was to be a world system of "renunciation of war". The major imperialist powers who signed this pact did not fail to add their individual "riders". Britain held that this did not affect her right to defend the Commonwealth, which at that time encompassed fully one-fourth of the world. The USNA held that this in no way would affect the Monroe Doctrine, in other words, all of Central and South America. France held out for her colonies in Northern Africa, etc. The net effect of the Kellogg Pact was simply to show that the imperialist powers would stop at nothing to defend their colonies, yet they had all agreed to defend the concept of permanent "peace". Another famous organization at the time was the League of Nations, under the domination of Britain and France. It soon became clear that these two powers were far less interested in peace than in extracting reparations payments from Germany under the ridiculous terms of the Versailles Treaty. It was under this cover of "world cooperation" and "peace" that the imperialist powers armed themselves to the teeth and prepared for the next redivision of the world which is now known as World War Two.

Today we see a dangerously similar situation brewing. The Soviet social imperialists and the imperialists alike are all talking about "peace". The Marxist-Leninists of the World War I period and the World War II period were not fooled by such talk and neither will we be fooled. This imperialist "peace" today has a different name - it is called "detente". Behind this detente is brewing not only a vast overproduction

crisis, but also as a result of this crisis, a drive for new colonial territory, a new re-division, a new war. It would be foolish indeed to await some formal declaration of this war. World War Two began as an undeclared war. No, we must do as the Bolsheviks of the thirties did: warn the proletariat of the impending danger; show the workers why it has developed; and prepare our class to fight for itself as a class.

As we have pointed out in previous People's Tribunes, the danger of world war stems from the heightening contradictions between the imperialist powers. Rather than repeat again why this is so, we will look into the latest development of these contradictions during the last few months, and from this, develop a clearer understanding of how to carry out our tasks.

Of course, the two powers which have been commanding the most attention are the USNA and the USSR. On the one hand, "detente" has given the Soviet Union and the USNA a "breathing space" during which the former can further try to destroy the socialist relations of production within the USSR and the latter is afforded the opportunity to open up new markets both within the Soviet Union and around the world. On the other hand, however, detente means a heightening of the contradiction not only between the Soviet Union and the USNA, but indeed between all the imperialist powers.

The Soviet revisionist chiefs face an incredibly difficult task in trying to convert the socialist relations of production into capitalist ones. They must fight on two fronts. First, they have to subdue the millions of revolutionary Soviet workers and peasants who were reared under the 1937 Constitution and remember Joseph Stalin as a true proletarian leader. The Soviet rulers, unable to crush the memory of Stalin, are resorting to new attempts to confuse and distort this living history. The publication of several new books "praising" Stalin's leadership in the anti-fascist war have a clearly reactionary intent - to warp Stalin's defense of the Soviet Union against the fascist onslaught into a social-chauvinist line to back up their preparations for predatory war against China and Europe.

The second front is against China, on whose border the Soviet leaders have installed over a million troops. China does not only represent a vast market for the Soviet bourgeoisie to exploit. She also represents a living antithesis to the imperialist policies of the present Soviet rulers. China is a beacon of socialism not only for the Soviet workers, but for all the workers of the world. It is small wonder then that Izvestia two months ago paid tribute to the traitor,

Lin Piao, and admitted that he was indeed seeking rapprochement with the Soviet bourgeoisie. So long as the Marxist-Leninists of China wage a principled struggle against the Lin Piao band of anti-party elements, the Soviet Union is forced to step up its attack on the Communist Party of China. The Soviet social-imperialists know full well that they can never fully reestablish capitalism so long as China is under the leadership of a Marxist-Leninist Communist Party. That is why they not only give support to enemies within the CPC (note that both Lin Piao and the revisionist CPSU agree that we are in a "new era" of the final collapse of imperialism, an era in which imperialism is so weak that it will simply die of its own accord), but they also are determined to surround and isolate China from the outside. After the first summit came the partition of Pakistan and the attempt to set up a base of operations on China's southern front. Since the second summit meeting, the Soviet Union has been propagandizing for the formation of an "Asian Security Pact"; the purpose of which must be clear: to form a bloc against socialist China. But the Soviet Union has been unable to bully North Vietnam and North Korea into participating in such a cabal. We must do our part in aiding our Chinese comrades by exposing this treachery of the Soviet revisionists for what it is: an attempt to annex China, to rob the Soviet workers and the proletariat around the world of its faithful ally.

Domestically, the Soviet revisionists are using "permanent detente" to try to explain away the large number of capital investments of the USNA in the USSR. In 1971, USNA-USSR trade was a mere \$200 million. In 1973 this figure jumped to \$1.5 billion, 87% of this representing capital imported into the Soviet Union. This does not include the recent agreement with Occidental Petroleum for contracts totaling \$20 billion. What is going on here is not trade between nations based on Leninist principles. What is going on is the import of USNA capital, that is, capitalist relations of production, into the Soviet Union. To justify this development, the Soviet revisionists are harking back to the days of the New Economic Policy in the Soviet Union. But to compare the Soviet Union of today which produces 1,378,000 cars and trucks a year, which has an electrical capacity of 153,790,000 kilowatts, to compare this Soviet Union with that of the early '20's where famine reigned and the largest plant was a cigarette lighter factory - this is foolishness. Secondly, the capitalists who were allowed into the Soviet Union fifty years ago were unde-

Cont. on p. 7

Int'l. Report Cont. from p. 6

the strict control of the dictatorship of the proletariat, whereas today, this is hardly the case.

But it would be a mistake to assume that the USNA is only expanding its influence in the Soviet Union. It was not for nothing that Nixon also visited the Middle East, as well as, Europe this past month. In the last six months, Europe has been the scene of a great political upheaval. Virtually every head of government in Europe has been replaced. The policy of fascism is being considered more and more seriously by governments throughout the capitalist world. But if the political situation in Europe is grave, this is no more and no less than a concentrated expression of the economic situation.

The fact that the bourgeoisies of the various nations of Europe were ruined by the last world war does not at all mean that they have relinquished their imperialist strivings. Imperialist strivings, though, mean little without the proper economic basis. Over the last twenty years, the bourgeoisie of Europe has been building up such a basis. Like other imperialists, they must somewhere find markets. This brings them into confrontation with the USNA on the one hand; on the other hand, this confrontation brings into sharp focus the relative weakness of these bourgeois classes.

The key to Europe is not in Europe itself, but rather in the colonial world, especially in the Middle East. The bourgeoisies of the USNA, the USSR and Europe all realize this. The USSR's position in the Middle East has been relatively weakened by the contradictions discussed above. The European bourgeoisie's position historically was weakened by the Second World War. It is in this context that the USNA has been able to take advantage of the situation in the Middle East. One year ago, the bourgeois leaders of Western Europe were taking an increasingly aggressive stance toward the USNA. This was particularly true in France. At that time, the USNA controlled 60% or more of all the crude oil in the Middle East. So when the price of oil for the nations of Europe went up 300%, this was a maneuver of USNA imperialism, rather than of the Organization of Petroleum-Exporting Countries (OPEC). Preceding this, the USNA pushed Britain into the European Economic Community (EEC), thus weakening it internally because of Britain's incredibly poor economic situation. Sure enough, Britain is now claiming it cannot pay its share of the EEC's budget. And to add to this fact, Italy is nearly bankrupt, has used up almost all of its currency reserves and has virtually no credit left. Denmark and Italy

have imposed curbs on imports since May, which supposedly goes against the "spirit" of the EEC. Prices in France went up 1.6% in April alone, which means an annual inflation rate of 18%. The general situation in the EEC was summed up by Helmut Schmidt (Chancellor of W. Germany) when he said, "We should not sacrifice the stability of our economy and the prosperity of our citizens and their confidence in their economic future to an impotent European Community".

What is the political manifestation of this economic situation? For one thing, in just about every nation in Western Europe, there has been a change in government. The new leaders of states are far more conciliatory toward the USNA. These are the main reasons Nixon and Kissinger were able to go to Europe and forge a "new unity" in NATO.

Finally, the USNA was able to take advantage of the fact that the bourgeoisies of the OPEC hunger after maximum profits true to their class nature. Striking proof of this was the recent meeting of the Organization of African Unity (OAU). The oil question dominated most of the discussion. The OAU includes 42 countries, among them the oil-producing countries of the Middle East. Countries, such as, Zambia and Kenya, which have no oil of their own, pleaded for a special discount on oil prices, but to no avail. The members of the OPEC cited "technical problems"; in other words, they feared members of the OAU would take the cheaper oil and resell it on the world market for higher prices, thus cheating the OPEC out of its "rightful" profits. The non-oil-producing colonies around the world have of course been hardest hit by the "oil crisis". The increase in oil prices has added over \$10 billion to their fuel bill and \$5 billion to their fertilizer bill. Because they cannot pay for this, they are forced to turn either to the USNA dominated World Bank or to the Soviet Union for a loan. Thus, what seems to be outwardly a fight against USNA hegemony by the OPEC, actually ends up temporarily strengthening this hegemony by tying the non-oil-producing colonial world closer to the imperialists with financial aid. Thus, we see once again that there is no such thing as a united front of the national bourgeoisie against imperialism, despite what the revisionists try to tell us. A united front against imperialism is composed of the proletariat, the peasantry and those members of the national bourgeoisie who are opposed to imperialism. But it is led by the proletariat. The national bourgeoisie as a class is too weak and too corrupted by imperialism to lead any national liberation struggle.

Though the USNA has temporarily gained over the last few months, it would be altogether wrong to ignore the fact that the USNA, and indeed the world, is facing a huge over-

production crisis. In fact, the more the USNA attempts to dominate Europe, Japan and the Soviet Union, the more furiously must these same countries seek new markets and produce more commodities to stay in business. We can look at the production figures for a vast number of commodities and see this is the case. Take oil for example. Before the embargo, oil production in the Middle East was 48 million bbl. daily while demand was only 47 million bbl. daily. After the embargo, a huge increase in demand was anticipated, so production is up to 49 million bbl. daily, yet they are only able to sell 47 million bbl. That means that there is an overproduction of some 2 million bbl. per day, and that just from the Middle East! If we look at the inflation around the world we can see why no one can afford to buy this oil: Britain - 51%, Italy - 45%, Sweden - 43%, France - 40%, (since 1969) South Vietnam - 1200% (over 10 yr. period), Chile - 700%.

Thus, we see that overproduction is the economic force behind the diplomacy of the Moscow summit meeting. It is also the force behind the imperialist powers' great rush to arm themselves to the teeth. The bourgeoisie knows well that in the final analysis it can only win markets through force, through war. Forty years ago, the imperialist powers, still reeling from the effects of the world wide economic crisis, were signing "peace" treaties with one hand and armaments contracts with the other. Today much the same process is taking place. But in every nation, the bourgeoisie is faced with an increasingly restive proletariat. They are faced with national liberation struggles in their colonies that they cannot control. They are preparing for war, but as Stalin wrote, "not a single capitalist country can wage an important war unless it first strengthens its own rear, unless it curbs 'its' workers, unless it curbs 'its' colonies. Hence the gradual fascization policy of bourgeois governments." (Stalin, Political Report of the CC to the 15th Congress of the CPUSA)

This process is now taking place not only in the USNA, but throughout the entire capitalist world, including the colonies. It is this environment that determines our immediate tasks. First, we must expose to all the workers the treachery behind this idea of "permanent detente." Before every world war there has been an outburst of bourgeois pacifism on the part of the bourgeoisie and the revisionist and social democratic parties. This bourgeois pacifism has concealed the real aims of the imperialist powers; it tries to take advantage of the proletariat's genuine love for peace and turn it into apathy. Thus, it is our task as communists to lead the workers into a proletarian fight for peace. This does not mean rejecting all war. We reject imperialist war; we reject it by fighting as a

Cont. on p. 8

Negro Nation

Cont. from p. 2
the colonies are questions of conquered peoples - peoples annexed to alien states by violence.

Secondly, the theoretical backwardness of the entire "left" in the USNA blocks the comrades from fully understanding the significance of the final stage of capitalist development, i.e. modern imperialism. Much of the confusion lies in the incorrect projection that the Negro Nation is not a colony because industrialization of that area is not in contradiction with the interests of the imperialist bourgeoisie. This statement means that the interests of imperialism are restricted to creating a commodity market and gaining sources of raw materials. This statement stinks of the position of Kautsky, of Rykov and Bukharin. What is that position? Kautsky spells it out. "Imperialism," says Kautsky, "is a product of highly developed industrial capitalism. It consists in the striving of every industrial capitalist nation to bring under its control and to annex increasingly big agrarian regions irrespective of what nations inhabit those regions." (3) Lenin replies, "The characteristic feature of imperialism is not industrial capital, but finance capital." (4) And further, "...if it were chiefly a question of the annexation of agrarian countries by industrial capital, the role of the merchant would be predominant." (5) Would these numbskulls dare to say that industrialized Puerto Rico isn't a colony? Further, Lenin writes, "There was formerly an economic distinction between the colonies and the European peoples - at least the majority of the latter - the colonies having been drawn into commodity exchange, but not into capitalist production. Imperialism changed this. Imperialism is, among other things, the export of capital." (6)

In plain language this means that every colony is being brought into the sphere of commodity production, into industrialization. Lenin quotes from a bourgeois economist, Schulze-Gaevernitz: "Europe will shift, the burden of physical toil - first in agriculture and mining, and the more arduous toil in industry - on to the colored races, and itself be content with the role of rentier, and in this way, perhaps, pave the way for the economic, and later the political emancipation of the colored races." Is this not happening before our eyes? How can anyone doubt that the national and colonial questions have merged - not simply in their political aspects - but more fundamentally in their economic aspects.

We proved in the Negro National Colonial Question that the Negro people developed as a people prior to the development of the Negro Nation. We made clear and scientific analysis of this development and its historical implications. We are clear why there is such a reluctance

on the part of most so-called "revolutionaries" to consider the territorial demands of the Negro people and the inevitability of at least temporarily partitioning this country! This reluctance is based in the fact that no other oppressed people were the direct servants of a very large section of the Anglo-American people. Lenin is clear: "Firstly, what is the most important, the fundamental idea contained in our thesis? The distinction between oppressed and oppressing nations." (7) It should be noted that Lenin spoke of oppressed nations and oppressing nations - not simply classes. It is the privileges that the Anglo-American nation takes over the Negro Nation that accounts, in good part, for the loss of logic of the "radicals". Ask any Anglo-American philistine, "Is Northern Ireland a colony of Great Britain?" Invariably the answer is "Yes". Ask any British philistine, "Is the Negro Nation a colony?" and the answer invariably is "yes". But to place the question of Northern Ireland to the British philistine or the Negro question to the Anglo-American philistine is to be concretely shown the effects of imperialist privileges over the subjugated peoples.

Scientifically, there can be no such thing as "American exceptionalism". There can be no "nation within a nation" and there cannot be an oppressed nation that isn't a colony. As Lenin said about this, "...firstly because for the majority of the West-European countries the typical form of national oppression is colonial oppression, and secondly, because the term 'colonies' is a particularly clear, obvious and vital one in Western European countries." (8) It should be clear to all that the purpose of oppression is to facilitate exploitation. The Negro nation is exploited as a nation - that is to say - the natural, as well as, human resources. Is not Wall Street industrializing the South? And please don't tell us that Wall Street is also industrializing Minnesota. We are talking about the export of finance capital beyond the national borders, the super-exploitation of the toilers in the oppressed area and the privileges of the people of the oppressor nation.

Today, most will agree that the term, "The Negro Peoples Liberation movement" is a correct term. Who and what are they trying to get liberated from? If the answer is "from finance capital", then we are united because the export of finance capital is colonialism at a higher stage.

In this intense and crucial period, we simply cannot continue to theoretically struggle with people who will not read books or present position papers. Our position is clear: let the opposition present their position. Lenin long ago said, "seven fools can ask more questions than seventy wise men can answer."

However, to assist our

friends in understanding this question we submit a partial bibliography:

Lenin: Imperialism, the Highest Stage of Capitalism, The Socialist Revolution and the Right of Nations to Self-Determination, A Caricature of Marxism, The Discussion on Self-Determination Summed Up.

Stalin: Marxism and the National Question, Report on the National Question, The October Revolution And the National Question, Theses on the Immediate Tasks of the Party in Connection with the National Problem, The National Question Presented, The National Question, The Proletarian Method of Solving the National Problem.

- 1) V.I. Lenin, A Caricature of Marxism, Coll. Wks. Vol. XIX, Intl. Pub.-N.Y., 1942 p. 255
- 2) J.V. Stalin, Marxism and the National Question, Intl. Pub. N.Y. p. 112
- 3) V.I. Lenin, Imperialism, the Highest Stage of Capitalism, Coll. Wks., Vol. XIX Intl. Pub. N.Y. p. 162
- 4) Ibid
- 5) Ibid p. 163
- 6) Ibid
- 7) Lenin, Collected Works, Vol. XIX, Int. Pub., 1942, p. 239.
- 8) Op, Cit. A Caricature of Marxism

Intl. Report

Cont. from p. 7
class and defeating our own imperialist bourgeoisie. To do this we require first of all a Marxist-Leninist Party which can take the truths of Marxism and apply them to our conditions here in the USNA. Secondly, we must build the United Front against fascism. The heart of this united front must be the mass of proletarians who have nothing to sell but their labor power and have the least ties to capital. This cannot be accomplished without strong Party nuclei in the factories, in the communities, in the mass organizations where the workers gather. Let us seek out the most active fighters against fascism and win them to the cause of communism and in this way make our party a vanguard party. At every turn we will meet the revisionist CPUSA telling the workers to grovel before their imperialist masters. Therefore, we must train the workers to expose these apologists of fascism. The Communist Party of a New Type and the United Front Against Fascism - these are not abstract ideals. They are real and immediate necessities if our class is to be victorious. This is our peace program. We will end imperialist war once and for all - by ending imperialism itself.

Latin America

Cont. from p. 3

fell perfectly into this pattern. It was realism wrapped in goodwill. Once the CIA-guided invasion of Cuba had collapsed on the beaches of the Bay of Pigs, Washington accepted the permanence of Fidel Castro and sought to neutralize his influence through the Alliance for Progress. Right-wing dictatorships therefore were frowned upon, democracies were bolstered, and economic and social reform was encouraged. This prong of the alliance was aimed at diffusing popular unrest. The other prong - that of sharing the grim secrets of counter-insurgency with Latin America's armies and police forces - was to prevent this popular unrest from taking political shape." (8)

What all this boils down to is that the present USNA policy in Latin America is the game of the "Gorilla" or the "Democrat". In a previous article in the People's Tribune, we stated: "The US imperialists have for some time now imposed upon Latin America the same Tweedle Dee Tweedle Dum bag that they long ago imposed upon the Anglo-American people. That bag is, if you don't like the Republicans, vote for the Democrats. For the Negro People it is, if you don't like the NAACP, join the Urban League, or if you don't like CORE, join the Panthers, and so on down the line. For Latin America this concept is the game between the brutes and the democrats. When the people begin to stir and are prepared to move against the Gorilla comprador regimes, there is a switch and the democrats take over, calm down the mass movement with some weak reforms and when the mass disorganization is completed, the Gorilla regime again seizes power. This is the sad post-war history of most of the Latin American countries." (9)

While this is true in general, we should keep in mind that it is the concrete conditions in each particular country which determine the measures taken by the imperialists to quell the revolutionary upsurge of the masses. We must not fall into the error of viewing Latin America as one mass of people - rather Latin America is made up of many nations, each with its particularities and peculiarities. Thus we see that recently the "democrat" Peron (who was a fascist working in the interests of imperialism) was brought back to Argentina to replace the "gorilla" military regime, in an effort to subdue the revolutionary upsurge in that country. However, in Brazil in 1964 the "gorilla" replaced the "democrat" (i.e., the "left-leaning" government Goulart) in order to try to achieve the same ends (that is the squelching of the mass unrest), but by different means. Basically, the situation was the same in the 1965 invasion of the Dominican Republic by the US Marines in an effort to "prevent another Cuba". Further, both the military coup in Bolivia in 1971 and more recently the brutal military take-over in Chile

were CIA inspired, funded, planned and carried out. In addition recent events show the reactionary and counter-revolutionary role which some of these "progressive" governments play; for instance, the suppression of the "left" in Argentina by Peron and the closing down of Carretas, Peru's leading magazine.

To further bolster their puppet governments, the USNA imperialists pump millions of dollars of "aid" into Latin America each year. The USNA provides \$11 million per year in aid to the police state of Haiti; a country in which the per capita income is \$70 per year. This "aid" is equivalent to 1/3 of Haiti's annual budget. Since 1946 Nicaragua, another police state, has received \$164 million; during the current fiscal year it is receiving \$23.7 million. Paraguay, a country which is ruled under martial law, is receiving \$12 million in aid during the current fiscal year. Bolivia, a country which has been under martial law since 1971 has currently received \$42.6 million. Lastly, Brazil, whose infamous torture chambers are world renowned, has the largest assistance program in Latin America, receiving \$80 million. (10) Besides the above mentioned Chile, Haiti, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Bolivia and Brazil, fascist generals rule in Uruguay, Ecuador, Panama, Honduras, El Salvador and Guatemala. And, Puerto Rico is under direct colonial rule by the USNA imperialists and its people suffer direct oppression at the hands of the USNA imperialist army, navy and police forces.

USNA WORLD HEGEMONY

Stalin tells us that one of the contradictions of imperialism is "the contradiction among the various financial groups and imperialist Powers" themselves. The current struggle between the imperialists, which is the result of a world wide overproduction crisis, has found USNA imperialism winning most of the rounds. While in the USNA the inflation rate is up 20% (since 1967) in the United Kingdom it is up 51% (since 1969) and in Italy it is up 45% (since 1969). However, the heaviest burden of the crisis of overproduction has been shifted onto the backs of the oppressed and exploited toilers in the colonies. In Chile last year the cost of living rose 700 percent. In Uruguay the inflation rate in the interval between 1963 and 1973 was 10,000 percent. "The price of an Argentine newspaper has gone up 200,000 per cent since 1944. It is as though an American who paid a dime for a newspaper after the war now had to pay \$200." Prices are rising so rapidly in Argentina that cab fares "are nearly six times what the meter says since the meters cannot be changed fast enough to keep up with inflation." (11) Families who ate steak in better days are down to noodles. But what about the

poor families who could only afford noodles? - They are starving! In the past 10 years the price index in the USNA has gone from 100 to 145; in Uruguay, however, it has gone from 100 to 9,939 and in Chile from 100 to 5,782. So what is all this talk about USNA imperialism "crumbling" and about the strength of the "Third World" countries of Latin America?

Latin America is key if USNA imperialism is to maintain its advantage in the world economic arena. It is in this light that we must view the conference of foreign ministers which was held in Mexico on February 21. There, Secretary of State Henry Kissinger laid bare his "master plan" for USNA-Latin American relations. He said, "If we here in this room fail to grasp the consequences of interdependence, if we cannot make the multiplicity of our ties a source of unity and strength, then the prospects for success elsewhere are dim indeed. The world community which we seek to build should have a Western Hemisphere Community as one of its central pillars... We must renew our commitment to a Western Hemisphere system." (12)

The informal meeting on April 17-18 and the OAS session that followed on April 19-May 3 in Atlanta, Georgia, continued with the same theme; i.e., that the interests of USNA imperialism are dependent on Latin America and no significant penetration by other imperialist powers will be tolerated. And further that the comprador and national bourgeoisie had better take note that their interests are dependent on the USNA. Even the bourgeois press cannot hide the obvious intent of Kissinger's "plan" which is not any different in content from the USNA's former "foreign policies." An article in the Saturday Review World explicitly states, "Washington's policy toward Latin America can be seen in all its simplicity and nakedness: It involves maintaining the continent generally within Washington's sphere of influence, preventing the emergence of leftist regimes, protecting U.S. investments, developing a market for U.S. exports and securing the region as a fount of raw materials." (13)

In return for increased co-operation, the USNA is prepared to lift the economic blockade of Cuba, sign a generous new canal treaty with Panama and agree to special preference for the manufactured exports of the continent - measures, which are aiding the USNA's temporary hegemonic position, but will evoke loud acclaim from the revisionist press as important victories of the "Third World" and further proof that the "house of imperialism is crumbling".

But on closer examination it becomes clear that the gist of all these deals is that the

Cont. on p. 10

Latin America

Cont. from p. 9

Wall Street robber barons are consolidating their markets and preparing themselves for the expansion of the trade war.

INTERNATIONAL REVISIONISM

The role of international revisionism in regards to the national liberation struggles in the colonies has been treacherous and in many cases fatal. The reformist and class collaborationist policies of the CPSU and its revisionist parties in the Western Hemisphere have cost the working classes of Latin America much needless slaughter and brutality. Their line of "peaceful transition to socialism" and the subservience of the working classes to their national bourgeoisies has been most harmful.

Witness the enormous bloodshed in Chile where the international revisionists did not struggle for the independence of the Chilean proletariat. By not doing so, they violated one of the basic tenets of Marxism Leninism which is the political independence of the proletariat and its vanguard, its Communist Party. In the "Address of the Central Committee to the Communist League" Karl Marx plainly states; "The relation of the revolutionary workers' party to the petty-bourgeois democrats is this: it marches together with them against the faction which it aims at overthrowing, it opposes them in everything where by they seek to consolidate their position in their own interests."

And; "But they themselves (the proletariat) must do the utmost for their final victory by clarifying their minds as to what their class interests are, by taking up their position as an independent party as soon as possible and by not allowing themselves to be seduced for a single moment by the hypocritical phrases of the democratic petty bourgeois into refraining from the independent organization of the party of the proletariat." (14)

This is Marxism, these are the tactics of Communists - relentless war against the class enemy - destroy or be destroyed. To the revisionists the blood of the toilers means nothing, not even a self-criticism, but then again - we can only expect self-criticisms from real communists and not from traitors.

What does the Communist Party of Chile say to the awful slaughter of the Chilean toilers? "After what has happened the Chilean people are fully justified in aiming at a new type of army and police, or at least at expelling the fascist elements from the army, police and investigative bodies to rule out the very possibility of a repetition of the present national tragedy." (15)

No, my friends, the toilers of the world are not only going to expell the fascist elements from the state apparatus, they are going to apply the

hard earned lessons that have been acquired in the class struggle. In a word, "to set itself the aim, not of improving the state machine, but of smashing and destroying it." (16) That is what Lenin said and that is what we will do. The class conscious workers of the Western Hemisphere are no longer going to be fooled by this reformism disguised as revolution. The price that has been paid is already too much but the lessons we have learned will not be forgotten because they have been written in blood.

The history of USNA imperialism in Latin America is very long, but longer still is the history of the valiant struggles of the Latin American peoples against colonial and imperialist domination; first against Spain and Portugal for the most part and now against the USNA. Never has their determination weakened, nor their bravery faltered.

As the rival imperialist powers prepare for war, the internationalism and unity of the toilers of the world is going to be of prime importance, especially the unity of the Anglo-American proletariat and the people of the colonies and dependent nations of USNA imperialism. Today, we in the USNA must resolutely support the just struggles of the Latin American peoples against USNA imperialism by building a Marxist-Leninist Communist Party which will apply the correct Marxist-Leninist line on the national and colonial question; a Party which will expose the treacherous role of revisionism; a Party that will lead the proletariat in the struggle against imperialism and on to the dictatorship of the proletariat.

- 1) "Who Needs Enemies", New Republic, Jan. 26, 1974, p. 4.
- 2) Lenin, taken from Foundations of Leninism by J.V. Stalin, FLPP, 1970, p. 78.
- 3) Stalin, Foundations of Leninism, FLPP, 1970, p. 76.
- 4) Yanqui Dollar?, North American Congress on Latin America, 1971, p. 5.
- 5) Department of State Bulletin, Dec. 24, 1973.
- 6) Lenin, Imperialism, the Highest Stage of Capitalism, FLPP, 1970, p. 73.
- 7) Taken from Pan Americanism, by Alonso Aguilar, Monthly Review Press, New York, 1968, p. 104.
- 8) "Kissinger's New Dialogue", Saturday Review World, April 20, 1974, p. 12.
- 9) "International Report", People's Tribune, Oct. 1972, Vol. 4, No. 10, p. 6.
- 10) Ibid., New Republic, p. 6.
- 11) "South America Knows Inflation Well", Christian Science Monitor, June 26, 1974, p. 5A.
- 12) Department of State Bulletin, Oct.
- 13) Ibid., Saturday Review, p. 12.
- 14) Marx, "Address of the Central Committee to the Communist League"
- 15) "An Appeal by the Communist Party of Chile to the People of Chile", New Outlook Publishers, 1974, p. 8.
- 16) Lenin, "State and Revolution", Selected Works, Progress Pub., Moscow, 1967, p. 289.

UAW

Cont. from p. 3

working class movements and uprisings as they occur. "First, Social Democracy disorganizes the proletariat and the proletarian struggle. The Social Democrats and trade union leadership act as an agency of the employer and of the ruling class within the working class ranks, preaching defeatism and opposition to struggle, and, where the outbreak of working class struggle becomes inevitable, directly disrupting the struggle from within...This is most clearly seen in the role of Social Democracy (and trade unions) in strikes." (1)

As an example, Doug Fraser organized a 1,000-strong goon squad to crush a working class struggle at the Mack Stamping Plant in Detroit. Yet Doug Fraser is a UAW vice-president. This same Fraser has also been appointed to a position on the new Detroit Police Commission. Through many examples we can see the growing unity between the labour aristocracy and the capitalist class. Despite all the fierce struggle waged on the convention floor in opposition to the three year proposal, vice-president Pat Greathouse exhibited his will to carry out the bourgeoisie's plans by ruling that the motion had carried, despite the fact of the closeness of the vote.

The extension of the terms of "elected" UAW officials is not to be viewed in isolation from the granting of emergency powers to the Nixon administration or the passage of search and seizure laws by the Supreme Court, or the fascist attacks on the farmworkers by Teamster goons initiated by the gangster labour lieutenant, Fitzsimmons. We are witnessing the highest unemployment since World War II (over 100,000 indefinite layoffs in auto industry alone); a 70% increase in food prices since 1964, a 48% increase in fuel and coal, and a whopping 78% increase in medical care; the worsening of working conditions and increased burden of toil inside the plants; the unfolding of unprecedented government bribery and corruption, and on and on.

The constant erosion of the working class' democratic rights in the halls of Congress, in the Supreme Court and at the 24th Constitutional Convention must be seen for what they are - preparatory steps for fascism!

The proposal for the impeachment of Nixon shows the bankruptcy in trade unionist politics in failing to educate the working class on the contradictions of capitalism. While preaching love of the "democratic" process in the USNA, the UAW misleaders have sat idly by and watched Congress play the "shell game" of doing something about Nixon's corrupt government. After many arrests and long struggles over tapes,

Cont. on p. 11

UAW

Cont. from p. 10

"national security" documents, and subpoenas to attain that information, our "democratic" Congress has granted Nixon more emergency powers than any previous president.

The union misleaders make such "left" phrases as "impeach that bastard" by Emil Mazey, the Financial Secretary. But they never point out that Nixon, Ford, and the rest of his bourgeois politicians are servants of the capitalist class. Impeachment does not mean that Nixon's policies will be gotten rid of, as the masses of the working class are demanding. But while these fascist labour lieutenants carry on a front to crucify Nixon and his gang, the only alternative they pose to the working class is to struggle through parliamentary procedures led by the so-called opposition or the Democratic Party. "Fascism places the people at the mercy of the most corrupt and venal elements, but comes before them with the demand for 'an honest and uncorruptable government'." (2) Flipping a nickel from heads (Republicans) to tails (Democrats) does not change its purpose.

The conciliatory actions of the labour lieutenants at this convention have exposed their intentions - to help the imperialists in their drive toward fascism by trying to build a fascist labour front. This front would transform the unions from fighting organs of the proletariat for economic demands to agents of the bourgeois state for the suppression of the working class movement.

From this point of view the question becomes: should we or should we not work in trade unions, especially at a time when the policies of the Woodcocks, the Fitzsimmons, the Abels and the Meanys are concretely aiding the fascist offensive of the capitalist class? If we are going to keep the unions as weapons of the proletariat and build a real united front against fascism, a united front from below, based on the unity of the working class, we must answer "yes" to this question.

We must take the unions out of the hands of the labour aristocracy by entrenching ourselves in the class through our factory nuclei. Our work must take place not just at conventions, but in the shop floor struggles and the union halls. We must struggle to revolutionize the trade unions and in this struggle, "Our only condition for uniting the trade unions is: struggle against capital, against fascism, and for internal trade union democracy." (3)

In the Detroit area, the convention was used to set the factory nuclei in motion. There was a general distribution of a special UAW Convention newspaper put out by JARUM, MERUM, and DTRUM at all of the major

Africa

Cont. from p. 4

brought back to Portugal and why have they united so sweetly with the new government? Because "Revisionism and social-democracy are two manifestations of the same bourgeois ideology: the first - in the communist movement, and the second - in the workers' movement. It is their common ideological basis and common political aims that bring revisionism and social democracy together, unite and integrate them into a single anti-Marxist, anti-socialist and counterrevolutionary trend." (3)

Cunhal and Soares, imperialists and scoundrels, refuse to lead the toilers with the demand for independence and the right

assembly plants and most of the other UAW plants. This paper exposed the betrayal of the auto workers by the UAW misleaders, demanded the removal of the anti-communist clause in the UAW contract, warned the workers of the rising fascism - showing the need for united action of the working class, and demanded the independence of the Negro Nation and Puerto Rico and Regional Autonomy for the Southwest.

In coordination with this general newspaper, the factory nuclei in factory newspapers linked local factory exposures with the overall struggle to unite the workers against the drive toward fascism and the UAW misleaders who are aiding that drive.

In addition, at many UAW shops, members of the factory nuclei ran as candidates for convention delegates. This campaign struggle served as a podium for polemics exposing union corruption, enlightening the class to the need for their united action against fascism, and for fighting to win vanguard elements of the working class to the cause of communism.

The success or failure of the united front against fascism depends on its having conscious leadership, a Communist Party that will take the struggle for unity not just to the organized section of the class, but to all sections of the class. We need a party that will unite the vanguard of the working class with the theories of scientific socialism. Only then will the party merge with the vanguard and, thus, become that vanguard. As Dimitroff says, "The united front of the proletariat brings to the fore an army of workers who will be able to carry out their mission if this army is headed by a leading force which will point out its aims and paths. This leading force can only be a strong proletarian, revolutionary party." (4)

- 1) R. Palme Dutt, Fascism and Social Revolution, p. 183.
- 2) Georgi Dimitroff, Selected Articles and Speeches, pp. 43-44.
- 3) Ibid., p. 87.
- 4) Ibid., p. 104.

of political secession for the Portuguese colonies. The Portuguese Communist Party, chaired by Cunhal, only "favors a nationwide discussion on the question of the colonies, 'toward the goal of immediately ending colonialism'..." (4)

Likewise, the Soviet social-imperialists have proven themselves saboteurs of the national liberation struggles in Africa, apologists for neo-colonialism and murderers of the African people.

According to the Christian Science Monitor (7/6/74)

Cunhal is acting under the direction of the Soviet social-imperialists in carrying out his reactionary policies. Was he directed to carry the struggle in Portugal forward towards socialist revolution? No! "The Portuguese party was told to stand for 'unity of all democratic forces and popular masses and for the setting up of a democratic regime'." This only lays the political base for a Portuguese neo-colonialist policy in Africa. And in the Portuguese colonies, "Moscow is dissuading the African guerilla movements...from making excessive demands." What could these "excessive demands" be, but the demand for independence?

Through the "Africa: National and Social Revolution Seminar," in 1966, the Soviet revisionists peddled the lie that "poverty, backwardness and ignorance" (not imperialism, ed.) are the three big enemies of Africa today. Several African liberation groups denounced the conference as being "calculated to control the liberation struggles of the Portuguese colonies and southern Africa in order to further Soviet collaboration with the United States for their joint domination of the world." (5)

The prescription for "independence" for the oppressed colonies, according to the Soviet revisionists is 1) "peaceful coexistence", which they say will "assist the unfolding of a process of liberation on the part of peoples fighting to free themselves from the domination of foreign monopolies" and can deliver a "crushing blow" to "the entire system of capitalist relationships." (6) The demand that the oppressed "peacefully coexist" with their oppressor is the counter-revolutionary demand for passive acceptance of colonial enslavement.

Point two is the importance of the colonies having "faith in the United Nations." On July 13, 1960 the Soviet revisionists joined with the USNA imperialists in voting for the dispatch of UN forces to the Congo, and even provided the UN forces with means of transportation. The Soviet press praised the UN to the skies for "helping the government of the Congolese Republic to defend the independence and sovereignty of the country." (Izvestia, July 21, 1960.) The Soviet revisionists

Cont. on p. 12

AFRICA

Cont. from p. 11

played a key role in hurling Patrice Lumumba into an early grave, in persecuting and imprisoning the leadership of the revolutionary movement in the Congo, and in crippling and disorientating the struggle for independence throughout the colonial world.

Point three in the revisionist solution for the colonies is "disarmament". Khrushchev in his Report to the Session of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR, October, 1959, presented the "theory" that "even a tiny spark can cause a world conflagration" and that a world war would necessarily be a thermonuclear war, which would annihilate mankind. Khrushchev whines that "local wars in our time are very dangerous" and that "we will work hard... to put out the sparks that may set off the flames of wars." The Soviet revisionists have lived up to their claim of being extinguishers of the sparks of revolution, having declared revolution "dangerous", outmoded, unnecessary and something that should be abandoned. This is in essence the same garbage that Otto Bauer spewed forth in the Second International, attacking Bolshevism for being "in essence a warlike type of socialism."

The fourth point in the revisionists' prescription for the colonies is "aid" from the imperialists and social-imperialists. What is the role of imperialist "aid"? Militarily, it links the military apparatus in the oppressed country to the giver of the "aid" through the supply of armaments and advisors for the army. Politically, "aid" has been called "an insurance payment against revolutions." Economically, the drain of many times more capital from the colonies than the imperialists put into them show, as do military and political "aid", that imperialist "aid" is merely "a gift of the giver to the giver himself".

As our Chinese comrades have said, "The policy of the leadership of the CPSU on the national-colonial question is identical with the bankrupt policy of the revisionists of the Second International. The only difference is that the latter served imperialism's old colonialism, while the modern revisionists serve the imperialists' neo-colonialism." (7)

Henry Winston, chairman of the revisionist CPUSA, has the nerve to say that the "national liberation movement of South Africa" appeals to us to "bring about sanctions against South Africa. If this is done the possibilities exist for ending colonial rule in South Africa and helping this Black majority to take its rightful place as the leading force in the nation." (8) Among the six "points for guidance" that follow in Winston's "polemic" are "Congress shall tax and the Treasury shall collect taxes on all profits made in South Africa at maximum rates without deductions

Alliance

Cont. from p. 1

the struggle for economic demands, such as, better wages and safety conditions. And in the Negro Nation which in 1970 was only 14% organized (2), or in other words, unorganized for the most part, and becoming more and more industrialized, strike after strike is exploding. The workers are demanding organization as the means to "get from under" the super-exploitation perpetrated upon them as colonial subjects.

for local taxes paid." "The Overseas Private Investment Corporation shall refuse to insure any new investments in South Africa and cancel all outstanding insurance on investments in the Republic of South Africa." And, "The immediate withdrawal of the sugar quota to the Republic of South Africa."

Mr. Winston, the question is not just of "black" leadership. Although the "whites" in South Africa have served as the colonizers of the "black" majority, just putting in a "black" leader does not necessarily mean an end to colonial rule - especially if that "black" leader is a puppet of USNA imperialism (like Mobutu in Zaire, Thieu in Viet Nam, etc.).

"In the last analysis, the national question in the contemporary world is one of class struggle and anti-imperialist struggle." "The question here is not whether to side with the white people or the coloured people, but whether to side with the oppressed peoples and nations or with the handful of imperialists and reactionaries." (9)

Nor is the task of the proletariat in the USNA to sing psalms to the most brutal colonial butchers in history - the USNA imperialists - begging them to bless their enslaved colonies with freedom.

Our task is the building of an independent Communist Party on the firm foundation of Marxism-Leninism, hostile and uncompromising toward opportunism, demanding the independence and right of political secession of the oppressed colonies, while organizing and enlightening the workers in the USNA for the violent overthrow of the USNA state.

- 1) J.V. Stalin, Foundations of Leninism, FLPP, 1970 p. 5
- 2) V.I. Lenin, Imperialism, the Highest Stage of Capitalism, FLPP, 1970, p. 153
- 3) Enver Hoxha, The PLA in Battle with Modern Revisionism, Tirana, 1972 p. 185
- 4) Daily World, May 7, 1974
- 5) Afro-Asian Journalist, No. 1, 1973
- 6) Polemics on the General Line of the Communist Movement, "Apoligists for Neo-Colonialism" FLPP p. 193
- 7) Ibid, p. 216
- 8) Henry Winston, Africa's Struggle for Freedom, the USA and the USSR, New Outlook Publishers, N.Y., Dec. 1972, p. 14-15
- 9) Op. Cit. p. 213

The only solution left to the imperialists is open, naked fascism. This coincides with the general fascist offensive by the imperialists in preparation for impending war. The people of the Negro Nation have always existed under a fascist reign of terror and the preparation for fascism in the Anglo-American nation is hitting the Negro section of the class first and with increasing fury. The railroading and murder of the Negro national minority men accused in the De Mau Mau killings in the Chicago area, the search of much of the Negro national minority men in San Francisco in regards to the Zebra killings, and the building of the Butner Institute for Behavior Modification in North Carolina are but a few examples of the growing fascist attacks.

"Legalizing" the CPUSA, the imperialists are calling on them to help more than ever before in the growing fascist offensive. And faithful lackeys that they are, the CPUSA is jumping to the occasion. The CPUSA through years of experience has dug itself into the working class and knows how to organize. The CPUSA newspaper, the Daily World, is directing their membership to entrench themselves more firmly into the working class and to build a "network of agents". The program of the CPUSA was very evident in the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists conference this spring. And their role in directing the National Alliance shows that they understand that the key to undermining the liberation struggle of the Negro people lies in the South.

As was evidenced by this July 4th rally, this "new movement in the South" is to be led by the big three: the CPUSA, the liberal politicians and trade union misleaders, and the Negro church, all working in concert to deny the class character and national character of the Negro people's liberation movement. How else could Rev. Ralph Abernathy stand up and proclaim his joy that a "Christian could march alongside of a Communist" (referring to Angela Davis).

The CPUSA did a masterful job at attempting to liquidate the national colonial question and along with it, the leading role of the proletariat. Not once in this demonstration which was supposedly "making Washington, D.C. tremble" were class questions raised. We hold Communists responsible for Communist action. The struggles of the Negro people were put forth simply as being against racism and repression while the struggles of the entire proletariat were not mentioned at all. If Washington, D.C. was trembling, it was with laughter.

What were the slogans and programs raised by the Party? -

Cont. on p. 13

Alliance

Cont. from p. 12

"Unity, Unity, Unity", "End Racism and Political Repression". There is much confusion amongst the class on what exactly is counterrevolutionary about the program and practice of the CPUSA. After all, many ask, "Isn't it a good thing to fight against the beatings and jailings of the Negro people?" The answer to this question is in its concrete results. The history of the Negro people's movement has been violent upsurge, whose leadership is eventually captured by the liberal bourgeoisie and the church and taken under the wing of the imperialists. How obvious it would be if the CPUSA were to say "end racism and political repression in Vietnam". It is clear that this is not the solution to the liberation struggle of the Vietnamese. Why should it be the political solution to the liberation struggle of the Negro Nation? No, the Party is trying to mask the national character of the Negro people's liberation movement. The calls of "unity" we do not oppose, but unity must be based on principle. The CPUSA is calling for unity of the Negro workers and the Anglo-American petty bourgeoisie. They are calling for the unity of the Negro people under the hegemony of honey mouthed liberals and compradors. This is not the path to liberation. This will not solve the problems of the Negro people. This will only break the struggle.

The program of the CPUSA places the struggle of the Negro people for equal rights in the framework of bourgeois democracy. It separates the Negro people from the working class and actually repudiates the violent overthrow of the State in advocating the "peaceful transition towards socialism". Lenin describes the struggle for "equality" within the framework of bourgeois democracy as follows: "It is in the very nature of bourgeois democracy to treat the question of equality in general and national equality in particular in an abstract or formal way. Under the guise of the equality of persons in general, bourgeois democracy proclaims a formal or legal equality between the property owner and the proletarian, between the exploiter and the exploited, and thereby grossly deceives the oppressed classes. The bourgeoisie transforms the idea of equality, which is itself a reflection of the relations of commodity production, into a weapon in its struggle against the abolition of classes, pretending that all men are absolutely equal. The demand for equality has real meaning only as a demand for the abolition of classes." (italics ours, ed.) (3)

But the Negro workers have cast aside the yoke of non-violence and through their leadership, the whole of the working class movement has leaped forward. "...the Negro people's liberation movement has opened

Police Murder

Cont. from p. 5

the proletariat. At no point did or will the Party raise the clarion call of world revolution, "workers and oppressed peoples of the world, unite!" Nowhere did they expose the imperialist state as "an organ of class rule, an organ for the oppression of one class by another". Nowhere did they go beyond feeble whining about "racism" and "special prosecutors".

Because of the "leadership" of the CPUSA and its various front groups and friends, the working class has been and still is to a large degree hamstrung in its struggle with capital, and unable to unite and crush the fascist offensive and establish the dictatorship of the proletariat and socialism. In explaining the victory of fascism in certain countries Dimitroff points out, "Fascism was able to come to power primarily because the working class, owing to the policy of class collaboration with the

the gates of the Socialist revolution." (4) It is against this background that we are calling for the establishment of a real Marxist-Leninist Communist Party that will guide the struggle of the working class on to the abolition of classes.

As Communists, we must dig into the trade unions and mass organizations of the working class, such as, the NAACP, PUSH, SCLC, etc. and build a united front of the working class against fascism. The united front must be led by this communist party of a new type that will fully coordinate the unity of action of the proletariat.

We call upon all Communists and honest workers to raise to all sections of the class that the fascist offensive against the class is first being focussed on the Negro people. We must take up the call to "defend the Negro people". The defense of the Negro people is the defense of the whole working class against rising fascism. The struggle against fascism includes the political exposure of the revisionists. We must take this struggle to the workers, where the CPUSA has strong influence, explaining to them the history and disastrous consequences of revisionist leadership. We must raise the slogans of "Independence for the Negro Nation" and "Full Equality for the Negro National Minority".

- 1) U.S. Bureau of the Census, Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1972, (93rd Edition) Washington, D.C., 1972, p. 231.
- 2) Ibid., p. 242.
- 3) Lenin on the National and Colonial Questions, Three Articles, FLPP, 1967, p. 21.
- 4) Negro National Colonial Question, Communist League, p. 38.

bourgeoisie pursued by the Social-Democratic leaders, proved to be split, politically and organizationally disarmed, in face of the onslaught of the bourgeoisie. And the Communist Parties, on the other hand, apart from and in opposition to the Social-Democrats were not strong enough to rouse the masses and to lead them in a decisive struggle against fascism. (Dimitroff, United Front Against Fascism, Int. Pub., 1938, p. 19.)

But today in the USNA we can finally say for the first time that the social-fascist CPUSA is no longer going unchallenged. The Communist League and other real Marxist-Leninist groupings and individuals have set about establishing a real communist party based on Marxism-Leninism. Small as our forces have been, we have already established strong theoretical and practical links in some of the most revolutionary strata of the working class. We have thrown down the gauntlet to the revisionists. Comrades, we cannot and will not leave our class in the slimy clutches of these traitors, the CPUSA and their running dogs! We state openly to the proletariat, "Listen, workers of the Anglo-American nation, the Glover murder and the Shea acquittal are not because of 'racism' and such outrages cannot be stopped by 'special prosecutors'. It is white chauvinism pure and simple which has shut the mouth of the 'communist' movement on the question of the enslavement of the Negro Nation by USNA imperialism. No nation can be free if it oppresses other nations, no proletariat can emancipate itself if it collaborates in any way with its 'own' bourgeoisie in enslaving other peoples. The Anglo-American proletariat in its own interests must call for and fight for the freedom of the Negro Nation. The Anglo-American proletariat must and will march to power over the prostrate bodies of the white chauvinist CPUSA and all their hangers on."

We have always based ourselves in the most exploited and oppressed sections of the working class. In doing so we have come face to face with the CPUSA, because it too knows where to go - but with a purpose the exact opposite of ours. In moving deeper into the class struggle - and this time as a party - we must redouble our efforts to isolate and defeat the CPUSA so that the fascists will not be able to come to power based on class divisions and the weakness of the real communist forces. Every worker must be taught in theory and in practice the difference between the red banner and the revisionist rat.